

ANNALES ACADEMIAE SCIENTIARUM FENNICAE

Series A

I. MATHEMATICA

587

BIHARMONIC MEASURE

BY

LEO SARIO

---

HELSINKI 1974  
SUOMALAINEN TIEDEAKATEMIA

doi:10.5186/aasfm.1975.587

Copyright © 1974 by  
Academia Scientiarum Fennica  
ISSN 0066-1953  
ISBN 951-41-0201-0

Communicated 11 March 1974

KESKUSKIRJAPAINO  
HELSINKI 1974

## Biharmonic measure

The harmonic Green's function  $g$  is known to exist on a Riemannian manifold  $R$  if and only if the harmonic measure  $\omega$  of the ideal boundary  $\beta$  does not reduce to a constant. This measure is a harmonic function on the complement  $S_0 = R - \bar{R}_0$  of the closure of a regular region  $R_0$ , with essentially the boundary values 1 on  $\partial R_0$  and 0 on  $\beta$ . The biharmonic Green's function  $\gamma$  on  $R$ , with »boundary values»  $\gamma = \Delta\gamma = 0$  on  $\beta$ , exists if and only if  $\omega \in L^2(S_0)$  [22]. In the present paper we ask: can a nonharmonic biharmonic function be introduced on  $S_0$  with the property that its nondegeneracy characterizes the existence of  $\gamma$ , in analogy with the nondegeneracy of  $\omega$  characterizing the existence of  $g$ ? We shall show that this is possible. The function, which we will call the *biharmonic measure*  $\sigma$  of  $\beta$ , is the limit of biharmonic functions  $\sigma_\Omega$  on subregions  $S_0 \cap \Omega$  of  $S_0$ , with the  $\Omega$  regular subregions of  $R$  containing  $\bar{R}_0$ . In contrast with approximating harmonic measures  $\omega_\Omega$  with  $\omega_\Omega = 1$  on  $\partial R_0$ ,  $\omega_\Omega = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , the function  $\sigma_\Omega$ , with  $\Delta\sigma_\Omega = \omega_\Omega$ , vanishes on the entire boundary of  $S_0 \cap \Omega$ , and spans  $S_0 \cap \Omega$  like an arch shaped bridge. As  $\Omega$  increases, the height of this arch  $\sigma_\Omega$  increases, and its limit  $\sigma$  as  $\Omega$  exhausts  $R$  is either an arch spanning  $S_0$  or else the constant  $\infty$ . We shall show that the finiteness of  $\sigma$  is independent of the choice of  $S_0$ , and we can therefore introduce the class  $O_\infty$  of Riemannian manifolds with boundaries of infinite biharmonic measure.

We first explore  $O_\infty$  in its own right. For radial spaces, which play a central role in biharmonic classification theory, we decompose  $\sigma$  into its biharmonic, harmonic, quasiharmonic, and constant components. The biharmonic type of  $R$  can then be easily tested. In particular,  $\sigma < \infty$  if both the biharmonic and harmonic components of  $\sigma$  tend to zero as one approaches  $\beta$ . We use this test to determine the type of a number of fundamental manifolds used in biharmonic classification theory. E.g., for the Poincaré  $N$ -ball

---

The work was sponsored by the U. S. Army Research Office, Grant DA-ARO-31-124-73-G39, University of California, Los Angeles.

MOS Classification 31B30.

$$B_\alpha^N = \{x = (x^1, \dots, x^N), |x| = r, r < 1, ds = (1 - r^2)^\alpha |dx|, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

we obtain the following complete characterizations:

$$B_\alpha^N \in O_\Sigma \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha \leq -3/2, & N = 2, \\ \alpha \notin (-3, 1), & N = 3, \\ \alpha \geq (N - 2)^{-1}, & N > 3. \end{cases}$$

After this study of  $\sigma$  we establish its characteristic property in our original problem:

$$O_\Sigma = O_T,$$

where  $O_T$  is the class of Riemannian manifolds which do not carry the biharmonic Green's function  $\gamma$ . In particular, the above values of  $\alpha$  exclude  $\gamma$  on the Poincaré ball  $B_x^N$ .

The role of  $O_\Sigma$  in general biharmonic classification theory will be discussed in another context.

1. The Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta = d\delta - \delta d$  gives the class  $H$  of harmonic functions  $h$ ,  $\Delta h = 0$ , and the class  $H^2$  of nonharmonic biharmonic functions  $u$ ,  $\Delta^2 u = 0$ ,  $\Delta u \neq 0$ . Let  $R_0, \Omega$  be regular subregions of  $R$ , with  $\bar{R}_0 \subset \Omega$ , and set  $S_0 = R - \bar{R}_0$ ,  $\alpha = \partial R_0$ ,  $\beta_\Omega = \partial \Omega$ . Take  $\omega_\Omega \in C(\bar{S}_0 \cap \bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\omega_\Omega \in H(S_0 \cap \Omega)$ ,  $\omega_\Omega|_\alpha = 1$ ,  $\omega_\Omega|_{\beta_\Omega} = 0$ . The directed limit  $\omega = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} \omega_\Omega$  is the harmonic measure on  $\bar{S}_0$  of the ideal boundary  $\beta$  of  $R$ .

