# ANNALES ACADEMIAE SCIENTIARUM FENNICAE

# Series A

# I. MATHEMATICA

547

# ON EXCEPTIONAL VALUES OF FUNCTIONS MEROMORPHIC OUTSIDE A SET OF POSITIVE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION

BY

SAKARI TOPPILA

HELSINKI 1973 SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA

https://doi.org/10.5186/aasfm.1973.547

# Copyright © 1973 by Academia Scientiarum Fennica ISBN 951-41-0118-9

Communicated 9 April 1973 by Olli Lehto

KESKUSKIRJAPAINO HELSINKI 1973

### 1. Introduction

1. Let E be a closed set in the complex plane and f a non-constant meromorphic function outside E omitting a set F. We shall consider the following problem: How thick E ought to be to find f such that F is thick, too? It is known that if the Hausdorff dimension of E Dim (E) is greater than one then there exists a non-constant function f which is regular and bounded outside E. If E has linear measure zero then Dim  $(F) \le 1$ . If the logarithmic capacity of E Cap (E) is zero then Cap (F) = 0. In [3], it is given a geometrical condition under which Dim  $(F) \le D$  im (E). Carleson [1] has proved that there exists a set E with Cap (E) > 0 such that if E omits 4 values outside E then E is rational.

In this paper, we shall prove that  $\operatorname{Dim}(E)>0$  does not guarantee that F is thick, too. We construct a set E with  $\operatorname{Dim}(E)$  uniformly positive such that if f is meromorphic outside E with a singularity at all points of E, then f omits at most 4 values. Here » $\operatorname{Dim}(E)$  uniformly positive» means that there exists a>0 such that if A is open then either  $A\cap E=\emptyset$  or  $\operatorname{Dim}(A\cap E)\geq a$ . Then we shall prove that there exists a set E with  $\operatorname{Dim}(E)>0$  such that if f is meromorphic and non-rational outside E omitting F then  $\operatorname{Cap}(F)=0$ .

### 2. Notations and lemmas

2. Given positive numbers  $\xi_{n,k}$ ,  $0 < \xi_{n,k} < 1/3$ , n = 0,  $1, \ldots$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^n$ , and a sequence  $\{q_n\}$  of real numbers, we construct the corresponding Cantor set E in the following manner.

Let  $\eta_0=1$ ,  $z_{0,1}=0$  and  $l_{0,1}=1$ . Inductively  $(n\geq 1)$ , we define  $\eta_n=\eta_{n-1}e^{iq_n}$  and for k=2p-1, 2p  $(1\leq p\leq 2^{n-1})$ , we set  $\mu_{n,k}=\xi_{n-1,p}$ ,  $l_{n,k}=\xi_{n-1,p}$  and

$$z_{n,k} = z_{n-1,p} + (-1)^k \, \eta_{n-1} \, (1 - \xi_{n-1,p}) \, l_{n-1,p} \, .$$

We set

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} D_{n,k}$$

where  $D_{n,k} = \{z : |z - z_{n,k}| \le l_{n,k} \}$ .

3. We need the following lemmas in our considerations. Let  $\Sigma$  be the Riemann sphere with radius 1/2 touching the w-plane at the origin. The chordal distance of the images on  $\Sigma$  of two points w and w' in the plane is denoted by [w,w'] and  $C(w,\delta)$  is the spherical open disc with centre at the image of w and with chordal radius  $\delta$ .

We set

$$S_{n,k} = \left\{ z : l_{n,k} < |z - z_{n,k}| < \frac{l_{n,k}}{3 \mu_{n,k}} \right\}$$

and

$$ec{arGamma_{n,k}} = \left\{ \! z: |z-z_{n,k}| = rac{l_{n,k}}{\sqrt{3\mu_{n,k}}} \! 
ight\}.$$

We have (see Carleson [1], Matsumoto [2])

**Lemma 1.** There exists a constant A such that if f is analytic in  $S_{n,k}$  and omits 0 and 1 then  $f(\Gamma_{n,k})$  is contained in a spherical disc  $C_{n,k}$  with radius  $\delta_{n,k}$  less than  $A\sqrt{\mu_{n,k}}$ .

We choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $C(1, 8\delta) \cap C(0, 8\delta) = \Theta$ . Now we assume that  $A\sqrt{\xi_{n,k}} < \delta$  for any n and k. Let  $\triangle_{n,k}$  be the triply connected domain bounded by  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ ,  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k-1}$  and  $\Gamma_{n+1,2k}$ . An easy modification of Matsumoto's [2] Lemma 2 gives us

**Lemma 2.** Let f be analytic in  $\triangle_{n,k} \cup S_{n,k} \cup S_{n+1,2k-1} \cup S_{n+1,2k}$  and omit the values 0 and 1. Then only two possibilities can occur:

- (1) The spherical discs  $C_{n,k}$ ,  $C_{n+1,2k-1}$  and  $C_{n+1,2k}$  containing the images of the boundary components of  $\triangle_{n,k}$ , contain the origin, the point w=1, and the point at infinity, one by one, and f takes each value outside the union of these discs once and only once in  $\triangle_{n,k}$ .
- (2) There exists a spherical disc with radius less than  $2A(\sqrt{\mu_{n,k}} + 2\sqrt{\xi_{n,k}})$  which contains  $f(\overline{\triangle}_{n,k})$ .

Let  $T_{n,k}$  be the bounded disc with  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  as boundary. We denote be L(r) (r>0) the union of the spherical disc C(0, r). C(1, r) and  $C(\infty, r)$ . We choose  $\xi_0 > 0$  such that

$$(1) 12A\sqrt{\xi_0} < \frac{\delta}{64}$$

and we assume that  $\xi_{n,k} \leq \xi_0$  for any n and k.

**Lemma 3.** Let f be analytic outside E and omit the values 0 and 1. If  $f(\Gamma_{n,k}) = L(\delta) \neq \emptyset$  then  $f(T_{n,k} = E) \subset C_{n,k}$  where  $C_{n,k}$  is the spherical disc defined in Lemma 1.

*Proof.* Let us suppose that  $f(T_{n,k}) = L(\delta) \neq 0$ . Then f takes on  $T_{n,k}$  a value outside  $C(0,\delta)$  and we see from Lemma 1 that  $C_{n,k}$  cannot

contain the origin. Similarly, 1 and  $\infty$  lie outside  $C_{n,k}$ , and it follows from (1) and Lemma 2 that  $f(\overline{\triangle}_{n,k}) \cap L(\delta/2) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\triangle_p$  be the domain bounded by  $\varGamma_{n,k}$  and the circles  $\varGamma_{n+p,s}$  lying in  $\varGamma_{n,k}$ . Then  $f(\overline{\triangle}_1) \cap L(\delta/16) = \emptyset$ . Let us suppose that  $f(\overline{\triangle}_p) \cap L(\delta/16) = \emptyset$  ( $p \ge 1$ ). Then it follows from (1) and Lemma 2 that  $f(\overline{\triangle}_{p+1}) \cap L(\delta/32) = \emptyset$ . Let  $a \in \varGamma_{n+p,s} \subset \varGamma_{n,k}$ . By Cauchy's integral theorem we have

$$f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{T_{n,k}} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{T_{m}} \int_{z - a} \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz$$

where the sum is taken over all  $\gamma_m = \Gamma_{n+p+1,m} \subset T_{n,k}$ . On  $\overline{\triangle}_{p+1}$  we have  $|f(z)| \leq 32/\delta$ , and on  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  we have the better estimate  $|f(z)| \leq 2/\delta$ .

If  $a \in \overline{T}_{n+q,2j-1}$ ,  $1 \le q \le p$ , and  $\gamma_m \subset T_{n+q,2j}$ , or vice-versa, then

$$\left|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{r_{uv}}\frac{f(z)}{z-a}\,dz\,\right|\leq \frac{64\;\xi_0^{p-q+1}}{\delta}\,.$$

Therefore

$$|f(a)| \le \frac{4}{\delta} + \frac{128\xi_0}{\delta} + \frac{64}{\delta} \sum_{q=1}^{p} 2^{p-q+1} \xi_0^{p-q+1}$$
$$< \frac{4}{\delta} + \frac{128\xi_0}{\delta} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1 - 2\xi_0} \right).$$

Now it follows from (1) that  $|f(\alpha)| < 5/\delta$  and we see that  $f(\overline{\triangle}_p) \cap U(\infty, \delta/8) = \emptyset$ . Considering the functions 1/f and 1/(1-f), we get  $f(\overline{\triangle}_p) \cap L(\delta/8) = \emptyset$ . Applying Lemma 2 again, we get  $f(\overline{\triangle}_{p+1}) \cap L(\delta/16) = \emptyset$  and by induction, we see that  $f(T_{n,k} - E) \cap L(\delta/16) = \emptyset$ . Since E has linear measure zero, the lemma follows from the maximum principle.

