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#### Abstract

Let $\mu$ be a Beltrami coefficient on the unit disk, which is compatible with a finitely generated Fuchsian group $G$ of the second kind. In this paper we show that if $\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ satisfies the Carleson condition on the infinite boundary of the Dirichlet fundamental domain of $G$, then $\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ is a Carleson measure on the unit disk.


## 1. Introduction

A Fuchsian group is a discrete Möbius group $G$ acting on the unit disk $\Delta$. A Fuchsian group is said to be of the first kind if its limit set is the entire circle and of the second kind otherwise. A Fuchsian group $G$ is called cocompact if $\Delta / G$ is compact and is called convex cocompact if $G$ is finitely generated without parabolic elements. All cocompact groups are first kind and convex cocompact groups minus cocompact groups are second kind. A Fuchsian group $G$ is of divergence type if

$$
\Sigma_{g \in G}(1-|g(0)|)=\infty \quad \text { or } \quad \sum_{g \in G} \exp (-\rho(0, g(0)))=\infty
$$

where $\rho(0, g(0))$ is the hyperbolic distance between 0 and $g(0)$. Otherwise, we say that it is of convergence type. All second kind groups are of convergence type. For more details about Fuchsian groups, see [9].

For $g$ in $G$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{z}(g)$ the closed hyperbolic half-plane containing $z$, bounded by the perpendicular bisector of the segment $[z, g(z)]_{h}$. The Dirichlet fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{z}(G)$ of $G$ centered at $z$ is the intersection of all the sets $\mathcal{D}_{z}(g)$ with $g$ in $G-\{i d\}$. For simplicity, in this paper we use the notation $\mathcal{F}$ for the Dirichlet fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{z}(G)$ of $G$ centered at $z=0$.

A positive measure $\lambda$ defined in a simply connected domain $\Omega$ is called a Carleson measure if there exists some constant C which is independent of $r$ such that, for all $0<r<\operatorname{diameter}(\partial \Omega)$ and $z \in \partial \Omega$,

$$
\lambda(\Omega \cap D(z, r)) \leq C r .
$$

The infimum of all such $C$ is called the Carleson norm of $\lambda$, denoted by $\|\lambda\|_{*}$. Let $\Delta$ be the unit disk. In this paper, we mainly focus our attention on the case $\Omega=\Delta$. We denote by $C M(\Delta)$ the set of all Carleson measures on $\Delta$.

We say that a measurable function $\mu(z)$ belongs to $C M^{*}(\Delta)$ if the measure

$$
\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \in C M(\Delta)
$$
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The importance of the class $C M^{*}(\Delta)$ lies in the fact that it plays a crucial role in the theory of BMOA-Teichmüller space, see $[1,5,8,14]$ etc. If $G$ is a Fuchsian group and $\mu(z)$ a bounded measurable function on $\Delta$ which satisfies

$$
\|\mu(z)\|_{\infty}<1 \quad \text { and } \quad \mu(z)=\mu(g(z)) \overline{g^{\prime}(z)} / g^{\prime}(z)
$$

for every $g \in G$, then we say $\mu$ is a $G$-compatible Beltrami coefficient (or complex dilatation). We denote by $M(G)$ the set of all $G$-compatible Beltrami coefficients. For a $G$-compatible Beltrami coefficient $\mu$, if the measure

$$
\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y
$$

is a Carleson measure on $\Delta$, when the Carleson norm is small, then $f_{\mu}(\partial \Delta)$ is a rectifiable (chord-arc) curve, where $f_{\mu}$ is the quasiconformal mapping of the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ with $i, 1$ and $-i$ fixed, whose Beltrami coefficient equals to $\mu$ a.e. on the unit disk and equals to zero on the outside of the unit disk. This is essential for the proof of the convergence-type first-kind Fuchsian groups failing to have Bowen's property, see [2]. It is also the method to prove that some convergence-type Fuchsian groups fail to have Ruelle's property, see [12, 11].