We introduce:

**Definition.** *The directed limit*

$$\sigma = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} \sigma_\Omega,$$

where

$$\sigma_\Omega \in C(\bar{S}_0 \cap \bar{\Omega}) \cap H^2(S_0 \cap \Omega), \quad \Delta \sigma_\Omega = \omega_\Omega, \quad \sigma_\Omega|_\alpha = \sigma_\Omega|_{\beta_\Omega} = 0,$$

is the biharmonic measure of the ideal boundary  $\beta$  of the Riemannian manifold  $R$ .

The limit always exists. In fact, if  $g_{S_0 \cap \Omega}(x, y)$  is the harmonic Green's function on  $S_0 \cap \Omega$  with pole  $y$ , then

$$\sigma_\Omega(x) = \int_{S_0 \cap \Omega} g_{S_0 \cap \Omega}(x, y) \omega_\Omega(y) dy,$$

with  $dy$  the Riemannian volume element at  $y$ . Since both  $g_{S_0 \cap \Omega}$  and  $\omega_\Omega$  increase with  $\Omega$ , so does  $\sigma_\Omega$ , and the limit  $\sigma$  exists, finite or infinite, at every  $x \in S_0$ . We shall show in No. 7 that the finiteness is independent of  $x$  and of  $S_0$ .

**2.** We first study the biharmonic measure  $\sigma$  on radial spaces, e.g., the  $N$ -space and the  $N$ -ball, each endowed with a radial metric  $ds = \lambda(r)|dx|$ ,  $r = |x|$ . We choose  $R_0 = \{r < r_0\}$ ,  $S_0 = \{r > r_0\}$ .

Let  $Q$  be the class of quasiharmonic functions  $q$ , defined by  $\Delta q = 1$ . The space of all radial biharmonic functions  $u(r)$ ,  $\Delta^2 u(r) = 0$ , is generated by four functions: any  $u_0(r) \in H^2 - Q$ ,  $\Delta u_0(r)$ , any  $q_0(r) \in Q$ , and the constant 1. Thus every  $u(r)$  has a decomposition

$$u(r) = au_0(r) + b\Delta u_0(r) + cq_0(r) + d,$$

which depends on the choice of  $u_0$  and  $q_0$ . In particular, if the biharmonic measure

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(r) = \int_{S_0} g_{S_0}(x, y)\omega(y)dy$$

is finite, it has such a decomposition, and  $\sigma(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \beta$ .

Testing of the finiteness of  $\sigma$  is facilitated by the following simple criterion:

**Lemma.** *If every radial  $u \in H^2$  on  $S_0$  is unbounded, then the biharmonic measure  $\sigma$  is infinite. If there exists a function  $u_0(r) \in H^2 - Q$  with  $u_0(r) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Delta u_0(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \beta$ , then  $\sigma < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* The first part of the Lemma is clear, since  $\Delta\sigma = \omega$  entails  $\sigma \in H^2$ . If  $u_0(r) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Delta u_0(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \beta$  for some  $u_0 \in H^2 - Q$ , then  $a = 1/\Delta u_0(r_0)$  gives  $\Delta(au_0) = \omega$ , and  $b = -au_0(r_0)/\Delta u_0(r_0)$  provides us with the function  $\sigma = au_0 + b\Delta u_0$  which has all the properties required of the biharmonic measure.

**3.** For our first radial space we take the Euclidean  $N$ -space  $E^N$ . The type distinction is here fascinatingly simple:

**Theorem 1.** *The ideal boundary of  $E^N$  has a finite biharmonic measure if and only if  $N > 4$ .*

*Proof.* Let us first construct  $\sigma$  for  $N > 4$  by means of the definition  $\sigma = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} \sigma_\Omega$ . Choose  $r_0 = 1$ ,  $\varrho > 1$ ,  $\Omega = \{r < \varrho\}$ , and write  $\sigma_\varrho$  for  $\sigma_\Omega$ . For the decomposition

$$\sigma_\varrho = a_\varrho u_0(r) + b_\varrho \Delta u_0(r) + c_\varrho q_0(r) + d_\varrho$$

we take

$$\sigma_\varrho = a_\varrho r^{-N+4} + b_\varrho r^{-N-2} - c_\varrho r^2 + d_\varrho,$$

where we have absorbed in  $b_\varrho$  the constant  $[2(N-4)]^{-1}$  from  $\Delta r^{-N+4} = 2(N-4)r^{-N+2}$ , and in  $c_\varrho$  the constant  $-(2N)^{-1}$  from  $\Delta r^2 = -2N$ . By the definition of  $\sigma_\varrho$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_\varrho(1) = a_\varrho + b_\varrho + c_\varrho + d_\varrho = 0, \\ \sigma_\varrho(\varrho) = a_\varrho \varrho^{-N+4} + b_\varrho \varrho^{-N+2} + c_\varrho \varrho^2 + d_\varrho = 0, \\ \Delta \sigma_\varrho(1) = 2(N-4)a_\varrho - 2Nc_\varrho = 1, \\ \Delta \sigma_\varrho(\varrho) = 2(N-4)a_\varrho \varrho^{-N+2} - 2Nc_\varrho = 0. \end{cases}$$