## 3. Functions with a singularity at all points of E

4. Let  $0 < a < b < \xi_0$ , and let  $n_k$  be an increasing sequence of even positive integers. We construct the Cantor set E with  $\varphi_n = 0$ , n = 1,  $2, \ldots$ , and with the successive ratios  $\xi_{n,k}$  defined in the following manner. We set  $\xi_{n,k} = a$  for  $0 \le n < n_1$ ,  $1 \le k \le 2^n$ ,  $\xi_{n_i,k} = a/i$ , i = 1,  $2, \ldots$ ,  $1 \le k \le 2^{n_i}$ , and for  $n_i < n < n_{i+1}$  we set

$$\xi_{\it n,k} = a + (b-a) \, (p-1)/2^i$$

for  $(p-1)2^{n-i} < k \le p2^{n-i}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, ..., 2^i$ .

**Theorem 1.** It is possible to choose a and b such that if f is meromorphic outside E with an essential singularity at each point of E, then f omits at most four values (The choice of a and b does not depend on the sequence  $\{n_k\}$ ). If  $n_k$  tends to infinity with a sufficient rapidity as  $k \to \infty$  then for any open set G either  $E \cap G = \emptyset$  or  $Dim(E \cap G) \ge (\log(3/4))/\log a$ .

The proof of the first assertion will be given in 5—9. The second assertion is quite trivial and the proof of it will be omitted.

- 5. Let f be meromorphic outside E with an essential singularity at all points of E omitting 5 values  $a_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,5$ . It does not mean any essential restriction to assume that  $a_1=0$ ,  $a_2=1$ , and  $a_3=\infty$ . f is not bounded, and it follows from Lemma 3 that the case (1) of Lemma 2 occurs for at least one  $\triangle_{n,k}$ . Therefore f takes every value outside the union of the discs  $C(0,\delta)$ ,  $C(1,\delta)$  and  $C(\infty,\delta)$ . By means of a linear transformation, we may suppose that  $a_4 \in C(0,\delta)$  and  $a_5 \in C(\infty,\delta)$ .
- 6. Let  $a < b^{18}$ , and let c > 0 be chosen such that  $a < c^6$  and  $c < b^3$ . We choose a real number  $\xi$ ,  $a \le \xi \le b$ , in the following manner. We set  $a_6 = \max{(|a_4|, 1/|a_5|)}$  and  $a_7 = \min{(|a_4|, 1/|a_5|)}$ .
- (A) If  $a_7 \ge \sqrt{a}$ , we set  $\xi = a$ .
- (B) If  $a_7 < \sqrt{a}$  and  $a_6 \ge c$  then there exist  $\xi$ ,  $c^4 < \xi < c^2$ , and a positive integer q such that  $a_7 = \xi^{q+1/2}$ .
- (C) If the cases (A) and (B) do not occur then  $a_7 \leq a_6 < c$ . There exist  $\xi_{1/2}$ ,  $b^4 < \xi_{1/2} < b^2$ , and a positive integer p such that  $a_6 = \xi_{1/2}^{p+1/2}$ . We set  $a_6 = \xi_r^{p+r}$  where  $1/4 \leq r \leq 3/4$ . Then we have  $b^5 \leq \xi_r \leq b$ . Let now  $a_7 = \xi_r^{t_r}$ . We get  $t_r = K(p+r)$  where  $K = (\log a_7)/\log a_6 \geq 1$ . Therefore there exists r,  $1/4 \leq r \leq 3/4$ , such that  $t_r = q + s$  where q is a positive integer and  $1/4 \leq s \leq 3/4$ , and we choose  $\xi = \xi_r$ .
- 7. Let E' be the Cantor set with  $\varphi_n = 0$  and  $\xi_{n,k} = \xi$  for any n and k. In connection with E' we write  $\Gamma'_{n,k}$ ,  $T'_{n,k}$ , . . . , corresponding to  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ ,  $T_{n,k}$ , . . . in connection with E. Let  $\triangle'_p$  be the connected domain with

$$H = \left\{ z : |z| = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3\,\xi}} \right\}$$

and  $\Gamma'_{p,s}$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^p$ , as boundary.

