

ANALYSIS OF SCHRÖDINGER MEANS

Per Sjölin and Jan-Olov Strömberg

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics
S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden; persj@kth.se

KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics
S-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden; jostromb@kth.se

Abstract. We study integral estimates of maximal functions for Schrödinger means.

1. Introduction

We shall study Schrödinger means $S_t f(x)$ defined by

$$S_t f(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot x} e^{it|\xi|^a} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Here $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$, $a > 0$, and \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform f , defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

We shall use Sobolev spaces $H_s = H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, with the norm given by

$$\|f\|_{H_s} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let E denote a bounded set in \mathbf{R} . For $r > 0$ we let $N_E(r)$ denote the minimal number N of intervals I_l , $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$, of length r such that $E \subset \bigcup_1^N I_l$. For f belonging to the Schwartz class \mathcal{S} we introduce the maximal function

$$S_E^* f(x) = \sup_{t \in E} |S_t f(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

In Sjölin and Strömberg [4] we proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $a > 0$ and $s > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then one has

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |S_E^* f(x)|^2 dx \lesssim \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_E(2^{-m}) 2^{-2ms/a} \right) \|f\|_{H_s}^2.$$

Here we write $A \lesssim B$ if there is a constant C such that $A \leq CB$. In the case $E = [0, 1]$ it is easy to see that Theorem A implies the estimate

$$\|S_E^* f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{H_s}$$

if $s > a/2$.

Let $(t_k)_1^\infty$ be a sequence satisfying

$$(1) \quad 1 > t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > \dots > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = 0.$$

Set $A_j = \{t_k; 2^{-j-1} < t_k \leq 2^{-j}\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let $\#A$ denote the number of elements in a set A . In [4] we used Theorem A to obtain the following results.

<https://doi.org/10.5186/aasfm.2021.4616>

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42B99.

Key words: Schrödinger equation, maximal functions, integral estimates, Sobolev spaces.

Theorem B. Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $a \geq 2s$ and $s > 0$, and $b < 2s/(a - 2s)$. Assume also that

$$\#A_j \lesssim 2^{bj} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and that $f \in H_s$. Then

$$(2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{t_k} f(x) = f(x)$$

almost everywhere.

Theorem C. Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $a \geq 2s$ and $s > 0$, and that $\sum_1^\infty t_k^\gamma < \infty$, where $\gamma < 2s/(a - 2s)$. If also $f \in H_s$, then (2) holds almost everywhere.

Now let E denote a bounded set in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} and let E_0 denote the projection of E onto the t -axis, i.e. $E_0 = \{t; \text{there exists } x \in \mathbf{R}^n \text{ such that } (x, t) \in E\}$. For $a > 0$ define an a -cube in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} with side $r > 0$ as an axis-parallel rectangular box with sidelength r^a in the t -direction and with sidelength r in the remaining directions x_1, \dots, x_n . Thus an a -cube in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} has volume r^{n+a} . For $r > 0$ let $N_{E,a}(r)$ denote the minimal number N of a -cubes $Q_l, l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ of side r , such that $E \subset \bigcup_1^N Q_l$.

For $f \in \mathcal{S}$ we introduce the maximal function

$$S_E^* f(x) = \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f(x + y)|, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

We shall prove the following inequality.

Theorem 1. Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $a > 0$ and $s > 0$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then one has

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |S_E^* f(x)|^2 dx \lesssim \left(\sum_{m=0}^\infty N_{E,a}(2^{-m}) 2^{-2ms} \right) \|f\|_{H_s}^2.$$

The following estimate follows directly.

Corollary 1. Assume $n \geq 1, a > 0, s > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If

$$\sum_{m=0}^\infty N_{E,a}(2^{-m}) 2^{-2ms} < \infty,$$

then

$$(3) \quad \|S_E^* f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{H_s}.$$

We shall now describe a counter-example of of Sjögren and Sjölin [3]. Assume $a = 2$ and let $\gamma: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ be strictly increasing. Then there exist a function $f \in H_{n/2}$ and a continuous function $u(x, t)$ in $\{(x, t); t > 0\}$ such that $u(x, t) = S_t f(x)$ and

$$\limsup_{\substack{(y,t) \rightarrow (x,0) \\ |y-x| < \gamma(t), t > 0}} |u(y, t)| = \infty$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. This is generalized to a more general Schrödinger equation which contains the fractional Schrödinger equation ($a > 1$) by Johansson [2]. It follows that if $E = \{(y, t); 0 < t < 1, |y| < \gamma(t)\}$, then (3) does not hold for $s < n/2$.