From the third and fourth equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varrho &= [2(N-4)(1 - \varrho^{-N+2})]^{-1}, \\ c_\varrho &= \varrho^{-N+2}[2N(1 - \varrho^{-N+2})]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a, b, c, d$  are the limits of  $a_\varrho, b_\varrho, c_\varrho, d_\varrho$  as  $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$a = [2(N-4)]^{-1}, \quad c = 0.$$

The first and second equations above then give

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \{-(1 - \varrho^{-N+2})^{-1}[a_\varrho(1 - \varrho^{-N+4}) + c_\varrho(1 - \varrho^2)]\} = -[2(N-4)]^{-1}, \\ d &= \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} [-(a_\varrho + b_\varrho + c_\varrho)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the explicit expression

$$\sigma(r) = [2(N-4)]^{-1}(r^{-N+4} - r^{-N+2})$$

for the biharmonic measure of the ideal boundary of  $E^N$  for  $N > 4$ .

**4.** We now deduce the same result for  $N > 4$  using the Lemma and its proof. For  $u_0(r) = r^{-N+4}$ ,  $\Delta u_0(r) = 2(N-4)r^{-N+2}$ , we have

$$a = [2(N-4)]^{-1}r_0^{N-2}, \quad b = -[2(N-4)]^{-2}r_0^N,$$

and for  $r_0 = 1$  the function  $\sigma = au_0 - b\Delta u_0$  is the biharmonic measure  $[2(N-4)]^{-1}(r^{-N+4} - r^{-N+2})$ .

To prove that  $\sigma = \infty$  for  $N \leq 4$ , we use the representation for  $\sigma < \infty$

$$\sigma(r) = \begin{cases} ar^2 \log r + b \log r - cr^2 - d, & N = 2, \\ ar + br^{-1} + cr^2 + d, & N = 3, \\ a \log r + br^{-2} + cr^2 + d, & N = 4. \end{cases}$$

For  $N = 2$ , this is unbounded unless  $a = b = c = 0$ , and  $\sigma$  is constant. For  $N = 3$  or  $4$ , it is unbounded unless  $a = c = 0$  and  $\sigma$  is harmonic. However, by  $\Delta \sigma = \omega$ ,  $\sigma$  cannot be harmonic, a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

**5.** Can the biharmonic measure of the ideal boundary of  $E^N$  be made finite even for  $N \leq 4$  if we »shrink» or »expand» the boundary by replacing

the Euclidean metric  $ds = |dx|$  by the metric  $(1 + r^2)^\alpha |dx|$ , with  $\alpha$  a sufficiently small or large constant? Denote the resulting space by  $R_\alpha^N$ . The answer is perhaps somewhat unexpected:

**Theorem 2.** *The biharmonic measure  $\sigma$  of the ideal boundary of  $R_\alpha^N$  is infinite for all  $\alpha$  if  $N \leq 4$ . For  $N > 4$ ,  $\sigma$  is infinite if and only if  $\alpha \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* An explicit construction of  $\sigma$  as the limit of  $\sigma_\Omega$ , as in No. 3, is now not possible, and we make use of the Lemma. We know that  $\sigma$  has the form

$$\sigma(r) = au_0(r) + b\Delta u_0(r) + cq_0(r) + d.$$

First we shall find bounded functions  $u_0, \Delta u_0$  for  $N > 4$ . Choose again  $S_0 = \{r > 1\}$ . For  $h(r) \in H(S_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta h(r) &= -r^{-N+1}(1+r^2)^{-N\alpha}[r^{N-1}(1+r^2)^{(N-2)\alpha}h'(r)]' = 0, \\ h(r) &= c \int_1^r r^{-N+1}(1+r^2)^{-(N-2)\alpha} dr \sim c \int_1^r r^{-N+1-2(N-2)\alpha} dr \\ &= \begin{cases} a + br^{-(N-2)(1+2\alpha)} & \text{if } N > 2 \text{ and } \alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ a + b \log r & \text{if } N = 2 \text{ or } \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $h(r)$  belongs to the family  $B$  of bounded functions if and only if  $N > 2, \alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ , an assumption we shall make for the present. Here and later we disregard irrelevant multiplicative and additive constants, and we choose an  $h_0$  with

$$h_0(r) \sim r^{-(N-2)(1+2\alpha)},$$

which  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  if  $N > 2, \alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

For  $\Delta u(r) = h_0(r)$ , we obtain

$$[r^{N-1}(1+r^2)^{(N-2)\alpha}u'(r)]' \sim r^{1+4\alpha}.$$

Accordingly, in view of  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $[\ ] \sim r^{2+4\alpha}$ , and, again by virtue of  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ , we can take  $u_0$  with

$$u_0(r) \sim r^{-(N-4)(1-2\alpha)}.$$

This  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  if  $-2(N-4)\alpha < N-4$ , which in turn, under our assumption  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ , holds if and only if  $N > 4$ . By the Lemma, we conclude that  $\sigma < \infty$  if  $N > 4, \alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