It is important to investigate under which condition the $G$-compatible Beltrami coefficients belong to $C M^{*}(\Delta)$. We call the intersection of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ with the unit circle $\partial \Delta$ the boundary at infinity of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}(\infty)$. In this paper, we first prove:

Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a convex cocompact Fuchsian group of the second kind and $\mathcal{F}$ the Dirichlet fundamental domain of $G$ centered at 0 . Let $\mu \in M(G)$ : if there exists a constant $C$ such that, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(\infty)($ i.e. $\xi$ is in the free edges of $\mathcal{F})$ and for any $0<r<1$,

$$
\iint_{B(\xi, r)} \frac{|\mu|^{2} \chi_{\mathcal{F}}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \leq C r
$$

then $\mu$ is in $C M^{*}(\Delta)$, where $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the characteristic function of the Dirichlet fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$.

Notice that Theorem 1.1 fails for the case of convex cocompact groups of the first kind (i.e. cocompact groups), since Bowen [6] showed that cocompact groups hold a rigidity property, now called Bowen's property, i.e. the image of the unit circle under any quasiconformal map whose Beltrami coefficient compatible with a cocompact group, is either a circle or has Hausdorff dimension bigger than 1 . Hence for any $\mu$ being compatible with cocompact groups, the measure $\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ is not a Carleson measure.

Furthermore, Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to the finitely generated Fuchsian group of the second kind with some parabolic elements. We have

Theorem 1.2. Let $G$ be a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the second kind with some parabolic elements and $\mathcal{F}$ the Dirichlet fundamental domain of $G$ centered at 0 . Let $\mu \in M(G)$ : if there exists a constant $C$ such that, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(\infty)$ and for any $0<r<1$,

$$
\iint_{B(\xi, r)} \frac{|\mu|^{2} \chi_{\mathcal{F}}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \leq C r,
$$

then $\mu$ is in $C M^{*}(\Delta)$.

This theorem means that the Carleson property of the measures which are compatible with the finitely generated Fuchsian groups can be checked from the points in the set $\mathcal{F}(\infty)$ i.e., the boundary at infinity of the Dirichlet domain $\mathcal{F}$.

Notation. In this paper $\chi_{A}$ always denotes the characteristic function of the set A.

## 2. Some lemmas

The following lemma will be used several times in this paper. I give a short proof here.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mu$ be a essentially bounded measurable function on $\Delta$. If the measure $\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ is in $C M(\Delta)$, then there exists a constant $C$ such that, for any $\xi \in \bar{\Delta}$ and all $0<r<2$,

$$
\iint_{B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \leq C r
$$

where the constant $C$ depends only on the Carleson norm of the measure $\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ and the essential norm of $\mu$.

Proof. We first choose $0<r<2$ and fix it. For any $\xi \in \bar{\Delta}$, if $\xi \in \partial \Delta$, there is nothing to prove. We suppose $\xi \in \Delta$. If $\operatorname{dist}(\xi, \partial \Delta) \geq 2 r$ (this case only happens when $0<r<0.5$ ), where $\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Euclidean distance. Then we have

$$
\iint_{B(\xi, r)} \frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \leq \frac{\|\mu\|_{\infty} \pi r^{2}}{1-|1-r|^{2}}=\frac{\|\mu\|_{\infty} \pi r}{2-r} \leq \pi\|\mu\|_{\infty} r .
$$

For the case $\operatorname{dist}(\xi, \partial \Delta) \leq 2 r$, we can choose a point $\eta \in \partial \Delta$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(\eta, \xi)<$ $2 r$. Then we have $B(\xi, r) \subset B(\eta, 4 r)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \leq \iint_{B(\eta, 4 r) \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \leq 4 C^{*} r, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{*}$ is the Carleson norm of the measure $\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$.
Hence we let $C=\max \left\{\pi\|\mu\|_{\infty}, 4 C^{*}\right\}$ and the lemma follows.
Remark. By this lemma we see that for any simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \Delta$, If $\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ is a Carleson measure on $\Delta$, then it is also a Carleson measure on $\Omega$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we will need the following lemma which essentially belongs to Astala and Zinsmeister, see [1], or [2].

Lemma 2.2. For a convergence-type Fuchsian group $G$ and $\mu$ in $M(G)$, if there exists a $0<t<1$ such that the support set of $\mu \chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is contained in the ball $B(0, t)$ with center 0 and radius $t$. Then $\mu$ is in $C M^{*}(\Delta)$.

For the readers to see more clearly about the property of $\mu$, we give the detail of proof of this lemma here.