Now let $\Gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^n)$ and let E be a subset of the graph of Γ that is

$$E \subset \{(\Gamma(t), t); 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Assume that

$$(4) \quad |\Gamma(t_1) - \Gamma(t_2)| \lesssim |t_1 - t_2|^\beta \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2 \in E_0,$$

where $0 < \beta$. Also set $a_1 = 1/\beta$ and $a_2 = \max(a, a_1)$. We shall first study the case when E is the graph of Γ . Then (4) holds for any $\beta > 1$ only if Γ is constant. It follows from a result of Cho, Lee, and Vargas [1] that if $n = 1$, $a = 2$, B is an interval of \mathbf{R} and E is the graph of Γ , then

$$\|S_E^* f\|_{L^2(B)} \leq C_B \|f\|_{H_s} \quad \text{if } s > \max(1/2 - \beta, 1/4), \text{ and } 0 < \beta \leq 1.$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 2. Assume $n \geq 1$, $a > 0$, $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and that E is the graph of Γ . Then (3) holds for $2s > a_2$.

We shall then study the case when $E = \{(\Gamma(t_k), t_k); k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, where the sequence $(t_k)_1^\infty$ satisfies (1). We have the following results.

Theorem 3. Assume $n \geq 1$, $a > 0$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

- (i) In the case $2s > a_2$, then (3) holds.
- (ii) In the case $2s = a_2$ assume that $\sum_1^\infty t_k^\gamma < \infty$ for some $\gamma > 0$. Then (3) holds.
- (iii) In the case $2s < a_2$ assume that $\sum_1^\infty t_k^\gamma < \infty$ for some γ satisfying $\gamma < 2s/(a_2 - 2s)$. Then (3) holds.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

If y and y_0 belong to \mathbf{R}^n we write $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $y_0 = (y_{0,1}, \dots, y_{0,n})$. We shall give the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. Assume $y_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and let

$$E = \{(y, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}; y_{0,j} \leq y_j \leq y_{0,j} + r \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n, \text{ and } t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + r^a\}.$$

We have

$$S_t f(x + y) = c \int e^{i\xi \cdot x} e^{i\xi_1 y_1} \dots e^{i\xi_n y_n} e^{it|\xi|^a} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $c = (2\pi)^{-n}$, and for $1 \leq j \leq n$ we write $e^{i\xi_j y_j} = \Delta_j + e^{i\xi_j y_{0,j}}$, where

$$\Delta_j = e^{i\xi_j y_j} - e^{i\xi_j y_{0,j}}.$$

We also write $e^{it|\xi|^a} = \Delta_{n+1} + e^{it_0|\xi|^a}$, where

$$\Delta_{n+1} = e^{it|\xi|^a} - e^{it_0|\xi|^a}.$$

Hence

$$S_t f(x + y) = c \int e^{i\xi \cdot x} (\Delta_1 + e^{i\xi_1 y_{0,1}}) \dots (\Delta_n + e^{i\xi_n y_{0,n}}) (\Delta_{n+1} + e^{it_0|\xi|^a}) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

and it follows that $S_t f(x + y)$ is the sum of integrals of the form

$$(5) \quad c \int e^{i\xi \cdot x} \left(\prod_{j \in D} \Delta_j \right) \left(\prod_{j \in B} e^{i\xi_j y_{0,j}} \right) \Delta_{n+1} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

or

$$(6) \quad c \int e^{i\xi \cdot x} \left(\prod_{j \in D} \Delta_j \right) \left(\prod_{j \in B} e^{i\xi_j y_{0,j}} \right) e^{it_0|\xi|^a} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Here D and B are disjoint subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and $D \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$. We denote the integrals in (5) by $S'_t f(x, y)$ and shall describe how they can be estimated. The same argument works also for integrals in (6).

