

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION AND EXCEPTIONAL SETS OF LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS

R. KAUFMAN and P. MATTILA

1. *Introduction.* Let m and n be positive integers with $m \leq n$ and let $O^*(n, m)$ be the set of all orthogonal projections of R^n onto R^m (see [3, 1.7.4]). A linear mapping $p: R^n \rightarrow R^m$ belongs to $O^*(n, m)$ if and only if p maps the orthogonal complement of $\ker p$ isometrically onto R^m . Considered as a subset of the space of all linear mappings of R^n into R^m , which is denoted by $\text{Hom}(R^n, R^m)$ and identified with R^{nm} , $O^*(n, m)$ has positive and finite $m(2n - m - 1)/2$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure (see [3, 3.2.28(5)]). It was proved first in [5] for $m = 1$, $n = 2$ and then in [8] for general m, n that if E is a Borel (or, more generally, Suslin) set in R^n with $\dim E = s \leq m$, then $\dim p(E) = s$ for $\mathcal{H}^{s+m(2n-m-3)/2}$ almost all $p \in O^*(n, m)$. Here \dim means Hausdorff dimension and \mathcal{H}^t is the t -dimensional Hausdorff measure. In [6] an example of a compact plane set was given such that the corresponding exceptional set of projections has positive Hausdorff dimension. In this paper we shall show that the number $s + m(2n - m - 3)/2$ is the best possible upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional set. We shall also indicate how the result mentioned above can be generalized to a larger class of sets of linear transformations.

2. *Preliminaries.* Besides what is presented in the introduction, we shall use the following notation: The orthogonal group of R^n is denoted by $O(n)$. The Grassmann manifold of all m -dimensional linear subspaces of R^n is $G(n, m)$. For $V \in G(n, m)$, P_V is the orthogonal projection of R^n onto V and V^\perp is the orthogonal complement of V . If $f \in \text{Hom}(R^n, R^m)$, then

$$\|f\| = \max \{ |f(x)| : x \in S^{n-1} \},$$

$$l(f) = \min \{ |f(x)| : x \in S^{n-1} \cap (\ker f)^\perp \}$$

and $r(f)$ is the rank of f .

There exists a positive number c depending only on m with the following properties:

2.1. If $V, W \in G(n, m)$ and $|w - P_V(w)| \leq \delta |w|$ for $w \in W$, then $\|P_V - P_W\| \leq c \delta$.

2.2. If $f, g \in \text{Hom}(R^n, R^m)$ and $r(f) = r(g)$, then

$$l(f) |x - P_{\ker f}(x)| \leq |f(x)| \text{ for } x \in R^n,$$

$$l(f) \|P_{\ker f} - P_{\ker g}\| \leq c \|f - g\|.$$

One can prove 2.1 by choosing an orthonormal base $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ for W and by constructing an orthonormal base $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ for V such that $|v_i - w_i| \leq c' \delta$ where c' depends only on m . The first inequality in 2.2 is an immediate consequence of the definition of $l(f)$, and the second follows from the first and 2.1.

The metric d and Hausdorff measures on $G(n, m)$ are defined via the identification used in [3, 3.2.28]. The inequalities [9, Chapter I, 12 (17), 15 (7)] and 2.1 imply that there is a positive number b such that

$$b^{-1} d(V, W) \leq \|P_V - P_W\| \leq b d(V, W)$$

for $V, W \in G(n, m)$. Therefore, for the purposes of this paper, we could as well identify $V \in G(n, m)$ with P_V .

3. Proposition. *Let k and n be positive integers and let M be a Borel set in $\text{Hom}(R^n, R^k)$. For $x \in S^{n-1}$ denote*

$$M_x = \{f \in M : f(x) = 0\}.$$

Suppose that there exist real numbers $c > 0$, $C > 0$ and $\nu \geq 0$ and that to each $g \in O(n)$ corresponds a mapping $A_g : M \rightarrow M$ such that the following conditions are satisfied for all $f \in M$ and $g \in O(n)$:

- (1) $\ker f \circ g \subset \ker A_g f$,
- (2) $\|f - A_g f\| \leq C \|f\| \|\mathbf{1}_{R^n} - g\|$, where $\mathbf{1}_{R^n}$ is the identity mapping of R^n ,
- (3) $\mathcal{H}^\nu(M_x) \leq C$ for all $x \in S^{n-1}$,
- (4) $\mathcal{H}^\nu\{h' \in M_x : \|h' - h\| \leq r\} \geq c r^\nu$ for all $x \in S^{n-1}$, $h \in M_x$ and $0 < r < 1$.