In the discussion of the case  $\sigma = \infty$ , the nonuniqueness of the generators  $u_0, \Delta u_0, q_0$  (and 1) makes it necessary to consider the unboundedness of all four components of  $\sigma(r) \in H^2$ . For  $\Delta q(r) = 1$ , we obtain

$$[r^{N-1}(1+r^2)^{(N-2)\alpha}q'(r)]' \sim r^{N-1+2N\alpha},$$

and therefore

$$q'(r) \sim \begin{cases} r^{1+4\alpha}, & \alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ r^{-1} \log r, & \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

We choose

$$q_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} r^{2+4\alpha}, & \alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (\log r)^2, & \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

For  $N = 2$  and any  $\alpha$ ,  $h_0(r) \sim \log r$ , and  $u'(r)$  satisfies

$$[ru'(r)]' \sim r^{1+4\alpha} \log r, \quad [] \sim r^{2+4\alpha} \log r, \quad u'(r) \sim r^{1+4\alpha} \log r,$$

so that we can take

$$u_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} r^{2+4\alpha} \log r, & \alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}, \\ (\log r)^2, & \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma(r) \sim \begin{cases} ar^{2+4\alpha} \log r + b \log r + cr^{2-4\alpha} + d, & \alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2} \\ a(\log r)^2 + b \log r + d, & \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

By virtue of  $\Delta\sigma = \omega$ , we have  $a \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$ , hence  $\sigma \notin B$ , and  $\sigma = \infty$ .

For  $N = 3$ ,  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$h_0(r) \sim r^{-1-2\alpha}, \quad u_0(r) \sim r^{1+2\alpha}, \quad q_0(r) \sim r^{2+4\alpha}.$$

Since  $a \neq 0$  and hence  $b \neq 0$ , we have  $\sigma \notin B$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ .

For  $N = 3$ ,  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $h_0(r) \sim \log r$  and

$$\begin{aligned} [r^2(1+r^2)^{-1/2}u'(r)]' &\sim r^2(1+r^2)^{-3/2} \log r \sim r^{-1} \log r, \\ [] &\sim (\log r)^2, \quad u'(r) \sim r^{-1} (\log r)^2, \quad u_0(r) \sim (\log r)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q_0(r) \sim (\log r)^2$ , we have  $\sigma(r) \sim (\log r)^3 \notin B$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ .

For  $N = 4$ ,  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $h_0(r) \sim r^{-2-4\alpha}$ ,

$$[r^3(1+r^2)^{2\alpha}u'(r)]' \sim r^{1-4\alpha}, \quad u'(r) \sim r^{-1}, \quad u_0(r) \sim \log r.$$

In view of  $q_0(r) \sim r^{2+4\alpha}$ ,  $\sigma(r)$  grows at least as rapidly as  $\log r$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ .

For  $N = 4$ ,  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $h_0(r) \sim \log r$ ,

$$[r^3(1+r^2)^{-1}u'(r)]' \sim r^{-1} \log r, \quad u'(r) \sim r^{-1} (\log r)^2, \quad u_0(r) \sim (\log r)^3.$$

Since  $q_0(r) \sim (\log r)^2$ , we have  $\sigma(r) \sim (\log r)^3 \notin B$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ . We have proved that  $\sigma = \infty$  for  $N \leq 4$ , all  $\alpha$ .



For  $N > 4$ ,  $\alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$h_0(r) \sim r^{-(N-2)(1+2\alpha)}, \quad u_0(r) \sim r^{-(N-4)(1+2\alpha)}, \quad q_0(r) \sim r^{2+4\alpha}.$$

Therefore,  $\sigma \sim r^\beta$ , with

$$\beta \geq \min [-(N-2)(1+2\alpha), -(N-4)(1+2\alpha)].$$

The two quantities are both positive for  $\alpha < -\frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $\sigma \notin B$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ .

For  $N > 4$ ,  $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $h_0(r) \sim \log r$ ,

$$[r^{N-1}(1+r^2)^{-(N-2)/2}u'(r)]' \sim r^{-1} \log r, \quad u'(r) \sim r^{-1} (\log r)^2, \quad u_0(r) \sim (\log r)^3,$$

and  $q_0(r) \sim (\log r)^2$ . Consequently  $\sigma(r) \sim (\log r)^3 \notin B$ , and  $\sigma = \infty$ .

We have shown that  $\sigma = \infty$  for  $N > 4$ ,  $\alpha \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

**6.** Next we consider the Poincaré  $N$ -ball  $B_\alpha^N$ , which plays an important role in general biharmonic classification theory. By definition,

$$B_\alpha^N = \{x = (x^1, \dots, x^N), |x| = r, r < 1, ds = (1-r^2)^\alpha |dx|, x \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

We shall give a complete characterization of the finiteness of  $\sigma$ :

**Theorem 3.** *The biharmonic measure of the ideal boundary of the Poincaré ball  $B_\alpha^N$  is finite if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha > -3/2, & N = 2, \\ \alpha \in (-3, 1), & N = 3, \\ \alpha < (N-2)^{-1}, & N > 3. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $h(r) \in H$ ,

$$\Delta h(r) = -r^{-N+1}(1-r^2)^{-N\alpha}[r^{N-1}(1-r^2)^{(N-2)\alpha}h'(r)]' = 0,$$

and we choose

$$h_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} \log r \sim 1-r, & N = 2, \text{ any } \alpha, \\ (1-r)^{-(N-2)\alpha-1}, & N > 2, \alpha = (N-2)^{-1}, \\ \log(1-r), & N > 2, \alpha = (N-2)^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