For $j \in D$ we write

$$\Delta_j = i\xi_j \int_{y_{0,j}}^{y_j} e^{i\xi_j s_j} ds_j,$$

and we also write

$$\Delta_{n+1} = i|\xi|^a \int_{t_0}^t e^{i|\xi|^a s_{n+1}} ds_{n+1}.$$

Assuming $D = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p\}$ we then have

$$\begin{aligned} S'_t f(x, y) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{y_{0,k_1}}^{y_{k_1}} \int_{y_{0,k_2}}^{y_{k_2}} \dots \int_{y_{0,k_p}}^{y_{k_p}} \int_{t_0}^t e^{i\xi \cdot x} \left(\prod_{j \in D} i\xi_j e^{i\xi_j s_j} \right) \left(\prod_{j \in B} e^{i\xi_j y_{0,j}} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot i|\xi|^a e^{i|\xi|^a s_{n+1}} \hat{f}(\xi) ds_{k_1} ds_{k_2} \dots ds_{k_p} ds_{n+1} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of integration one then obtains

$$\begin{aligned} |S'_t f(x, y)| &\leq c \int_{y_{0,k_1}}^{y_{k_1}} \int_{y_{0,k_2}}^{y_{k_2}} \dots \int_{y_{0,k_p}}^{y_{k_p}} \int_{t_0}^t \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \left(\prod_{j \in D} i\xi_j e^{i\xi_j s_j} \right) \left(\prod_{j \in B} e^{i\xi_j y_{0,j}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot i|\xi|^a e^{i|\xi|^a s_{n+1}} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right| ds_{k_1} ds_{k_2} \dots ds_{k_p} ds_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$S'_t f(x, y) = \int_{y_{0,k_1}}^{y_{k_1}} \dots \int_{y_{0,k_p}}^{y_{k_p}} \int_{t_0}^t F_D(x; s_{k_1}, \dots, s_{k_p}, s_{n+1}) ds_{k_1} ds_{k_2} \dots ds_{k_p} ds_{n+1},$$

where

$$F_D(x; s_{k_1}, s_{k_2}, \dots, s_{k_p}, s_{n+1}) = c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \left(\prod_{j \in D} i\xi_j e^{i\xi_j s_j} \right) \left(\prod_{j \in B} e^{i\xi_j y_{0,j}} \right) i|\xi|^a e^{i|\xi|^a s_{n+1}} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S'_t f(x, y)| \\ &\leq \int_{y_{0,k_1}}^{y_{0,k_1}+r} \dots \int_{y_{0,k_p}}^{y_{0,k_p}+r} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r^a} |F_D(x; s_{k_1}, \dots, s_{k_p}, s_{n+1})| ds_{k_1} \dots ds_{k_p} ds_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Invoking Minkowski's inequality and Plancherel's formula we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S'_t f(x, y)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \int_{y_{0,k_1}}^{y_{0,k_1}+r} \dots \int_{y_{0,k_p}}^{y_{0,k_p}+r} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r^a} \|F_D(\cdot; s_{k_1}, \dots, s_{k_p}, s_{n+1})\|_2 ds_{k_1} \dots ds_{k_p} ds_{n+1} \\ &= c(2\pi)^{n/2} \int_{y_{0,k_1}}^{y_{0,k_1}+r} \dots \int_{y_{0,k_p}}^{y_{0,k_p}+r} \int_{t_0}^{t_0+r^a} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\prod_{j \in D} |\xi_j|^2 \right) |\xi|^{2a} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \dots ds_{k_p} ds_{n+1} \leq r^p r^a A^p A^a \|f\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

if $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset B(0, A)$, where $A \geq 1$.

With similar arguments we get the estimate $r^p A^p \|f\|_2$ for the integrals in (6), and by summation of the all integrals of forms (5) or (6) we get

$$(7) \quad \left\| \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f(x + y)| \right\|_2 \leq (1 + rA)^n (1 + r^a A^a) \|f\|_2.$$

Now let E be a set in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} with the property that $E \subset \bigcup_1^N Q_l$ where the sets Q_l are a -cubes with side r with $rA \leq 1$ of the type we have just considered. One has

$$\sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f(x+y)|^2 \leq \sum_1^N \sup_{(y,t) \in Q_l} |S_t f(x+y)|^2$$

and it follows from (7) that

$$(8) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f(x+y)|^2 dx \leq 2^{2n+2} N \|f\|_2^2$$

if $rA \leq 1$ and $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset B(0, A)$.