If E is a Suslin set in R^n and $\dim E = s$, then $\dim f(E) = s$ for $\mathcal{H}^{s+\nu}$ almost all $f \in M$.

Since $\dim f(E) = s$, if $\ker f = \emptyset$, we may assume that $\ker f \neq \emptyset$ for $f \in M$. Further, since

$$M \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} N_j, \text{ where } N_j = \{f \in M : l(f) > 1/j, \|f\| < j\},$$

it is sufficient to prove that $\dim f(E) = s$ for $\mathcal{H}^{s+\nu}$ almost all $f \in N_j$ for all j . The proof of this fact is almost identical with that of Theorem 5.6(b) in [8]. Indeed, after fixing j we only have to verify the following analogue of the formula (1) occurring in the proof of Lemma 5.5 in [8]:

There exists a positive number c_1 such that if $f \in N_j$, $x \in S^{n-1}$, $\delta > 0$ and $|f(x)| \leq \delta$, then $\|f - h\| \leq c_1 \delta$ for some $h \in M_x$.

To see this, take $z \in S^{n-1} \cap \ker f$ such that $|P_{\ker f}(x)|z| = P_{\ker f}(x)$. Then $|x-z| \leq 2j\delta$ by 2.2. Choose $g \in O(n)$ such that $g(x) = z$ and $\|\mathbf{1}_{R^n} - g\| = |x-z|$. Then $f(g(x)) = 0$, $A_g f \in M_x$ by (1), and $\|f - A_g f\| \leq c_1 \delta$ with $c_1 = 2j^2 C$ by (2).

4. *Remarks.* One can often apply this proposition in the following situation: To each pair of positive integers $m, n, m \leq n$, corresponds a smooth submanifold $M(n, m)$ of some space $\text{Hom}(R^n, R^k)$ such that $M(n, m)_x$ is isometric with $M(n-1, m)$ for $m < n$ and $x \in S^{n-1}$. Then the conditions (3) and (4) are satisfied with $\nu = \dim M(n-1, m)$ if $\mathcal{H}^\nu(M(n-1, m)) < \infty$ and $M(n-1, m)$ is "sufficiently homogeneous". Such is the case if $M(n, m)$ is $O^*(n, m)$ or $\{P_V : V \in G(n, m)\}$. In the first case one can define A_g by $A_g p = p \circ g$ and in the second by $A_g P_V = P_{g^{-1}(V)}$. Then all the assumptions are in force. If $M(n, m) = \text{Hom}(R^n, R^m)$, then (3) is false. However the proposition is true even in this case, because all the assumptions hold with $A_g f = f \circ g$ for $M = \{f \in \text{Hom}(R^n, R^m) : \|f\| < R\}$ whenever $0 < R < \infty$.

The dimensions of the manifolds $O^*(n, m), G(n, m)$ and $\text{Hom}(R^n, R^m)$ are $m(2n - m - 1)/2, m(n - m)$ and mn (see [3, 3.2.28(5)]), so that the upper bounds for the dimensions of the exceptional sets are $s + m(2n - m - 3)/2, s + m(n - m - 1)$ and $s + m(n - 1)$, respectively. We shall next show that these upper bounds may be attained. More precisely, we shall prove

5. **Theorem.** *If m and n are integers and s is a real number with $0 < s \leq m < n$, then there exists a compact set $E \subset R^n$ such that $\dim E = s$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \{ p \in O^*(n, m) : \dim p(E) < s \} &= s + m(2n - m - 3)/2, \\ \dim \{ V \in G(n, m) : \dim P_V(E) < s \} &= s + m(n - m - 1), \\ \dim \{ f \in \text{Hom}(R^n, R^m) : r(f) = m, \dim f(E) < s \} &= s + m(n - 1). \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of [3, 2.10.25]:

6. **Lemma.** *If X and Y are metric spaces, Y is σ -compact, $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is Lipschitzian, $\emptyset \neq B \subset Y, 0 \leq \nu < \infty$ and $\mathcal{H}^\nu(F^{-1}\{y\}) > 0$ for $y \in B$, then $\dim F^{-1}(B) \geq \dim B + \nu$.*

Proof. We may assume that Y is compact. If $t \geq 0$, it follows from [3, 2.10.25] that for a positive number c

$$\int_B^* \mathcal{H}^\nu(F^{-1}\{y\}) d\mathcal{H}^t y \leq c \mathcal{H}^{t+\nu}(F^{-1}(B)).$$

Hence $\mathcal{H}^{t+\nu}(F^{-1}(B)) = 0$ implies $\mathcal{H}^t(B) = 0$, which means that $\dim F^{-1}(B) \geq \dim B + \nu$.

7. *Proof of Theorem 5.* We begin with the case of $G(n, m)$ and assume first that $m = n - 1$. Let (N_k) be a strictly and rapidly increasing sequence of positive integers, e.g. $N_{k+1} > N_k^k$, and let E be the set of all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ such that

$$-1 \leq x_j \leq 1, \quad \|N_k x_j\| \leq N_k^{1-n/s} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n, k \geq 1.$$

(For a real number t , $\|t\|$ is the distance from t to the nearest integer.) Then $\dim E = s$. This follows from [2, Theorem 10]. The method goes back to Jarnik [4], and a similar problem occurs in [7]. (For further information on number-theoretic methods, see [1] and its bibliography.) For $y = (1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ we denote by T_y the orthogonal projection from R^n onto the orthogonal complement of y . We shall show that

$$\dim \{ y : \dim T_y(E) < s \} \geq s,$$

which is equivalent with

$$\dim \{ V \in G(n, n-1) : \dim P_V(E) < s \} \geq s.$$

Fix $\delta > 0$ and let A_δ be the set of all $y = (1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ such that for arbitrarily large k there is an integer H for which $k < H < N_k^{1-\delta}$ and $\|H y_j\| < H N_k^{-n/s}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$. Using [4, Satz 4] or [7], one finds that $\dim A_\delta \geq s(1-\delta)$. Since $s(1-\delta) \rightarrow s$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, it is sufficient to show that $\dim T_y(E) < s$ for $y \in A_\delta$.

Let $y \in A_\delta$ and let k and H be as above. Choose integers b_2, \dots, b_n such that $|H y_j - b_j| < H N_k^{-n/s}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and denote $y^* = (1, b_2 H^{-1}, \dots, b_n H^{-1})$. Then $|y - y^*| < n N_k^{-n/s}$, whence $\|T_y - T_{y^*}\| < O(1) N_k^{-n/s}$. ($O(1)$ stands for a constant independent of k .) Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ with each v_j an integer and $|v_j| \leq N_k$. We write $v_1 = q_1 H + r_1$, where q_1 and r_1 are the uniquely determined integers such that $0 \leq r_1 < H$. Then

$$v = (q_1 H, q_1 b_2, \dots, q_1 b_n) + (r_1, v_2 - q_1 b_2, \dots, v_n - q_1 b_n).$$

The first member on the right is parallel to y^* , while the second takes at most $H(3N_k)^{n-1} = O(1)H N_k^{n-1}$ values, when v varies. Hence also

$T_{y^*}(N_k^{-1}v)$ assumes at most $O(1) H N_k^{n-1}$ values, and, by the definition of E , $T_{y^*}(E)$ can be covered by that many balls of radius less than $O(1) N_k^{-n/s}$. Inasmuch as $\|T_y - T_{y^*}\| < O(1) N_k^{-n/s}$, the same is true for $T_y(E)$. For $(n - \delta) s/n < \alpha < s$, $H N_k^{n-1} (N_k^{-n/s})^\alpha < N_k^{n-\delta-n\alpha/s}$ tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$; hence $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(T_y(E)) = 0$. Therefore $\dim T_y(E) < s$, as required.