Proof. Recall that a sequence $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ is called an interpolating sequence of $\Delta$ if
(i) $\exists \delta>0, \rho\left(z_{j}, z_{k}\right) \geq \delta$ if $j \neq k$;
(ii) $\quad \sum\left(1-\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}\right) \delta_{z_{i}} \in C M(\Delta)$,
where $\delta_{z}$ stands for the Dirac mass at $z$.

We first show that the sequence $\{g(0)\}_{g \in G}$ is an interpolating sequence of the unit disk $\Delta$. The sequence $\{g(0)\}_{g \in G}$ satisfies the property (i) of the interpolating sequence immediately from the action of Fuchsian group being discrete. For the property (ii), by a result due to Carleson [7], we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{g \in G}\left(1-|g(0)|^{2}\right) \delta_{g} \in C M(\Delta) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{g_{i}} \prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{i}}\left|\frac{g_{i}(0)-g(0)}{1-\overline{g_{i}(0)} g(0)}\right| \geq \delta>0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to show (3.2), it is enough to prove that for any $g_{i} \neq g_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{i}}\left|\frac{g_{i}(0)-g(0)}{1-\overline{g_{i}(0)} g(0)}\right| \equiv \prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{k}}\left|\frac{g_{k}(0)-g(0)}{1-\overline{g_{k}(0)} g(0)}\right| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\left|\frac{g_{i}(0)-g(0)}{1-\overline{g_{i}(0)} g(0)}\right|=\tanh 2 \rho\left(g_{i}(0), g(0)\right)
$$

where $\rho\left(g_{i}(0), g(0)\right)$ denotes the hyperbolic distance between $g_{i}(0)$ and $g(0)$. Similarly,

$$
\left|\frac{g_{k}(0)-g(0)}{1-\overline{g_{k}(0)} g(0)}\right|=\tanh 2 \rho\left(g_{k}(0), g(0)\right) .
$$

Let $\gamma=g_{k} \circ g_{i}^{-1}$, we have $g_{k}=\gamma \circ g_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{k}}\left|\frac{g_{k}(0)-g(0)}{1-\overline{g_{k}(0)} g(0)}\right|=\prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{k}} \tanh \left(2 \rho\left(g_{k}(0), g(0)\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{k}} \tanh \left(2 \rho\left(\gamma \circ g_{i}(0), g(0)\right)\right)=\prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{k}} \tanh \left(2 \rho\left(g_{i}(0), \gamma^{-1} \circ g(0)\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{i}}\left|\frac{g_{i}(0)-g(0)}{1-\overline{g_{i}(0)} g(0)}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $g_{i}=i d$ and in this case

$$
\prod_{g \in G, g \neq g_{i}}\left|\frac{g_{i}(0)-g(0)}{1-\overline{g_{i}(0)} g(0)}\right|=\prod_{g \neq i d}|g(0)|=\exp \left(\sum_{g \neq i d} \ln |g(0)|\right) \geq \exp \left(C \sum_{g \neq i d}(1-|g(0)|)\right)
$$

where $C$ is some universal constant.
Thus by the definition of the convergence-type property it follows that the sequence $\{g(0)\}_{g \in G}$ is an interpolating sequence.

We now prove Lemma 2.2. Suppose the support set of $\mu_{\chi_{\mathcal{F}}}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\mu_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ which is contained in the ball $B(0, t)$. For any $\xi \in \partial \Delta$ and $0<r \leq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\Delta \cap B(\xi, r)} \frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y & =\sum_{g \in G} \iint_{g(B(0, t)) \cap B(\xi, r)} \frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \\
& =\sum_{g \in G} \iint_{g(B(0, t))} \frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \chi_{B(\xi, r)} d x d y \\
& \leq \sum_{g \in G}\|\mu\|_{\infty}^{2} \iint_{g(B(0, t))} \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} \chi_{B(\xi, r)} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that the hyperbolic radius $t_{\rho}$ of the Euclidean disk $B(0, t)$ is $\ln \frac{1+t}{1-t}$. Hence for any $g \in G$, the disk $g(B(0, t))$ is a hyperbolic disk with center $g(0)$ and hyperbolic radius $t_{\rho}$. By some simple calculation or by [3] we know that the disk $g(B(0, t))$ is contained in the Euclidean disk $B\left(g(0), R_{g}\right)$, where the radius $R_{g}$ is equal to