Now let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. We write $f = \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_k$, where the functions f_k are defined in the following way. We set $\hat{f}_0(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$ and $\hat{f}_0(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| > 1$. For $k \geq 1$ we let $\hat{f}_k(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$ for $2^{k-1} < |\xi| \leq 2^k$ and $\hat{f}_k(\xi) = 0$ otherwise.

Choosing real numbers $g_k > 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f(x+y)| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f_k(x+y)| \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty g_k^{-1/2} \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f_k(x+y)| g_k^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty g_k^{-1} \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f_k(x+y)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty g_k \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and invoking inequality (8) we also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f(x+y)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty g_k^{-1} \int \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f_k(x+y)|^2 dx \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty g_k \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty g_k \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty g_k^{-1} 2^{2n+2} N_{E,a}(2^{-k}) \|f_k\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $g_k = N_{E,a}(2^{-k}) 2^{-2ks}$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sup_{(y,t) \in E} |S_t f(x+y)|^2 dx &\leq 2^{2n+2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty N_{E,a}(2^{-k}) 2^{-2ks} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{2ks} \|f_k\|_2^2 \right) \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty N_{E,a}(2^{-k}) 2^{-2ks} \right) \|f\|_{H_s}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. □

3. Proof of Theorems 2 and 3

The following two lemmas follow easily from the definition of $N_{E,a}(r)$.

Lemma 1. *Assume that $0 < r \leq 1$ and $0 < b < b_1$. Then*

$$N_{E,b}(r) \leq N_{E,b_1}(r),$$

and

$$N_{E,b_1}(r) \lesssim r^{b-b_1} N_{E,b}(r).$$

Lemma 2. Assume that E is a subset of the graph of Γ satisfying (4) with $\beta > 0$ and let $b \geq 1/\beta$. Then

$$N_{E,b}(r) \lesssim N_{E_0}(r^b) \quad \text{for } 0 < r \leq 1.$$

(E_0, Γ, β are defined and equation (4) is in Section 1).

We shall then give the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.

Proof of Theorem 2. We have $a \leq a_2$. Invoking Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$N_{E,a}(2^{-m}) \leq N_{E,a_2}(2^{-m}) \lesssim N_{E_0}(2^{-ma_2}) \leq 2^{ma_2} + 1$$

and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{E,a}(2^{-m}) 2^{-2ms} < \infty$$

if $a_2 - 2s < 0$, i.e. $s > a_2/2$. Using Corollary 1 we conclude that

$$\|S_E^* f\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_{H_s}$$

if $s > a_2/2$. □

We shall then prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. In the case $2s > a_2$ we can use the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2 to prove that (3) holds. Then assume $2s \leq a_2$. We have $E_0 = \{t_k; k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and assuming $\sum_1^\infty t_k^\gamma < \infty$ one obtains $\#A_j \lesssim 2^{\gamma j}$. It then follows from Lemma 6 in [4] that $N_{E_0}(2^{-m}) \lesssim 2^{\gamma m/(\gamma+1)}$.

We have $a \leq a_2$. Applying Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 one obtains

$$N_{E,a}(2^{-m}) \leq N_{E,a_2}(2^{-m}) \lesssim N_{E_0}(2^{-ma_2}) \lesssim 2^{a_2 \gamma m/(\gamma+1)}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_{E,a}(2^{-m}) 2^{-2ms} < \infty$$

if $\gamma a_2/(\gamma + 1) < 2s$, that is $a_2 \gamma < 2s\gamma + 2s$. In the case $2s = a_2$ this holds for every $\gamma > 0$. In the case $2s < a_2$ one has to assume $\gamma < 2s/(a_2 - 2s)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

References

- [1] CHO, C., S. LEE, and A. VARGAS: Problems on pointwise convergence of solutions to the Schrödinger equation. - J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18, 2012, 972–994.
- [2] JOHANSSON, K.: A counterexample on nontangential convergence for oscillatory integrals. - Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) 87:101, 2010, 129–137.
- [3] SJÖGREN, P., and P. SJÖLIN: Convergence properties for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 14, 1989, 13–25.
- [4] SJÖLIN, P., and J.-O. STRÖMBERG: Convergence of sequences of Schrödingers means. - J. Math. Anal. Appl. 483:1, 2020, 123580.