For  $\Delta u(r) = h_0(r)$ ,

$$[r^{N-1}(1-r^2)^{(N-2)\alpha}u'(r)]' \sim (1-r)^{N\alpha}h_0(r),$$

$$u'(r) \sim (1-r)^{-(N-2)\alpha} \int^r (1-r)^{N\alpha}h_0(r)dr.$$

Fore  $N = 2$ , we take

$$u_0(r) \sim \int \int^r (1-s)^{2\alpha+1} ds dr \sim \begin{cases} \int^r (1-r)^{2\alpha+2} dr \sim (1-r)^{2\alpha+3}, & \alpha \neq -1, \\ & -3/2, \\ \int^r \log(1-r) dr \sim (1-r) \log(1-r), & \\ & \alpha = -1, \\ \int^r (1-r)^{-1} dr \sim \log(1-r), & \alpha = -3/2. \end{cases}$$

For  $N = 3$ , we obtain successively

$$u(r) \sim \begin{cases} \int^r (1-r)^{-\alpha} \int^r (1-s)^{2\alpha+1} ds dr, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ \int^r (1-r)^{-\alpha} \int^r (1-s)^3 \log(1-s) ds dr, & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$u(r) \sim \begin{cases} \int^r (1-r)^{\alpha+2} dr, & \alpha \neq 1, -1, \\ \int^r (1-r)^3 \log(1-r) dr, & \alpha = 1, \\ \int^r (1-r) \log(1-r) dr, & \alpha = -1, \end{cases}$$

$$u_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1-r)^{\alpha+3}, & \alpha \neq 1, -1, -3, \\ (1-r)^4 \log(1-r), & \alpha = 1, \\ (1-r)^2 \log(1-r), & \alpha = -1, \\ \log(1-r), & \alpha = -3. \end{cases}$$

For  $N = 4$ ,

$$u(r) \sim \begin{cases} \int^r (1-r)^{-2\alpha} \int^r (1-s)^{2\alpha+1} ds dr, & \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \int^r (1-r)^{-1} \int^r (1-s)^2 \log(1-s) ds dr, & \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$u_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1-r)^3, & \alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}, -1, \\ (1-r)^3 \log(1-r), & \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \\ (1-r)^3 \log(1-r), & \alpha = -1. \end{cases}$$

For  $N > 4$ ,

$$u(r) \sim \begin{cases} \int_0^r (1-r)^{-(N-2)\alpha} \int_0^r (1-s)^{2\alpha+1} ds dr, & \alpha \neq (N-2)^{-1}, \\ \int_0^r (1-r)^{-1} \int_0^r (1-s)^{N/(N-2)} \log(1-s) ds dr, & \alpha = (N-2)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$u_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1-r)^{-(N-4)\alpha+3}, & \alpha \neq 3(N-4)^{-1}, (N-2)^{-1}, -1, \\ \log(1-r), & \alpha = 3(N-4)^{-1}, \\ (1-r)^{(2N-2)/(N-2)} \log(1-r), & \alpha = (N-2)^{-1}, \\ (1-r)^{N-1} \log(1-r), & \alpha = -1. \end{cases}$$

For  $\Delta q(r) = 1$ ,

$$[r^{N-1}(1-r^2)^{(N-2)\alpha} q'(r)]' \sim (1-r)^{N\alpha},$$

$$q'(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1-r)^{2\alpha-1}, & \alpha \neq -N^{-1}, \\ (1-r)^{(N-2)/N} \log(1-r), & \alpha = -N^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

For  $N \geq 2$ ,

$$q_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1-r)^{2\alpha+2}, & \alpha \neq -N^{-1}, -1, \\ (1-r)^{(2N-2)/N} \log(1-r), & \alpha = -N^{-1}, \\ \log(1-r), & \alpha = -1. \end{cases}$$

As  $r \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$h_0(r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } \begin{cases} N = 2, \text{ any } \alpha, \\ N > 2, \alpha < (N-2)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$u_0(r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } \begin{cases} N = 2, \alpha > -3/2, \\ N = 3, \alpha > -3, \\ N = 4, \text{ any } \alpha, \\ N > 4, \alpha < 3(N-4)^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

We conclude by the Lemma that

$$\sigma < \infty \text{ if } \begin{cases} N = 2, \alpha > -3/2, \\ N = 3, \alpha \in (-3, 1), \\ N > 3, \alpha < (N-2)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

as claimed.