$$
\frac{(1+|g(0)|)\left(1-e^{t_{\rho}}\right)(1-|g(0)|)}{(1+|g(0)|)+e^{t_{\rho}}(1-|g(0)|)} \leq C(1-|g(0)|),
$$

where C is some constant depending only on $t$.
Combined with the above discussion, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\Delta \cap B(\xi, r)} \frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y & \leq \sum_{g(0) \in B(\xi, r)} \frac{\|\mu\|_{\infty} \pi R_{g}^{2}}{1-\left|1-R_{g}\right|^{2}} \\
& \leq C^{\prime} \sum_{g(0) \in B(\xi, r)}(1-|g(0)|) \leq C^{*} r,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $C^{*}$ depends only on $C^{\prime}$ and the Carleson norm of the measure $\sum_{g \in G}(1-|g(0)|) \delta_{g(0)}$. Hence the lemma holds.

Remark. In [5], Bishop used the norm property of Schwarzian derivative of holomorphic function under hyperbolic metric to give another proof of Lemma 2.2 for the case of the Beltrami coefficient $\mu$ supported on a compact subset of the surface $\Delta / G$.

A Jordan curve $\gamma$ is said to be a chord-arc curve if there exists a constant $C$ such that for any two points $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \gamma$, the length of the arc $\gamma_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{length}\left(\gamma_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}\right) \leq C d\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}}$ is the shorter arc of $\gamma$ with endpoints $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ and $d\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ means the Euclidean distance between $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$.

A result from [15] says that
Lemma 2.3. [15] Let $\Omega$ be a chord-arc domain. Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $d \nu$ is a Carleson measure for $\Omega$.
(b) For $0<p<\infty$, and $f \in H^{p}(\Omega)$,

$$
\iint_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d v \leq C \int_{\partial \Omega}|f|^{p} d s
$$

where $H^{p}(\Omega)=\left\{f: f\right.$ is analytic on $\Omega$ and $\left.\int_{\partial \Omega}|f|^{p} d s<\infty\right\}$ and the constant $C$ depends only on the the Carleson norm of $d \nu$.

Remark. Lemma 2.3 was first given by Carleson [[10],Theorem 3.9, P.61] when $\Omega$ is the upper half plane. Zinsmeister proved that Carleson's theorem remains true for chord-arc domains, see [15].

After this preparatory work, it is time to give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof. Let $G$ be a second-kind convex cocompact Fuchsian group and $\mathcal{F}$ be the Dirichlet domain of $G$ with center 0 . Let $\mu$ be an element in $M(G)$. The intersection of the closure of $\mathcal{F}$ with $\partial \Delta$ contains finitely many intervals which are called free edges of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted by $I_{1}, I_{2}, \cdots I_{n}$.

For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $q_{i, 1}, q_{i, 2}$ be the endpoints of $I_{i}$. It is well known that both $q_{i, 1}, q_{i, 2}$ do not belong to the limit set. Both sides of $q_{i, j}(j=1$, or 2$)$ are free sides of Dirichlet fundamental domains with different centers.

By the statement of the theorem we know there exists a constant $C$ such that for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we can choose a ball $B_{i}$ such that $B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta$ contains no limit points of $G$ and $I_{i} \subset B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta$ and for any point $\xi \in I_{i}$ and $0<r<2$,

$$
\iint_{B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \chi_{B_{i} \cap \Delta} d x d y \leq C r
$$

furthermore, the set $\overline{\mathcal{F}}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(B_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}\right)$ is compact, denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{c}$.
By Lemma 2.1, we know that the measure

$$
\frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y
$$

is a Carleson measure on the domain $B_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}$. We divide $\mu$ into two parts. Let

$$
\mu=\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{g\left(\mathcal{F}_{c}\right)}+\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{g(B)},
$$

where $B=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left(B_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}\right)$.
By Lemma 2.2, we know that the measure $\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{g\left(\mathcal{F}_{c}\right)}$ is a Carleson measure on $\Delta$. In the following we only need to show that $\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{B}$ is also a Carleson measure. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\mu=\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{B}$.

