

ON THE IWASAWA INVARIANTS OF IMAGINARY ABELIAN FIELDS

TAUNO METSÄNKYLÄ

1. Introduction

Let E be an absolutely abelian number field and let p be a prime. A Galois extension of E is called a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension if its Galois group is isomorphic to the additive group of \mathbf{Z}_p , the ring of p -adic integers.

Fix a natural number m not divisible by p . For $n \geq 0$, denote by F_n the cyclotomic field of $m q p^n$ th roots of unity, where $q = p$ if $p > 2$ and $q = 4$ if $p = 2$. If $E = F_0$, then the union F_∞ of all the fields F_n is a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension of E . More generally, if E is a subfield of F_0 with conductor m or $m q$, then there exists a unique \mathbf{Z}_p -extension E_∞ of E , called the basic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension, which is contained in F_∞ .

Denote by $\lambda = \lambda(E)$ and $\mu = \mu(E)$ the Iwasawa invariants of E , i.e. the Iwasawa invariants of the basic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension E_∞/E . It is well known that λ and μ are non-negative integers having the following connection with the class numbers h_n of the intermediate fields E_n of E and E_∞ : if $[E_n : E] = p^n$ and if the highest power of p dividing h_n is $p^{e(n)}$, then, for all sufficiently large n , $e(n)$ is of the form $\lambda n + \mu p^n + \nu$, where ν is also an integer independent of n . Iwasawa [9] has conjectured that $\mu = 0$ for every E and p ; the conjecture has been proved by B. Ferrero in the cases $p = 2$ and $p = 3$ (as yet unpublished).

In what follows we shall assume that E is imaginary, and put $\lambda = \lambda^+ + \lambda^-$, $\mu = \mu^+ + \mu^-$, where λ^+ and μ^+ are the Iwasawa invariants of the maximal real subfield of E . Thus, if $p^{a(n)}$ denotes the highest power of p dividing the first factor of the class number of E_n , we have $a(n) = \lambda^- n + \mu^- p^n + \nu^-$ (ν^- an integer) for all large n . While the normal approach to λ and μ is via the general theory of \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions (see [6], [10]), there is also another way of introducing λ^- and μ^- , namely the use of Iwasawa's theory of p -adic L -functions (see [7]). This method has been applied by Iwasawa [8] in case $E = F_0$. In the

present note we shall first apply the same method to the case of a general E and an arbitrary odd prime p , and show the existence of the invariants λ^- and μ^- as sums of certain components which arise naturally from considering the characters of E . Furthermore, using results from [11] we shall obtain immediately a criterion for the vanishing of μ^- . This criterion, together with some facts proved essentially in [11], will then be applied to give a relationship between the invariants λ^- and μ^- of two abelian fields of a certain type.

2. Characters and p -adic L -functions

Throughout the following, let p be a fixed odd prime. As usual, let \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{Z}_p , and \mathbf{Q}_p stand for the ring of rational integers, the field of rational numbers, the ring of p -adic integers, and the field of p -adic numbers, respectively. Denote by $|\cdot|$ the p -adic valuation on a fixed algebraic closure Ω_p of \mathbf{Q}_p .

Let χ be a Dirichlet character. We shall always assume that χ is primitive, and denote its conductor by f_χ . Let $U(f)$ denote the group of all characters χ with $f_\chi | f$.

Suppose that m is a natural number prime to p . For each $n \geq 0$, denote by G_n the multiplicative residue class group mod $m p^{n+1}$, consisting of all elements $\sigma_n(a) = a + m p^{n+1} \mathbf{Z}$, where $(a, m p) = 1$. It is known that $G_n = \Delta_n \times \Gamma_n$ (direct product), where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n &= \{ \sigma_n(a) \mid a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{n+1}} \}, \\ \Gamma_n &= \{ \sigma_n(a) \mid a \equiv 1 \pmod{m p} \} \end{aligned}$$

(see e.g. [5], pp. 78–81, [8], p. 67). From this it follows that

$$U(m p^{n+1}) = U(m p) \times T_n,$$

where T_n is the group of all characters π satisfying the conditions $f_\pi | p^{n+1}$ and $\pi(a) = 1$ whenever $\sigma_n(a) \in \Delta_n$. Usually the elements of $U(m p)$ and T_n are called characters of first and second kind, respectively. Note that T_n is a cyclic group of order p^n .