In preparation for the case  $\sigma = \infty$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_0 \notin B &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} N = 2, \text{ no } \alpha, \\ N > 2, \alpha \geq (N - 2)^{-1}, \end{cases} \\
 u_0 \notin B &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} N = 2, \alpha \leq -3/2, \\ N = 3, \alpha \leq -3, \\ N = 4, \text{ no } \alpha, \\ N > 4, \alpha \geq 3(N - 4)^{-1}, \end{cases} \\
 q_0 \notin B &\Leftrightarrow N \geq 2, \alpha \leq -1.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have obtained

$$au_0 + b_1 u_0 \notin B \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} N = 2, \alpha \leq -3/2, \\ N = 3, \alpha \notin (-3, 1), \\ N > 3, \alpha \geq (N - 2)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

except that we shall return later to the case  $N > 4, \alpha \geq 3(N - 4)^{-1}$ . Here for  $N = 2$ , we have  $h_0 \in B, u_0 \notin B$ , with

$$u_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1 - r)^{2\alpha+3}, & \alpha < -3/2, \\ \log(1 - r), & \alpha = -3/2, \end{cases}$$

whereas

$$q_0(r) \sim (1 - r)^{2\alpha+2}, \quad \alpha \leq -3/2.$$

Thus the rates of growth of  $u_0$  and  $q_0$  are different for  $\alpha \leq -3/2$ , and we have  $\sigma \notin B$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$  as claimed.

For  $N = 3, h_0 \notin B, u_0 \in B$  if  $\alpha \geq 1$ , with

$$h_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1 - r)^{-\alpha+1}, & \alpha > 1, \\ \log(1 - r), & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

whereas

$$q_0(r) \sim (1 - r)^{2\alpha+2}, \quad \alpha \geq 1.$$

Thus the rates of growth are different for  $\alpha \geq 1$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ . Moreover,  $h_0 \in B, u_0 \notin B$  for  $\alpha \leq -3$ , with

$$u_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1 - r)^{\alpha-3}, & \alpha < -3, \\ \log(1 - r), & \alpha = -3, \end{cases}$$

whereas

$$q_0(r) \sim (1 - r)^{2\alpha+2}, \quad \alpha \leq -3.$$

The rates of growth are different for  $\alpha \leq -3$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ .

For  $N = 4$ ,  $h_0 \notin B$ ,  $u_0 \in B$  if  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , with

$$h_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1-r)^{-2\alpha+1}, & \alpha > \frac{1}{2}, \\ \log(1-r), & \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

whereas

$$q_0(r) \sim (1-r)^{2\alpha+2}, \quad \alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

The rates of growth are different for  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ .

For  $N > 4$ ,  $h_0 \notin B$ ,  $u_0 \in B$  if  $\alpha \in [(N-2)^{-1}, 3(N-4)^{-1}]$ , with

$$h_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1-r)^{-(N-2)\alpha+1}, & \alpha \in ((N-2)^{-1}, 3(N-4)^{-1}), \\ \log(1-r), & \alpha = (N-2)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

whereas

$$q_0(r) \sim (1-r)^{2\alpha+2}, \quad \alpha \in [(N-2)^{-1}, 3(N-4)^{-1}].$$

Thus the rates of growth are different for  $\alpha \in [(N-2)^{-1}, 3(N-4)^{-1}]$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ . Moreover,  $h_0 \notin B$ ,  $u_0 \notin B$  for  $\alpha \geq 3(N-4)^{-1}$ , with

$$h_0(r) \sim (1-r)^{-(N-2)\alpha-1}, \quad \alpha > 3(N-4)^{-1},$$

$$u_0(r) \sim \begin{cases} (1-r)^{-(N-4)\alpha-3}, & \alpha > 3(N-4)^{-1}, \\ \log(1-r), & \alpha = 3(N-4)^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

whereas

$$q_0(r) \sim (1-r)^{2\alpha+2}, \quad \alpha \geq 3(N-4)^{-1}.$$

The rates of growth are all different for  $\alpha \geq 3(N-4)^{-1}$ , hence  $\sigma = \infty$ .

The proof of Theorem 3 is herewith complete.

**7.** We proceed to the proof of the fundamental property of  $\sigma$  referred to in No. 1. Let  $R$  be an arbitrary Riemannian manifold,  $R_0$  its regular subregion, and  $x$  a point of  $S_0 = R - \bar{R}_0$ .

**Proposition.** *The finiteness of the biharmonic measure  $\sigma(x)$  on  $\bar{S}_0$  is independent of  $R_0$  and of  $x$ .*

*Proof.* For any region  $G$ , let  $g_G(x, y)$  be the harmonic Green's function on  $G$ , with pole  $y$ . Denote the harmonic measure on  $S_0$  by  $\omega$ . The biharmonic measure on  $S_0$  and the biharmonic Green's function on  $R$ , if they exist, are

$$\sigma_{S_0}(x) = \int_{S_0} g_{S_0}(x, y)\omega(y)dy,$$

$$\gamma(p, q) = \int_R g_R(p, y)g_R(y, q)dy.$$

We are to prove:

I. If  $\sigma_{S_0}(x) < \infty$  for some  $S_0, x \in S_0$ , then  $\gamma(p, q) < \infty$  for any  $p, q \in R$ .

II. If  $\gamma(p, q) < \infty$  for some  $p, q \in R$ , then  $\sigma_{S_0}(x) < \infty$  for any  $S_0, x \in S_0$ .

*Proof of I.* Given  $\sigma_{S_0}(x) < \infty$  for some  $S_0, x \in S_0$ , choose any  $p, q \in R$  and regular subregions  $R_1, \Omega$  of  $R$  with

$$\bar{R}_0 \cup x \cup p \cup q \subset R_1 \subset \bar{R}_1 \subset \Omega.$$

Set  $\alpha_0 = \partial R_0, \alpha_1 = \partial R_1, \beta_\Omega = \partial \Omega, S_1 = R - \bar{R}_1$ , and take

$$\omega_\Omega \in C(\bar{\Omega} \cap \bar{S}_0) \cap H(\Omega \cap S_0), \quad \omega_\Omega|_{\alpha_0} = 1, \quad \omega_\Omega|_{\beta_\Omega} = 0.$$