We choose a sequence  $\{\xi_{s_i,t_i}\}$  such that  $\lim \xi_{s_i,t_i} = \xi$  and  $s_{i+1} > s_i$ . Let i be fixed. It follows from Lemma 3 that the case (1) of Lemma 2 occurs for at least one  $\triangle_{s,t} \subset T_{s_i,t_i}$ . Let  $n_{j-1} < s \le n_j$ . Then we can choose  $q_i \le n_j$  and  $\triangle_{q_i,m_i} \subset T_{s,t}$  such that the case (1) of Lemma 2

occurs for  $\triangle_{q_i,m_i}$ , and for any  $\triangle_{n,k} \subset T_{q_i,m_i}$ ,  $q_i < n \le n_j$ , occurs the case (2) of Lemma 2 (possibly  $q_i = n_i$ ).

We choose the function g being one of the functions f, f/(1-f) and 1/f such that

(3) 
$$g(T_{q_i,m_i}) \subset C(\infty, \delta)$$

for infinitely many i. Taking a subsequence, we see that we may assume that (3) is true for each i. If g=f we set  $a_8=a_4$ , if g=f/(1-f) then  $a_8=a_4/(1-a_4)$ , and if g=1/f we set  $a_8=1/a_5$ . Then g omits the values 0, 1,  $\infty$  and  $a_8$  outside E and  $a_8 \in C(0$ ,  $\delta)$ .

8. We define  $g_i(z) = g(l_{q_i,m_i}z + z_{q_i,m_i})$ . If  $g(\Gamma_{q_i+1,2m_i}) \subset C(1, \delta)$  we set  $f_i(z) = g_i(z)$ , otherwise we set  $f_i(z) = g_i(-z)$ . We set  $G = \{z : 2 < |z| < 1/(12\xi)\}$ . Taking any  $\triangle_p'$ ,  $p \geq 2$ , we see that for sufficiently large i,  $f_i$  is defined on  $G \cup \triangle_p'$ . Applying Lemma 2, it follows from the definition of  $f_i$  that  $f_i(H) \subset C(\infty, 8A\sqrt{\xi})$ ,  $f_i(\Gamma'_{1,1}) \subset C(0, \delta)$ ,  $f_i(\Gamma'_{1,2}) \subset C(1, \delta)$ , and  $f_i$  takes on  $\triangle_1'$  exactly once every value outside the union of the discs  $C(\infty, 8A\sqrt{\xi})$ ,  $C(0, \delta)$  and  $C(1, \delta)$ . Applying Lemma 3, it follows from the choice of the sequence  $\{\triangle_{q_i,m_i}\}$  that  $f_i(T'_{1,1} \cap \triangle_p') \subset C(0, 2\delta)$  and  $f_i(T'_{1,2} \cap \triangle_p') \subset C(1, 2\delta)$  if i is large enough.

Let  $D=\{z:|z|<1/(12\xi)\}$ . We can choose a subsequence  $\{f_{i_k}\}$  which converges uniformly on compact subsets of D-E' towards a limit function  $f_0$ ,  $f_0$  being defined in D-E'. It is easily seen that  $f_0(H) \subset C(\infty, 9A\sqrt{\xi})$ ,  $f_0$  omits the values 0, 1,  $\infty$  and  $a_8$  in D-E' and that  $f_0$  takes the value -1 exactly once in  $\triangle'-E'$  where  $\triangle'$  is the disc bounded by H. Further on,  $f_0(T'_{1,1}-E') \subset C(0$ ,  $3\delta)$  and  $f_0(T'_{1,2}-E') \subset C(1$ ,  $3\delta)$  and since E' has linear measure zero then  $f_0$  has an analytic continuation in D. We denote by  $f_0$  this continuation, too. Applying Rouché's theorem, we see that  $f_0$  takes the values outside  $C(\infty, 9A\sqrt{\xi})$  exactly once in  $\triangle'$ . Now we choose  $z_0$ ,  $z_8 \in T'_{1,1} \cap E'$  and  $z_1 \in T'_{1,2} \cap E'$  such that  $f_0(z_0) = 0$ ,  $f_0(z_1) = 1$  and  $f_0(z_8) = a_8$ .