The unit group of \mathbf{Z}_p can be written in the form $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{D}$, where \mathbf{V} is the group of all $(p-1)$ st roots of unity and \mathbf{D} the group of principal units. For any p -adic unit a , let $\omega(a)$ denote the projection of a on \mathbf{V} under this decomposition. Then ω can be regarded, in an obvious manner, as a character with order $p-1$ and conductor p . In particular, $\omega \in U(m p)$.

Now let $L_p(s; \chi)$ be the p -adic L -function for an even character

$\chi \in U(m p^{n+1})$. For our purposes it suffices to consider the value of $L_p(s; \chi)$ at $s = 0$; a well-known formula ([8], p. 30) asserts that

$$(1) \quad L_p(0; \chi) = -(1 - (\chi \omega^{-1})(p)) B_1(\chi \omega^{-1}),$$

where $B_1(\chi)$ denotes the first generalized Bernoulli number belonging to the character χ . Put $\chi = \theta \pi$ with $\theta \in U(m p)$ and $\pi \in T_n$; then $f_\theta = m_0$ or $m_0 p$ with $m_0 \mid m$. Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p in Ω_p , containing the numbers $\theta(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let \mathfrak{o} be the ring of local integers in K . It follows from Iwasawa's theory of p -adic L -functions that

$$(2) \quad L_p(0; \chi) = 2 f(\pi (1 + m_0 p)^{-1} - 1; \theta)$$

([8], p. 87), where $f(x; \theta)$ is a certain power series with coefficients in \mathfrak{o} , if $\theta \neq \chi_0$ (i.e., θ is non-principal), and $f(x; \chi_0)$ is a quotient of two such power series.

3. The invariants λ^- and μ^- of imaginary abelian fields

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be a finite imaginary abelian extension. When investigating the invariants λ^- and μ^- of the basic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension E_∞/E we may assume without loss of generality that the conductor of E is of the form m or $m p$.

Let F_0, F_1, \dots denote the cyclotomic fields defined in the introduction. We shall identify $\text{Gal}(F_n/\mathbb{Q})$, the Galois group of F_n/\mathbb{Q} , with the group G_n in the usual manner. Then

$$\text{Gal}(F_n/F_0) = \Gamma_n, \quad \text{Gal}(F_0/\mathbb{Q}) = G_0 = \Delta_0.$$

Moreover, the character group $\text{Ch}(F_n)$ belonging to the extension F_n/\mathbb{Q} is $U(m p^{n+1})$.

Put $Y = \text{Ch}(E)$ and note that Y is a subgroup of $U(m p)$. Let

$$E = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_n \subset \dots$$

be the infinite tower of fields determining the \mathbb{Z}_p -extension E_∞/E . Then we have $\text{Gal}(E_n/E) \simeq \Gamma_n$ and

$$F_n = F_0 E_n, \quad E = F_0 \cap E_n$$

for every $n \geq 0$. Hence $\text{Gal}(F_n/E_n)$ is a subgroup of Δ_n and it follows easily that

$$\text{Ch}(E_n) = Y \times T_n.$$

Now let

$$X = X(E) = \{ \theta \omega \mid \theta \in Y^-, \theta \neq \omega^{-1} \},$$

where by Y^- is meant the subset of Y consisting of all odd characters. Note that the set X is empty if and only if E is the cyclotomic field of 3rd roots of unity. Let h_n and h_n^+ denote the class numbers of E_n and its maximal real subfield, respectively. We shall prove the following lemma on $h_n^- = h_n / h_n^+$.

L e m m a 1. *Put*

$$A(x) = \prod_{\theta \in X} f(x; \theta);$$

then

$$|h_n^- / h_0^-| = \left| \prod_{\zeta \in W_n} A(\zeta - 1) \right| \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where W_n denotes the set of all p^n th roots of unity except 1.