We shall use the following constants:

$$m_{1\Omega} = \min_{y \in \alpha_1} g_{S_0 \cap \Omega}(y, x), \quad M_{1\Omega} = \max_{y \in \alpha_1} g_{S_0 \cap \Omega}(y, x),$$

$$m_{2\Omega} = \min_{\alpha_1} \omega_\Omega, \quad M_{2\Omega} = \max_{\alpha_1} \omega_\Omega,$$

$$m_{3\Omega} = \min_{y \in \alpha_1} g_\Omega(y, p), \quad M_{3\Omega} = \max_{y \in \alpha_1} g_\Omega(y, p),$$

$$m_{4\Omega} = \min_{y \in \alpha_1} g_\Omega(y, q), \quad M_{4\Omega} = \max_{y \in \alpha_1} g_\Omega(y, q),$$

$$m_i = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} m_{i\Omega}, \quad M_i = \lim_{\Omega \rightarrow R} M_{i\Omega}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

$$k_1 = \frac{M_3 M_4}{m_1 m_2}, \quad k_2 = \frac{M_1 M_2}{m_3 m_4}.$$

We obtain

$$g_\Omega(y, p) \leq \frac{M_{3\Omega}}{m_{1\Omega}} g_{S_0 \cap \Omega}(y, x) \text{ on } \alpha_1 \cup \beta_\Omega, \text{ hence on } \bar{\Omega} \cap \bar{S}_1,$$

$$g_R(y, p) \leq \frac{M_3}{m_1} g_{S_0}(y, x) \text{ on } \bar{S}_1,$$

$$g_\Omega(y, q) \leq \frac{M_{4\Omega}}{m_{2\Omega}} \omega_\Omega(y) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega} \cap \bar{S}_1,$$

$$g_R(y, q) \leq \frac{M_4}{m_2} \omega(y) \text{ on } \bar{S}_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{S_1} g_R(p, y) g_R(y, q) dy = \int_{S_1} g_R(y, p) g_R(y, q) dy$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq k_1 \int_{S_1} g_{S_0}(y, x) \omega(y) dy \\
&= k_1 \int_{S_1} g_{S_0}(x, y) \omega(y) dy \\
&< k_1 \int_{S_0} g_{S_0}(x, y) \omega(y) dy < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and a fortiori

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(p, q) &= \int_R g_R(p, y) g_R(y, q) dy \\
&= C_1 + \int_{S_1} g_R(p, y) g_R(y, q) dy \\
&= C_1 + k_1 \sigma_{S_0}(x) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof of II.* Suppose  $\gamma(p, q) < \infty$  for some  $p, q \in R$ . Take any regular region  $R_0$  and an  $x \in S_0 = R - \bar{R}_0$ . For  $R_1, \Omega$  chosen as before,

$$g_{S_0 \cap \Omega}(y, x) \leq \frac{M_{1\Omega}}{m_{3\Omega}} g_\Omega(y, p) \text{ on } \alpha_1 \cup \beta_\Omega, \text{ hence on } \bar{S}_1 \cap \bar{\Omega},$$

$$g_{S_0}(y, x) \leq \frac{M_1}{m_3} g_R(y, p) \text{ on } \bar{S}_1,$$

$$\omega_\Omega(y) \leq \frac{M_{2\Omega}}{m_{4\Omega}} g_\Omega(y, q) \text{ on } \alpha_1 \cup \beta_\Omega, \text{ hence on } \bar{S}_1 \cap \bar{\Omega},$$

$$\omega(y) \leq \frac{M_2}{m_4} g_R(y, q) \text{ on } \bar{S}_1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{S_0}(x) &= \int_{S_0} g_{S_0}(x, y) \omega(y) dy \\
&= C_2 + \int_{S_1} g_{S_0}(x, y) \omega(y) dy \\
&\leq C_2 + k_2 \int_{S_1} g_R(y, p) g_R(y, q) dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= C_2 + k_2 \left( C_3 + \int_R g_R(p, y) g_R(y, q) dy \right) \\
 &= C_2 + k_2(C_3 + \gamma(p, q)) < \infty .
 \end{aligned}$$

8. In view of the Proposition, we may introduce the class of Riemannian manifolds  $R$  with ideal boundaries of infinite biharmonic measure

$$O_\Sigma = \{R \mid \sigma = \infty\} .$$

The class of Riemannian manifolds which do not carry biharmonic Green's functions  $\gamma$  is denoted by  $O_\Gamma$  (cf. [22]). Properties I and II of  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  provide us with our main result:

**Theorem 4.**  $O_\Sigma = O_\Gamma$ .

As a consequence, e.g. the values of  $\alpha$  in Theorem 3 characterize the Poincaré balls in  $O_\Gamma$ . Moreover, known properties of  $O_\Gamma$  carry over to  $O_\Sigma$ . E.g., parabolicity implies  $\sigma = \infty$ .