Let $\xi$ be an arbitrary point of $\partial \Delta$ and $r$ a positive real number less than 2 . In the following we will find a positive constant $C^{*}$ which does not depend on $\xi$ and $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y \leq C^{*} r . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first consider the following special case: there exists $g \in G$ such that $g(B(\xi, r) \cap$ $\Delta) \subset \mathcal{F}$. By Lemma 2.1 we know that $\frac{|\mu|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ is a Carleson measure on the domain $g(B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v & \leq \iint_{g(B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta)} \frac{\left|\mu\left(g^{-1}(z)\right)\right|^{2}}{1-\left|g^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}\left|\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y \\
& =\iint_{g(B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta)} \frac{\left\lvert\, \mu\left(g^{-1}(z)\right) \frac{\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)}{\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)^{2}}\right.}{1-\left|g^{-1}(z)\right|^{2}}\left|\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y \\
& =\iint_{g(B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta)} \frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\left|\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| d x d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g$ is a Möbius transformation, the domain $g(B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta)$ is a chord-arc domain. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{g(B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta)} \frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\left|\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| d x d y & \leq C_{1} \int_{\partial g(B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta)}\left|\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| d s \\
& =\int_{\partial(B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta)} d s \leq 2 \pi C_{1} r
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constant $C_{1}$ depends only on the constant $C$ in the statement of the Theorem 1.1. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v \leq 2 \pi C_{1} r \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the above discussion, we easily get that the measure $\frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ is a Carleson measure on $B_{i} \cap \Delta$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, since $B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta$ contains no limit points of $G$ and there are finitely many $g_{1}, \cdots, g_{m}$ belonging to $G$ such that

$$
\left(B_{i} \cap \Delta\right) \subset \bigcup_{1}^{m} g_{j}(\mathcal{F})
$$

Now we consider the general case. Let $G^{*}$ be the set of all the elements $g$ in $G$ such that $g(B) \cap B(\xi, r) \neq \emptyset$. If $g \in G^{*}$ there are at most three possibilities as follows:
(a) there exist $1 \leq i \leq n, g\left(B_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}\right) \subset B(\xi, r)$;
(b) there exists $1 \leq i \leq n, g\left(B_{i}\right) \cap B(\xi, r) \neq \emptyset$ and $g\left(I_{i}\right) \subset B(\xi, r) \cap \partial \Delta$;
(c) there exist $1 \leq i \leq n, g\left(B_{i}\right) \cap B(\xi, r) \neq \emptyset$ and $g\left(I_{i}\right) \cap B(\xi, r) \cap \partial \Delta \neq \emptyset$.

In case (a), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{g\left(B_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}\right)} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v & \leq \iint_{g\left(B_{i} \cap \Delta\right)} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v \\
& =\iint_{B_{i} \cap \Delta} \frac{\left|\mu(g(z)) \frac{\overline{g^{\prime}(z)}}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right|^{2}}{1-|g(z)|^{2}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d x d y \\
& =\iint_{B_{i} \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| d x d y \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{\partial\left(B_{i} \cap \Delta\right)}^{\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| d s=C_{1} \int_{\partial g\left(B_{i} \cap \Delta\right)} d s} \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\end{array} \int_{1} \pi \operatorname{length}\left(g\left(B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second above inequality holds is by Lemma 2.3 and $C_{1}$ depends only on the Carleson norm of $\left.\frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \right\rvert\, d x d y$ on $B_{i} \cap \Delta$.

For case (b) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\iint_{g\left(B_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}\right) \cap B(\xi, r)} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} \right\rvert\, d u d v & \left.\leq \iint_{g\left(B_{i} \cap \Delta\right) \cap B(\xi, r)} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} \right\rvert\, d u d v \\
& \leq \pi C_{1} \operatorname{length}\left(B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For case (c), notice that $g\left(B_{i} \cap \Delta\right) \cap B(\xi, r)$ is a triangle with three circle-arc and the angle corresponding to the side $g\left(B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta\right) \cap B(\xi, r)$ is bigger than some constant,
we have

$$
\text { length }\left(\partial\left(g\left(B_{i} \cap \Delta\right) \cap B(\xi, r)\right)\right) \leq C_{2} \text { length }\left(g\left(B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta\right) \cap B(\xi, r)\right) .
$$

where the constant $C_{2}$ depends only on the Carleson norm of $\frac{|\mu(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} d x d y$ on $B_{i} \cap \Delta$ and the angle between $\partial B_{i}$ and $\partial \Delta$.