Proof. We start from the formula ([4], p. 12)

$$h_n^- = Q_n w_n \prod_{\chi} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{\chi}}{f_{\chi}} \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} a \chi(a) \right) \quad (n \geq 0),$$

where $Q_n = 1$ or 2 , w_n is the number of roots of unity in E_n , and the product is extended over all odd characters χ in $Y \times T_n$. Let us fix a generator π_n of T_n . Noting that

$$f_{\chi}^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} a \chi(a) = B_1(\chi)$$

(see e.g. [8], p. 14) we then obtain

$$(3) \quad |h_n^- / h_0^-| = |(w_n / w_0) \prod_{\theta \in Y^-} \prod_{k=1}^{p^n-1} (-\frac{1}{2} B_1(\theta \pi_n^k))| \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Consider a character $\chi = \theta \omega \pi_n^k$ with $\theta \in Y^-$, $1 \leq k \leq p^n - 1$. We have $\chi(-1) = 1$, $(\chi \omega^{-1})(p) = 0$ and $f_{\theta \omega} = m_0$ or $m_0 p$, where $m_0 | m$. Hence, by combining (1) and (2) we find that

$$-B_1(\theta \pi_n^k) = 2 f(\zeta_{\chi} - 1; \theta \omega) \quad (\zeta_{\chi} = \pi_n (1 + m_0 p)^{-k}).$$

It is easy to see that ζ_{χ} ranges over W_n as k runs through $1, \dots, p^n - 1$. Thus (3) becomes

$$(4) \quad |h_n^- / h_0^-| = |(w_n / w_0) \prod_{\theta \in Y^-} \prod_{\zeta \in W_n} f(\zeta - 1; \theta \omega)| \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Now we have to distinguish between two cases, according to whether E contains the p th roots of unity or not.

Assume first that $W_1 \subset E$. Then $\omega \in Y^-$ and the right hand side of (4) contains the factor

$$\left| \prod_{\zeta \in W_n} f(\zeta - 1; \chi_0) \right| = |p^n|^{-1}$$

([8], p. 92). On the other hand, $w_n = w_0 p^n$, so that the assertion of the lemma follows.

If W_1 is not contained in E , we have $\omega \notin Y^-$ and $w_n = w_0$. Thus our assertion is immediate from (4).

Remark 1. If $|A(\zeta - 1)| < 1$ for some $\zeta \in W_n$, then $|A(0)| < 1$ and so $|A(\zeta - 1)| < 1$ for every $\zeta \in W_n$. Consequently, it follows from Lemma 1 that

- (i) p divides h_n^- / h_0^- ($n \geq 1$) if and only if p divides h_1^- / h_0^- ;
- (ii) if p divides h_n^- / h_0^- ($n \geq 1$), then p^n divides h_n^- / h_0^- .

In particular, if $m = 1$ (i.e., E is a subfield of the cyclotomic field of p th roots of unity), then an obvious modification of the proof of Lemma 1 leads to the formula

$$|h_n^-| = \left| \prod_{\zeta \in W_n \cup \{1\}} A(\zeta - 1) \right| \quad (n \geq 0),$$

so that we obtain the following simpler results:

- (i') $p \mid h_n^-$ if and only if $p \mid h_0^-$;
- (ii') if $p \mid h_n^-$, then $p^{n+1} \mid h_n^-$.

Another proof for (i') and (ii') has been given by Adachi [1].

Now suppose that the field K associated with the power series $f(x; \theta)$ is the extension of \mathbb{Q}_p generated by all numbers $\theta(a)$, where $\theta \in X(E)$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let e denote the ramification index of K / \mathbb{Q}_p , let π be a fixed prime element of the ring \mathfrak{o} , and let $\mathfrak{p} = \pi \mathfrak{o}$.

L e m m a 2. For $\theta \in X(E)$, define non-negative integers $\lambda_\theta = \lambda_\theta(E)$ and $\mu_\theta = \mu_\theta(E)$ by

$$f(x; \theta) = \pi^{\mu_\theta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k x^k \quad (\alpha_k \in \mathfrak{o}),$$

$$\alpha_k \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } 0 \leq k < \lambda_\theta,$$

$$\alpha_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ for } k = \lambda_\theta.$$

Then the numbers

$$\lambda^- = \sum_{\theta \in X} \lambda_\theta, \quad \mu^- = e^{-1} \sum_{\theta \in X} \mu_\theta$$

are the invariants $\lambda^-(E)$, $\mu^-(E)$ of the extension E_∞ / E .