9. Let  $B = \{w : |w| < r\}$  where  $r = 1/(18A\sqrt{\xi})$ .  $f_0$  is schlicht in  $f_0^{-1}(B) \cap \triangle'$  and it has an inverse function g which is schlicht in B. We write

$$h(w) = \frac{g(rw) - z_0}{r \, g'(0)} \, .$$

Then h is schlicht in |w| < 1, h'(0) = 1, and applying the distortion theorem for schlicht functions we see that

$$\left| \frac{z_1 - z_0}{r \, g'(0)} \right| = |h(1/r)| \le \frac{1}{r(1 - 1/r)^2}$$

and that h takes every value of |z|<1/4. Then g takes in B every value of  $|z-z_0|<\frac{1}{4}\,|z_1-z_0|\,r(1-1/r)^2$ . We have  $1/r=18A\sqrt{\xi}<\delta/32<1/500$ , and we see that  $f_0$  is schlicht in |z|< r/4. Then it follows from the distortion theorem that  $\frac{1}{4}|z_8-z_0|<|a_8|<|z_8-z_0|$ .

Since  $z_8$  and  $z_0$  belong to  $E' \cap T'_{1,1}$ , then there exists  $D'_{n,k}$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , such that  $D'_{n+1,2k-1}$  contains one of the points  $z_0$  and  $z_8$ , and  $D'_{n+1,2k}$  contains the other one. Therefore we have  $\xi^n \le |z_8 - z_0| \le 2 |\xi^n|$  and we get

$$\frac{1}{4} \xi^n \le |a_8| \le 2 \xi^n$$

where n is a positive integer.

On the other hand, it follows from the choice of  $\xi$  and the definition of  $a_8$  that either  $|a_8| \geq \frac{1}{2} \xi^{1/2}$  or  $\frac{1}{2} \xi^{p+r} \leq |a_8| \leq 2 \xi^{p+r}$  where p is a positive integer and  $1/4 \leq r \leq 3/4$ . In both cases we have a contradiction with (4) and the first assertion of Theorem 1 is proved.

10. **Remark.** Modifying a little the proof of Theorem 1 we see that our set E has the following local property: Let A be an open domain such that  $A \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , and let f be meromorphic in A - E with an essential singularity at all points of  $E \cap A$ . Then f omits in A - E at most a finite number of values.

### 4. Non-rational functions

11. A set A is said to have logarithmic measure zero if given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then we can cover A with open spherical discs  $C(b_i, \delta_i)$ ,  $0 < \delta_i < 1$ , such that

$$\sum rac{1}{\log (1/\delta_i)} < arepsilon$$
 .

Let a and b be as in the proof of Theorem 1 and let  $\{n_k\}$  be a sequence of positive even integers such that  $n_{k+1} > 2n_k$ , k=1, 2, ... We construct the Cantor set E with  $\xi_{n,k} = \xi_n$ , k=1, ...,  $2^n$ , and  $\varphi_n$  defined in the following manner. Let  $\{r_i\}$  be a sequence of all rational numbers satisfying the condition  $a \le r_i \le b$ . We set  $\xi_n = r_1$  and  $\varphi_n = 0$  for  $0 \le n < n_1$ ,  $\xi_{n_i} = a/i$  and  $\varphi_{n_i} = \pi/2$ , i=1, 2, ..., and  $\xi_n = r_i$ ,  $\varphi_n = 0$  for  $n_{i-1} < n < n_i$ ,  $i \ge 2$ .

**Theorem 2.** It is possible to choose a, b and the sequence  $\{n_k\}$  such that  $\operatorname{Dim}(E)>0$  and if f is meromorphic and non-rational outside E and omits F, then F has logarithmic measure zero.

12. Proof. Let f be meromorphic and non-rational outside E omitting a set F with positive logarithmic measure. We may assume that  $\{0, 1\}$ 

1,  $\infty \} \subset F$ . It follows from Lemma 3 and Lemma 2 that f takes every value outside  $C(0, \delta) \cup C(1, \delta) \cup C(\infty, \delta)$ . Making a linear transformation, if necessary, we may assume that the sets  $F \cap C(0, \delta)$  and  $F \cap C(\infty, \delta)$  have positive logarithmic measure.