By a similar discussion as case(a) we have

$$
\iint_{g\left(B_{i} \cap \mathcal{F}\right)} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v \leq \pi C_{2} \operatorname{length}\left(g\left(B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta\right) \cap B(\xi, r)\right)
$$

Since for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the arc $B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta$ does not contain the limit points of $G$. Hence for $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G^{*}$ if $g_{1}\left(B_{i}\right) \cap B(\xi, r) \neq \emptyset$ and $g_{2}\left(B_{i}\right) \cap B(\xi, r) \neq \emptyset$, the images of $B_{i} \cap \partial \Delta$ under maps $g_{1}, g_{2}$, respectively, do not overlap. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{B(\xi, r) \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v \leq \pi C^{*} \sum_{g \in G^{*}} \operatorname{length}(g(B) \cap B(\xi, r) \cap \partial \Delta) \\
& \leq \pi C^{*} \operatorname{length}(B(\xi, r) \cap \partial \Delta) \leq 2(\pi)^{2} C^{*} r,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C^{*}$ equals to the maximum value of the constants which appeared in the proof of this theorem and $B=\bigcup_{i}^{n}\left(B_{i} \cap \Delta\right)$. This completes the proof.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. Let $G$ be a finitely generated Fuchsian group of second kind with some parabolic elements. Since the generator of $G$ contains finite elements, without loss of generality, we may suppose that the generator of $G$ contains only one parabolic element $\gamma$ and suppose $\xi \in \mathcal{F}(\infty)$ be the fixed point of the parabolic element $\gamma$.

We divide $\mu$ into two parts. Let

$$
\mu=\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{g\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}\right)}+\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{(g(B \cap \mathcal{F}))},
$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{*}=\mathcal{F}-(B \cap \mathcal{F})$ and $B$ is a disk with center $\xi$ and radius $r_{0}$ (that is sufficiently small such that $\partial B$ intersect with the sides of $\mathcal{F}$ which have $\xi$ as a common vertex). Let $\gamma_{0}$ be the arc of $\partial B$ between the sides of $\mathcal{F}$ which have $\xi$ as a common vertex.

By Theorem 1.1, we know that the measure $\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{g\left(\mathcal{F}^{*}\right)}$ is a Carleson measure on $\Delta$. In the following we only need to show that $\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{g(B \cap \mathcal{F})}$ is also a Carleson measure. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\mu=\sum_{g \in G} \mu \chi_{g(B \cap \mathcal{F})}$.

We first show that the hyperbolic area of $B \cap \mathcal{F}$ is finite.
By a conformal mapping $\varphi(z)$ which maps the unit disk $\Delta$ onto the upper half plane $\mathbf{H}$, we only need to show that the hyperbolic area of the image $\varphi(B \cap \mathcal{F})$ is finite, since hyperbolic area is unchanged under conformal mapping. Without loss of generality, we may suppose $\varphi(\xi)=0$. The images of the sides of $\mathcal{F}$ with $\xi$ as a vertex under the mapping $\varphi$ are contained in two circles, denoted by $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively. Let $C_{1}$ be the circle

$$
\left(x-r_{1}\right)^{2}+y^{2}=r_{1}^{2}
$$

and $C_{2}$ the circle

$$
\left(x+r_{2}\right)^{2}+y^{2}=r_{2}^{2} .
$$

The tangent point of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ is 0 , see Figure 1. The images of the arc $\gamma_{0}$ is contained in a circle with center 0 and radius $r_{3}$.

Then we have

$$
\iint_{B \cap \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{2}} d x d y=\iint_{\varphi(B \cap \mathcal{F})} \frac{1}{4 v^{2}} d u d v=\int_{0}^{r_{3}} d r \int_{\arccos \left(\frac{r}{2 r_{1}}\right)}^{\arccos \left(\frac{-r}{2 r_{2}}\right)} \frac{1}{4 r \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta
$$

It is easy to see that the limit

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\arccos \left(\frac{r}{2 r_{1}}\right)}^{\arccos \left(\frac{-r}{2 r_{2}}\right)} \frac{1}{4 r \sin ^{2} \theta} d \theta=\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{r_{2}}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}\right) .
$$

Hence by some easy calculation, the hyperbolic area of $B \cap \mathcal{F}$ is finite.