Proof. We have

$$A(x) = \prod_{\theta \in X} f(x; \theta) = \pi^{e\mu^-} B(x),$$

where

$$B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_k x^k \quad (\beta_k \in \mathfrak{o})$$

with $\beta_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $0 \leq k < \lambda^-$ and $\beta_k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $k = \lambda^-$. Let t be the least non-negative integer such that $e \lambda^- < (p-1)p^t$. Assuming that $n > t$ and $\zeta \in W_n - W_{n-1}$ we then find that $|\pi| < |\zeta - 1|^{\lambda^-}$ and so, furthermore,

$$|A(\zeta - 1)| = |\pi^{e\mu^-} B(\zeta - 1)| = |p^{\mu^-}| |\zeta - 1|^{\lambda^-}.$$

This together with Lemma 1 yields

$$|h_n^-| = |p^{a(n)}|, \quad a(n) = \lambda^- n + \mu^- p^n + \nu^- \quad (n > t),$$

where ν^- is an integer independent of n .

Remark 2. Suppose that $E \subset E'$, where E' is abelian with conductor m' or $m'p$, m' being prime to p . Then $X(E) \subset X(E')$. Therefore, if $\theta \in X(E)$ then $\lambda_\theta(E) = \lambda_\theta(E')$ and $e' \mu_\theta(E) = e \mu_\theta(E')$, where e' is the ramification index of the extension K' / \mathbb{Q}_p determined by $X(E')$.

It should be noted that the numbers $\lambda_\theta(F_0)$, $\mu_\theta(F_0)$ have been introduced and investigated by the author in [11]. (Cf. [11], Remark (ii) of Section 4.)

4. On the vanishing of λ^- and μ^-

As an immediate consequence of Remark 1 we may state that the condition

$$(5) \quad \lambda^- = \mu^- = 0$$

is equivalent to $(p, h_1^- / h_0^-) = 1$, and if $m = 1$, (5) is equivalent to $(p, h_0^-) = 1$. The latter statement is also implied by the following stronger result, proved essentially in [11] (Lemma 2): for $\theta = \omega^u \in X(E)$, $\lambda_\theta(E) = \mu_\theta(E) = 0$ if and only if the u th Bernoulli number B_u is prime to p . Indeed, if $m = 1$ then $(p, h_0^-) = 1$ is equivalent to the fact that the numbers B_u are prime to p whenever $\omega^u \in X(E)$ (see [2], [1]).

We shall now give a general criterion for the vanishing of $\mu_\theta(E)$. For $n \geq 0$, let $\gamma_n(a)$ be the projection of $\sigma_n(a)$ on Γ_n under the direct decomposition $G_n = \Delta_n \times \Gamma_n$, so that $\gamma_n(a)$ runs through the elements of Γ_n , say g_{nk} ($k = 0, \dots, p^n - 1$), as $\sigma_n(a)$ runs through G_n . For $\theta \in X(E)$, put

$$\xi_n = - (2m p^{n+1})^{-1} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, mp)=1}}^{mp^{n+1}} a \theta(a) \omega^{-1}(a) \gamma_n(a)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{p^n-1} S_{nk} g_{nk}.$$

We know that ξ_n belongs to the group algebra $\mathfrak{o}[\Gamma_n]$, i.e. the numbers $S_{nk} = S_{nk}(\theta; E)$ belong to \mathfrak{o} , and that $\xi_{n+1} \mapsto \xi_n$ under the morphism $\mathfrak{o}[\Gamma_{n+1}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}[\Gamma_n]$, induced by $\sigma_{n+1}(a) \mapsto \sigma_n(a)$ ([8], pp. 72–76). Let R

denote the inverse limit of the $\mathfrak{o}[I_n]$ with respect to these morphisms. Then $\xi = \lim \xi_n$ is a well-defined element of R and closely connected with the power series $f(x; \theta) \in \mathfrak{o}[[x]]$. Indeed, ξ is the image of $f(x; \theta)$ under the unique isomorphism $\tau: \mathfrak{o}[[x]] \rightarrow R$, determined by the condition $\tau(1+x) = \lim \gamma_n(1+m p)$. This enables us to formulate the following lemma (see [11], Lemma 5).