We choose a sequence  $\{\triangle_{s_i,t_i}\}$  such that the case (1) of Lemma 2 occurs for any  $\triangle_{s_i,t_i}$  and  $s_{i+1} > s_i$ . Let  $n_{p_i-1} < s_i \le n_{p_i}$ . If  $\liminf(n_{p_i} - s_i) < \infty$  then it follows from Lemma 3 and Rouché's theorem that two of the discs  $C(0,\delta)$ ,  $C(1,\delta)$  and  $C(\infty,\delta)$  contain only a finite number of points of F, because  $f(\Gamma_{n_{p_i}+1,k})$  is contained in a small spherical disc if i is large. Therefore  $\lim (n_{p_i} - s_i) = \infty$ , and we assume that  $\{s_i\}$  is chosen such that for any n and k,  $s_i < n \le n_{p_i}$ ,  $1 \le k \le 2^n$ , the case (2) of Lemma 2 occurs for  $\triangle_{n,k}$ .

Let now  $\{\triangle_{s_i,t_i}\}$  be chosen such that  $\lim \xi_{s_i} = \xi$ . Since f omits at least 5 values it follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that all values of  $\xi$ ,  $a \le \xi \le b$ , are not allowed. In fact, we can choose c and d, a < c < d < b, such that if  $c \le \xi_n \le d$  then the case (2) of Lemma 2 occurs for  $\triangle_{n,k}$ . Therefore we may assume that the sequence  $\{\triangle_{s_i,t_i}\}$  is chosen such that the case (2) of Lemma 2 occurs for  $\triangle_{n,k}$  if  $n_{p_i} \le n \le n_{p_i+1}$ . Further on, since a linear transformation does not essentially change the logarithmic measure, we may assume that  $f(\Gamma_{s_i,t_i}) \subset C(\infty, \delta)$ ,  $f(\Gamma_{s_i+1,2t_i-1}) \subset C(0, \delta)$  and  $f(\Gamma_{s_i+1,2t_i}) \subset C(1, \delta)$ .

13. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we now construct the Cantor set E' with  $\varphi_n=0$  and  $\xi_{n,k}=\xi$  for any n and k, and setting

$$f_i(z) = f(\eta_{s_i} l_{s_i} z + z_{s_i,t_i}) ,$$

we find a limit function  $f_0$  which is schlict in  $D=\{z:|z|< r\}$  where  $r=1/(72A\sqrt{\xi})$ . We have  $f_0(D-E')\cap F=\emptyset$ ,  $0\in f_0(T'_{1,1}\cap E')$  and  $1\in f_0(T'_{1,2}\cap E')$ , and therefore F has the following property: If  $w_j\in F$ ,  $|w_j|\leq 2$ , j=1, 2,  $w_1\neq w_2$  and  $\mathrm{Re}\ w_1\leq \mathrm{Re}\ w_2$  then

(5) 
$$|\arg(w_2 - w_1)| \le \pi/12$$
.

14. For the sake of simplicity, we write s, t and p instead of  $s_i$ ,  $t_i$  and  $p_i$ . Let  $\triangle$  be the domain bounded by  $\Gamma_{s,t}$  and  $\gamma_v = \Gamma_{n_{p+1},v}$ ,  $v = \alpha, \ldots, \omega$ , where  $\alpha = 2^{n_{p+1}-s} (t-1) + 1$  and  $\omega = 2^{n_{p+1}-s} t$ . We write f = g + h where

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{s,t}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

and

$$h(z) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \sum_{v=\alpha}^{\omega} \int_{z_{\alpha}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

Then g and h are regular in  $\triangle$ .