The author is indebted to Professor Cecilia Wang for a careful checking of the manuscript.

A bibliography of recent work in the field is attached.

University of California, Los Angeles  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Los Angeles, California 90 024, USA



## References

- [1] CHUNG, L., and SARIO, L.: Harmonic  $L^p$  functions and quasiharmonic degeneracy, (to appear).
- [2] —»— —»— Harmonic and quasiharmonic degeneracy of Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., (to appear).
- [3] —»— —»— and WANG, C.: Riemannian manifolds with bounded Dirichlet finite polyharmonic functions. - Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, (to appear).
- [4] —»— —»— —»— Quasiharmonic  $L^p$  functions on Riemannian manifolds, - Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, (to appear).
- [5] HADA, D., SARIO, L., and WANG, C.: Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions on the Poincaré  $N$ -ball. - J. Reine Angew. Math., (to appear).
- [6] —»— —»— —»—  $N$ -manifolds carrying bounded but no Dirichlet finite harmonic functions. - Nagoya Math. J. 54 (1974), 1–6.
- [7] —»— —»— —»— Bounded biharmonic functions on the Poincaré  $N$ -ball. - Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep., (to appear).
- [8] KWON, Y. K., SARIO, L. and WALSH, B.: Behavior of biharmonic functions on Wiener's and Royden's compactifications. - Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 21 (1971), 217–226.
- [9] MIRSKY, N., SARIO, L., and WANG, C.: Bounded polyharmonic functions and the dimension of the manifold. - J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 13 (1973), 529–535.
- [10] NAKAI, M., and SARIO, L.: Completeness and function-theoretic degeneracy of Riemannian spaces. - Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 57 (1967), 29–31.
- [11] —»— —»— Biharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds. - Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 432–436.
- [12] —»— —»— Quasiharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds. - Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 165–169.
- [13] —»— —»— Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions with Dirichlet finite Laplacians. - Math. Z. 122 (1971), 203–216.
- [14] —»— —»— A property of biharmonic functions with Dirichlet finite Laplacians. - Math. Scand. 29 (1971), 307–316.
- [15] —»— —»— Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I. 532 (1973), 1–33.
- [16] —»— —»— Existence of bounded biharmonic functions. - J. Reine Angew. Math. 259 (1973), 147–156.
- [17] —»— —»— Existence of bounded Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I. 505 (1972), 1–12.
- [18] —»— —»— Biharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds. - Continuum Mechanics and Related Problems of Analysis, Nauka, Moscow, 1972, 329–335.

- [19] SARIO, L.: Biharmonic and quasiharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds. - Duplicated lecture notes 1968–70, University of California, Los Angeles.
- [20] —»— Quasiharmonic degeneracy of Riemannian  $N$ -manifolds. - *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, (to appear).
- [21] —»— Completeness and existence of bounded biharmonic functions on a Riemannian manifold. - *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, (to appear).
- [22] —»— A criterion for the existence of biharmonic Green's functions, (to appear).
- [23] —»— Biharmonic measure. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, (to appear).
- [24] —»— Biharmonic Green's functions and harmonic degeneracy. - *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, (to appear).
- [25] —»— and NAKAI, M., *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces*. - Springer-Verlag, 1970, 446 pp.
- [26] —»— WANG, C.: The class of  $(p, q)$ -biharmonic functions. - *Pacific J. Math.* 41 (1972), 799–808.
- [27] —»— —»— Counterexamples in the biharmonic classification of Riemannian 2-manifolds. - *Pacific J. Math.* 50 (1974), 159–164.
- [28] —»— —»— Generators of the space of bounded biharmonic functions. - *Math. Z.* 127 (1972), 273–280.
- [29] —»— —»— Quasiharmonic functions on the Poincaré  $N$ -ball. - *Rend. Mat.* - (4) 6 (1973), 1–14.
- [30] —»— —»— Riemannian manifolds of dimension  $N \geq 4$  without bounded biharmonic functions. - *J. London Math. Soc.* - (2) 7 (1974), 635–644.
- [31] —»— —»— Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions on the Poincaré 3-ball. - *Pacific J. Math.* 48 (1973), 267–274.
- [32] —»— —»— Negative quasiharmonic functions. - *Tôhoku Math. J.* - 26 (1974), 85–93.
- [33] —»— —»— Radial quasiharmonic functions. - *Pacific J. Math.* 46 (1973), 515–522.
- [34] —»— —»— Parabolicity and existence of bounded biharmonic functions. - *Comm. Math. Helv.* 47 (1972), 341–347.
- [35] —»— —»— Positive harmonic functions and biharmonic degeneracy. - *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 79 (1973), 182–187.
- [36] —»— —»— Parabolicity and existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions. - *J. London Math. Soc.* - (2) 8 (1974), 145–148.
- [37] —»— —»— Harmonic and biharmonic degeneracy. - *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* 25 (1973), 392–396.
- [38] —»— —»— Harmonic  $L^p$ -functions on Riemannian manifolds. - *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, (to appear).
- [39] —»— —»— and RANGE, M.: Biharmonic projection and decomposition. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.* A. I. 494 (1971), 1–14.
- [40] WANG, C. and SARIO, L.: Polyharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds. - *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 12 (1972), 129–140.