We next assume that $m < n - 1$ and proceed by induction on n . So assume that there exists a compact set $E \subset R^{n-1}$ with $\dim E = s$ such that letting

$$A = \{ V \in G(n - 1, m) : \dim P_V(E) < s \},$$

we have $\dim A = s + m(n - m - 2)$. We identify R^{n-1} with $\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n : x_n = 0 \}$. Let G be the set of all $V \in G(n, m)$ such that $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S^{n-1} \cap V$ implies $|x_n| < 1/2$ and define $P : R^n \rightarrow R^{n-1}$ by $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and $F : G \rightarrow G(n - 1, m)$ by $F(V) = P(V)$. Then F is Lipschitzian (this can be seen with the help of 2.1) and $F^{-1}\{V\}$ is isometric with an open subset of $G(m + 1, m)$ for $V \in G(n - 1, m)$. Thus $\mathcal{H}^m(F^{-1}\{V\}) > 0$ for $V \in G(n - 1, m)$, and Lemma 6 implies that $\dim F^{-1}(A) \geq \dim A + m = s + m(n - m - 1)$. Since $\dim P_V(E) < s$ for $V \in F^{-1}(A)$, we have shown that the set

$$A_1 = \{ V \in G(n, m) : \dim P_V(E) < s \}$$

is of dimension $s + m(n - m - 1)$.

To treat the case of $O^*(n, m)$, assume that E and A_1 are as above and denote

$$A_2 = \{ p \in O^*(n, m) : \dim p(E) < s \}.$$

Define $F : O^*(n, m) \rightarrow G(n, m)$ letting $F(p)$ be the orthogonal complement of $\ker p$. Then F is Lipschitzian by 2.2 and $F^{-1}\{V\}$ is isometric with $O(m)$, whence $\mathcal{H}^{m(m-1)/2}(F^{-1}\{V\}) > 0$, for $V \in G(n, m)$. Moreover $A_2 \supset F^{-1}(A_1)$. Using Lemma 6 we infer $\dim A_2 \geq \dim F^{-1}(A_1) \geq \dim A_1 + m(m - 1)/2 = s + m(2n - m - 3)/2$. Thus $\dim A_2 = s + m(2n - m - 3)/2$.

Finally we consider $\text{Hom}(R^n, R^m)$ and set

$$A_3 = \{ f \in \text{Hom}(R^n, R^m) : r(f) = m, \dim f(E) < s \}.$$

Let B be the set of all $f \in \text{Hom}(R^n, R^m)$ such that $l(f) > 1$ and $f = L \circ P_V$ for some $V \in G(n, m)$ and some non-singular linear mapping $L : V \rightarrow R^m$. Then V is uniquely determined by f ; in fact $V = (\ker f)^\perp$. We define $F : B \rightarrow G(n, m)$ setting $F(f) = V$ for $f = L \circ P_V$. Then F is Lipschitzian by 2.2 and $F^{-1}\{V\}$ is isometric with an open subset of

$\text{Hom}(R^m, R^m)$ for $V \in G(n, m)$, whence $\mathcal{H}^{m^2}(F^{-1}\{V\}) > 0$. Clearly $A_3 \supset F^{-1}(A_1)$. Therefore $\dim A_3 \geq \dim F^{-1}(A_1) \geq \dim A_1 + m^2 = s + m(n-1)$ and $\dim A_3 = s + m(n-1)$.

References

- [1] BAKER, A., and W. M. SCHMIDT: Diophantine approximation and Hausdorff dimension. - Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 21, 1970, 1–11.
- [2] EGGLESTON, H. G.: Sets of fractional dimension which occur in some problems of number theory. - Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 54, 1952, 42–93.
- [3] FEDERER, H.: Geometric Measure Theory. - Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1969.
- [4] JARNIK, V.: Über die simultanen diophantischen Approximationen. - Math. Z. 33, 1931, 505–543.
- [5] KAUFMAN, R.: On Hausdorff dimension of projections. - Mathematika 15, 1968, 153–155.
- [6] —»— An exceptional set for Hausdorff dimension. - Mathematika 16, 1969, 57–58.
- [7] —»— Probability, Hausdorff dimension and fractional distribution. - Mathematika 17, 1970, 63–67.
- [8] MATILA, P.: Hausdorff dimension, orthogonal projections and intersections with planes. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 1, 1975, 227–244.
- [9] WHITNEY, H.: Geometric Integration Theory. - Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1957.

University of Illinois
 Department of Mathematics
 Urbana, Illinois 61801
 USA

University of Helsinki
 Department of Mathematics
 SF-00100 Helsinki 10
 Finland

Received 25 August 1975