Let  $\triangle_1$  be the domain bounded by  $\Gamma_{s,t}$  and the circles  $\Gamma_{n_p+m,q}$  lying in  $T_{s,t}$  where  $m=(n_{p+1}-n_p)/2$ . It follows from Lemma 3 that |f(z)|<3 on each  $\gamma_v \subset T_{s,t}$ , and on  $\overline{\triangle}_1$  we get

(i) 
$$\begin{split} |h(z)| & \leq 6(\omega - \alpha + 1)l_{n_{p+1}}/l_{n_{p}+m} \\ & < \left(2b^{1/4}\right)^{n_{p+1}}. \end{split}$$

Now we suppose that  $n_{p+1}$  is so large that  $(2b^{1/4})^{n_{p+1}} \le \sqrt{a}/1000$ . Then it follows from Rouché's theorem that g takes every value outside  $C(\infty, 8A\sqrt{\xi_s}) \cup C(0, 2\delta) \cup C(1, 2\delta)$  exactly once in  $\triangle_{s,t}$ . g is analytic in  $T_{s,t}$  and applying Rouché's theorem again, we see that g takes every value outside  $C(\infty, 8A\sqrt{\xi_s})$  exactly once in  $T_{s,t}$ . Now  $0 \in g(T_{s+1,2t-1})$  and  $1 \in g(T_{s+1,2t})$  because if for instance  $0 \in g(\overline{\triangle}_{s,t})$  then we see that f takes the value 0 in  $\triangle_1$ . Furthermore, we see that g is schlicht in

$$\triangle_4 = \left\{ z : |z - z_{s,t}| < \frac{l_s}{72A\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \right\}.$$

Let  $\Gamma_{n_n,j} \subset T_{s,t}$ . We set

$$L_{\!\scriptscriptstyle j} = \{z : z = z_{{\scriptscriptstyle n_{\!\scriptscriptstyle p}},j} + \lambda \eta_{{\scriptscriptstyle n_{\!\scriptscriptstyle p}}} \, l_{{\scriptscriptstyle n_{\!\scriptscriptstyle p}}} \, , \, \, -2 \le \lambda \le 2 \} \, .$$

Then  $g(L_j)$  has the following property: If  $w_k \in g(L_j)$ , k=1, 2,  $w_1 \neq w_2$  and  ${\rm Im}\ w_1 \leq {\rm Im}\ w_2$ , then

(6) 
$$|\pi/2 - \arg(w_2 - w_1)| \le \pi/12$$
.

Furthermore, if  $j\neq k$  then the distance between  $g(L_j)$  and  $g(L_k)$  is at least  $l_{n_0-1}/(4l_s)>\xi_s^{n_p}$ . We denote

$$U_{\boldsymbol{j}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \left\{\boldsymbol{w} : \text{distance between } \boldsymbol{w} \ \text{ and } \ \boldsymbol{g}(L_{\boldsymbol{j}}) \leq \boldsymbol{r} \right\}.$$

Let  $\beta_q = I_{n_p+m,q} \subset T_{n_p,j}$ . Then  $\beta_q \cap L_j \neq \emptyset$  and we see that  $g(\beta_q) \subset U_j(b^{n_p+1/4})$ . Then it follows from (i) that  $f(\beta_q) \subset U_j(r)$  where  $r = 2(2b^{1/4})^{n_p+1}$ .

Now we assume that the sequence  $\{n_k\}$  is chosen such that

(7) 
$$\lim \frac{2^{n_k}}{n_{k+1}} = 0.$$

Then for large i,  $U_j(r) \cap U_k(r) = \emptyset$  if  $j \neq k$  and we see that f takes in  $\triangle_1$  every value outside  $C(\infty, \delta) \cup (\cup U_j(r))$  where the number of the sets  $U_j(r)$ ,  $\Gamma_{n_p,j} \subset T_{s,t}$ , is at most  $2^{n_p}$ . We see that  $F \cap C(0, \delta) \subset \cup U_j(r)$ . It follows from (5) and (6) that  $F \cap U_j(r)$  is contained in a disc  $B_j$  with radius 4r, and we get from (7) that

$$\frac{2^{n_p}}{\log \frac{1}{4r}} = \frac{2^{n_p}}{-n_{p+1} \log (2b^{1/4}) - \log 8} \to 0$$

as  $i\to\infty$ . Therefore  $F\cap C(0,\delta)$  has logarithmic measure zero. We are led to a contradiction and the second assertion of Theorem 2 is proved. The proof of the assertion concerning Dim (E) will be omitted.

University of Helsinki Helsinki, Finland

### References

- [1] Carleson, L.: A remark on Picard's theorem. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), 142-144.
- [2] Matsumoto, K.: Some remarks on Picard sets. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I 403, 1967.
- [3] Toppila, S.: Some remarks on the value distribution of meromorphic functions. Ark. Mat 9 (1971), 1-9.