L e m m a 3. *A necessary and sufficient condition for $\mu_0(E) > 0$ is that*

$$(6) \quad S_{nk}(\theta; E) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and all $k \in I_n = \{0, \dots, p^n - 1\}$.

From this it is seen that $\mu^-(E) > 0$ if and only if there is at least one $\theta \in X(E)$ such that the infinite system of congruences (6) is satisfied.

Remark 3. If $\theta \in X(E)$, then $\lambda_\theta(E) = \mu_0(E) = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $S_{00}(\theta; E) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This is proved in [11] under the assumption that the conductors of θ and $\theta \omega^{-1}$ are equal to $m p$. However, this restriction is unnecessary, since an inspection of the above isomorphism τ shows that, in any case,

$$f(0; \theta) = S_{00}(\theta; E).$$

Remark 4. A sufficient condition for $\mu_0(E) > 0$ is that

$$S_{nk}(\theta; E) \equiv S_{n0}(\theta; E) \pmod{p}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all $k \in I_n$, as can be verified in the following way. By considering the morphism $\mathfrak{o}[I_{n+1}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}[I_n]$ mentioned above one finds that

$$S_{nk} = \sum_h S_{n+1, h},$$

the sum being extended over those $h \in I_{n+1}$ for which $g_{n+1, h} \mapsto g_{nk}$. Obviously, the number of such h is p , so that

$$S_{nk} \equiv \sum_h S_{n+1, 0} \equiv p S_{n+1, 0} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (n \geq 0, k \in I_n).$$

For the rest of this section we assume that $m = 1$ and $p > 3$. Denote by P the cyclotomic field of p th roots of unity; then

$$X(P) = \{ \omega^u \mid u = 2, 4, \dots, p-3 \}$$

and E is a subfield of P .

We shall introduce some further notation. Let $s = (p-1)/2$, let r be a primitive root mod p^{n+1} for all $n \geq 0$, and let $r_n(i)$ be the least positive residue of $r^i \pmod{p^{n+1}}$. Denote by α the primitive $(p-1)$ st root of unity satisfying

$$\omega(a) = \alpha^i \quad \text{for } a \equiv r^i \pmod{p}.$$

For rational integers h and u , put

$$R_n(h, u) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} r_n(i p^n + h) \alpha^{i(u-1)} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

Then we have the following supplement to Lemma 3.

L e m m a 4. *If $E \subset P$ and $\omega^u \in X(E)$, then*

$$- 2 p^{n+1} S_{nk}(\omega^u; E) = R_n(k, u) \alpha^{k(u-1)} \quad (n \geq 0, k \in I_n),$$

provided the elements g_{nk} of Γ_n are suitably ordered.

For the proof, see [11], proof of Lemma 8.

It will be useful to notice that $R_n(h, u)$ satisfies the conditions

$$(7) \quad R_n(h, u) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_n(i p^n + h) \alpha^{i(u-1)} - 2 p^{n+1} (1 - \alpha^{u-1})^{-1},$$

$$(8) \quad R_n(h, u) \alpha^{j(u-1)} = R_n(g, u) \quad \text{for } h \equiv j p^n + g \pmod{(p-1)p^n}.$$

5. An example: the invariants of a quadratic field

Let $E = Q((-3)^{1/2})$ and $p > 3$. Then $X(E)$ contains only one element, namely $\theta \omega$, where $\theta(a) = (a/3)$ (the Legendre symbol). Hence, in this case $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbf{Z}_p$. Moreover,

$$\lambda^+(E) = \lambda(Q) = 0, \quad \mu^+(E) = \mu(Q) = 0$$

([6], p. 225) and so $\lambda(E) = \lambda^-(E) = \lambda_{\theta\omega}(E)$, $\mu(E) = \mu^-(E) = \mu_{\theta\omega}(E)$. We shall first employ Lemma 3 to prove that $\mu(E) = 0$.