Figure 1.
We continue to prove Theorem 1.2. For any $g \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iint_{g(B \cap \mathcal{F})} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v & \leq \iint_{g(B \cap \mathcal{F})} \frac{1}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v \\
& =\iint_{B \cap \mathcal{F}} \frac{\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{1-|g(z)|^{2}} d x d y \\
& =\iint_{B \cap \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| d x d y \\
& =\iint_{B \cap \mathcal{F}} \frac{1-|g(z)|^{2}}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} d x d y \\
& \leq C_{1}\left(1-\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\right) \iint_{B \cap \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} d x d y \\
& \leq C\left(1-\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $z_{0}$ is any point in $\gamma_{0}, C_{1}$ depends on $z_{0}$ and the hyperbolic length of $\gamma_{0}$, and $C$ depends on $C_{1}$ and the hyperbolic area of $B \cap \mathcal{F}$. The fourth above equality holds since

$$
\frac{\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|}{1-|g(z)|^{2}}=\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}} \quad \text { for any } g \in G
$$

and the last second above inequality holds since the hyperbolic length of $\gamma_{0}$ is finite.
Let $\eta$ be any point on the unit circle and $B(\eta, r)$ the disk with center $\eta$ and radius $r$, here $0<r<1$. By the proof of Lemma 2.2, we know that the sequence $\left\{g\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}_{g \in G}$ is an interpolating sequence. Hence the images of $B \cap \mathcal{F}$ under $g \in G$ which are contained in the disk $B(\eta, r)$ satisfy

$$
\iint_{B \cap \Delta} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v \leq \sum_{g \in G, g(B \cap \mathcal{F}) \cap B(\eta, r) \neq \emptyset} \iint_{g(B \cap \mathcal{F})} \frac{|\mu(w)|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} d u d v \leq C r,
$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.
In [4], Bishop showed that all divergence type Fuchsian groups have Bowen's property, hence Theorem 1.1 fails for the case of divergence-type groups. By Lemma 2.2 we know that for all convergence-type Fuchsian groups with compact support Beltrami coefficient, the result also holds. We ask the following question: does the result holds for all convergence-type Fuchsian groups?

## 5. Some applications

In this section we give an application of Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. In [1, p. 617, Theorem 7], Astala and Zinsmeister showed that there exist Fuchsian groups $G$ of the second kind such that the Hausdorff dimension of the quasicircle $f(\partial \Delta)$ is not a real analytic function on Teichmüller space $T(G)$. In [11], the author and Wu Shengjian showed that the result holds for any second kind Fuchsian groups. By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we can give a very short proof of the result for the case of finitely generated Fuchsian groups of second kind. We have

Corollary 5.1. Let $G$ be any finitely generated Fuchsian groups of the second kind with or without parabolic elements, the Hausdorff dimension of the quasicircle $f(\partial \Delta)$ is not a real analytic function on Teichmüller space $T(G)$.

Proof. We will construct a $\mu \in C M^{*}(\Delta) \cap M(G)$ such that the Hausdorff dimension of the quasicircle $f_{\mu}(\partial \Delta)$, denoted by $\operatorname{dim}\left(f_{\mu}(\partial \Delta)\right)$, is bigger than 1 . Let $\mu^{*} \in C M(\Delta)$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(f_{\mu^{*}}(\partial \Delta)\right)>1$, without loss of generality we may suppose $\operatorname{dim}\left(\partial f_{\mu^{*}}(\overline{\mathcal{F}} \cap \partial \Delta)\right)>1$ (the existence of such a $\mu^{*}$ can be found in $([1, \mathrm{p} .624])$.

Let $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}=\mu^{*}(z)$ for $z \in \mathcal{F}$. Now we translate $\mu_{\mathcal{F}}$ by the group $G$ to the whole disk $\Delta$. We get

$$
\mu(z)= \begin{cases}\mu^{*}(z), & z \in \mathcal{F} \\ \mu^{*}(g(z)), & g(z) \in \mathcal{F}, g \in G\end{cases}
$$

By Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2, we can see that $\mu \in C M^{*}(\Delta) \cap M(G)$. Now we consider the mapping $\psi: t \rightarrow \operatorname{dim}\left(f_{t \mu}\right)$. By [13] we know that there exist a $\varepsilon>0$ such that, for all $|t|<\varepsilon$, the quasicircle $f_{t \mu}(\partial \Delta)$ is a rectifiable curve. This implies that $\psi$ can not be real analytic on $\Delta$.
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