Now

$$S_{nk} = - (6 p^{n+1})^{-1} \sum_a a (a/3),$$

where the summation is extended over the values of a satisfying the conditions $1 \leq a < 3 p^{n+1}$, $(a, 3p) = 1$, $\gamma_n(a)^{-1} = g_{nk}$. Let $c_{n1}(i)$ and $c_{n2}(i)$ be positive integers less than $3 p^{n+1}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{n1}(i) &\equiv c_{n2}(i) \equiv r^i \pmod{p^{n+1}}, \\ c_{n1}(i) &\equiv 1, c_{n2}(i) \equiv -1 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then Δ_n can be written in the form

$$\Delta_n = \{ \sigma_n(a) \mid a = c_{n1}(i p^n) \text{ or } a = c_{n2}(i p^n), i = 0, \dots, p-2 \}.$$

After a suitable rearrangement of the elements g_{nk} of Γ_n we therefore get

$$S_{nk} = - (6 p^{n+1})^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} [c_{n1}(i p^n + k) - c_{n2}(i p^n + k)].$$

Furthermore, $c_{n1}(i p^n + k) - c_{n2}(i p^n + k) = \pm b_i p^{n+1}$, where each b_i is equal to 1 or 2 and, as is easy to see, $b_i = b_{i+s}$. Hence

$$S_{nk} = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (\pm b_i), \quad \left| \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (\pm b_i) \right| < p.$$

Now, if $\mu > 0$ then Lemma 3 shows that all the numbers S_{nk} vanish. But this would imply that $f(x; \theta \omega) = 0$, which is impossible by Lemma 1. Consequently, $\mu = 0$.

We are also able to determine those primes p for which $\lambda = 0$. In fact, using (2) and (1) we may calculate $f(0; \theta \omega)$ as follows:

$$f(0; \theta \omega) = \frac{1}{2} L_p(0; \theta \omega) = -\frac{1}{2} (1 - (p/3)) B_1(\theta) = (1 - (p/3))/6.$$

From this we infer that $\lambda = 0$ if and only if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

We note that the Iwasawa invariants of imaginary quadratic fields have been examined by Gold in several papers (e.g. [3]).

6. A relationship between the invariants of certain fields

Let $p > 3$ and let l be a prime, $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. From now on, we shall assume that E is the abelian field with conductor lp , which is of degree p over P .

Put $t = (l-1)/p$ and denote by ψ a generating character mod l . Then

$$\text{Ch}(E) = \{ \psi^{tv} \omega^u \mid 0 \leq v \leq p-1, 0 \leq u \leq p-2 \}$$

and so

$$X(E) = \{ \psi^{tv} \omega^u \mid 0 \leq v \leq p-1, 2 \leq u \leq p-3, 2 \mid u \}.$$

It follows from [11] (Lemma 10) that if $\chi = \psi^{tv} \omega^u \in X(E) - X(P)$, then

$$S_{nk}(\chi; E) \equiv (9) \quad -p^{-n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} [r_n(i p^n + k) - r_n(i p^n + k - d_n)] \alpha^{(i+k)(u-1)} \pmod{p}$$

($n \geq 0, k \in I_n$), where d_n is defined by $l \equiv r_n(d_n) \pmod{p^{n+1}}$. This result expresses a certain connection between the μ^- -invariants of E and P . In fact, we may formulate the following theorem, the first part of which is essentially proved in [11].

Theorem. (i) If $\mu_\theta(P) > 0$ for some $\theta = \omega^u \in X(P)$, then $\mu_\chi(E) > 0$ for all $\chi = \psi^{tv} \omega^u \in X(E)$.

(ii) Suppose that $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. If $\mu_\chi(E) > 0$ for some $\chi = \psi^{tv} \omega^u \in X(E) - X(P)$, then $\mu_\theta(P) > 0$ for $\theta = \omega^u$.

Proof. For the proof of (i), see [11], proof of Theorem 3. We shall now prove (ii). Using Lemma 3 we get that

$$S_{nk}(\psi^{iv} \omega^u; E) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

whenever $n \geq 0$ and $k \in I_n$. This implies, by (9), that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_n(i p^n + k) \alpha^{i(u-1)} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_n(i p^n + k - d_n) \alpha^{i(u-1)} \pmod{p^{n+2}}$$

for all these n and k . In view of (7) and (8) it then follows that

$$(10) \quad R_n(h, u) \equiv R_n(h - d_n, u) \pmod{p^{n+2}}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and all $h \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Since $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we have $r_n(d_n) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and so d_n is divisible by $p-1$ ($n \geq 0$). On the other hand, $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ so that d_n is not divisible by p for $n \geq 1$. Let us fix some $n \geq 1$ and some $k \in I_n$. Let z satisfy the congruence $d_n z \equiv k \pmod{p^n}$. Then

$$k \equiv k p^n + d_n z \pmod{(p-1)p^n}$$

and therefore, by (8),

$$R_n(k, u) \alpha^{k(u-1)} = R_n(d_n z, u).$$

Combined with (10) this yields

$$R_n(k, u) \alpha^{k(u-1)} \equiv R_n(0, u) \pmod{p^{n+2}}.$$

We now apply Lemma 4 to rewrite the last congruence as

$$S_{nk}(\omega^u; P) \equiv S_{n0}(\omega^u; P) \pmod{p}.$$

Because this holds for all $n \geq 1$ and $k \in I_n$, our assertion follows from the result stated in Remark 4.

C o r o l l a r y. (i) *If $\mu^-(P) > 0$, then $\mu^-(E) > 1$.*

(ii) *Provided that $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, if $\mu^-(P) = 0$ then $\mu^-(E) = 0$ and $\lambda^-(E) \geq (p-1)(p-3)/2$.*

Proof. For (i), it is enough to apply part (i) of the theorem to

$$\mu^-(E) = \mu^-(P) + e^{-1} \sum_{\chi \in X(E) - X(P)} \mu_\chi(E).$$

To establish (ii), let $\mu^-(P) = 0$. Then the result $\mu^-(E) = 0$ is immediate from part (ii) of the theorem. Moreover, since $d_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ it follows from (9) that $S_{00}(\chi; E) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for every $\chi \in X(E) - X(P)$. But $\mu_\chi(E) = 0$ and so the result of Remark 3 tells us that $\lambda_\chi(E) > 0$. Accordingly, $\lambda^-(E)$ is greater than or equal to the number of elements of $X(E) - X(P)$, as was to be proved.

The above result that $\mu^-(P) = 0$ implies $\mu^-(E) = 0$ follows also from a more general result proved by Iwasawa ([9], p. 10) by another method.

As pointed out in [11], it follows from part (i) of the theorem that $\mu^-(P) > 0$ implies the existence of \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions F_∞/F_0 with arbitrarily large μ^- . Similarly, if $\mu^-(P) = 0$, part (ii) gives us \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions F_∞/F_0 with arbitrarily large λ^- . It also gives, for every regular prime p , infinitely many basic \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions E_∞/E with $\mu^- = 0$, $\lambda^- > 0$.

References

- [1] ADACHI, N.: Generalization of Kummer's criterion for divisibility of class numbers. - *J. Number Theory* 5, 1973, 253–265.
- [2] CARLITZ, L.: The first factor of the class number of a cyclic field. - *Canad. J. Math.* 6, 1954, 23–26.
- [3] GOLD, R.: The nontriviality of certain \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions. - *J. Number Theory* 6, 1974, 369–373.
- [4] HASSE, H.: Über die Klassenzahl abelscher Zahlkörper. - Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1952.
- [5] —»— Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie. 2. Aufl. - Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Göttingen—Heidelberg—New York, 1964.
- [6] IWASAWA, K.: On Γ -extensions of algebraic number fields. - *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 65, 1959, 183–226.
- [7] —»— On p -adic L -functions. - *Ann. of Math.* 89, 1969, 198–205.
- [8] —»— Lectures on p -adic L -functions. - Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1972.
- [9] —»— On the μ -invariants of \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions. - Number theory, algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, in honor of Y. Akizuki, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1973, 1–11.
- [10] —»— On \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions of algebraic number fields. - *Ann. of Math.* 98, 1973, 246–326.
- [11] METSÄNKYLÄ, T.: On the cyclotomic invariants of Iwasawa. - *Math. Scand.* 37, 1975, 61–75.

University of Turku
 Department of Mathematics
 SF-20500 Turku 50
 Finland

Received 10 June 1975