

WEIERSTRASS DIVISION WITH QUASIANALYTIC BOUNDARY VALUES

C. L. CHILDRESS

1. Introduction

Let $g: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ (\mathbf{R}^+ is the set of nonnegative real numbers) be a convex increasing function such that $g(0)=0$ and $t^{-1}g(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Define a sequence $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+}$ (\mathbf{Z}^+ is the set of nonnegative integers) by $M_n = \exp g(n)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. We assume g grows fast enough to ensure that $M_n \cong n!$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbf{C}^k with 0 a point on its boundary $\partial\Omega$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_k = \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\}, \Omega)$ the set of germs at 0 of complex-valued Whitney C^∞ functions f on $\bar{\Omega}$ (the closure of Ω) which are analytic in Ω and satisfy the following growth conditions on their derivatives: for each $r > 0$ sufficiently small that f is represented by a function on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(r)$ ($\Delta_k(r) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^k: |z_j| < r, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$) there exist constants A and B , which depend in general on both f and r but not on $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, such that for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(r)}} |D^\alpha f(z)| \leq AB^n M_n.$$

($D^\alpha = D_z^\alpha = \partial^{|\alpha|} / \partial z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial z_k^{\alpha_k}$, where $z = (z', z_k) = (z_1, \dots, z_k)$ are coordinates on \mathbf{C}^k , $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k$, and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k$.) We assume that \mathcal{A}_k is quasianalytic in the sense of Denjoy and Carleman:

$$(1.2) \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_k \text{ and } D^\alpha f(0) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k \text{ imply } f = 0 \in \mathcal{A}_k.$$

Before going on, we remark that by the use of the logarithmic convexity of the sequence $\{M_n\}$, it is not difficult to show that \mathcal{A}_k is a local algebra with maximal ideal $m_k = \{f \in \mathcal{A}_k: f(0)=0\}$. The quasianalyticity assumption is independent of the dimension k . If the sequence $\{M_n\}$ satisfies certain additional hypotheses, then \mathcal{A}_k is closed under composition whenever the composition makes sense, and \mathcal{A}_k is also closed under differentiation. For a more complete discussion, see [2].

In this paper we consider a quasianalytic local algebra $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$. We show a Weierstrass—Malgrange—Mather type division theorem does not hold in $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$

if $k \geq 2$, $\mathcal{A}_k(\{n!\})$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$, and $b\Omega$ is C^2 smooth and strongly Levi pseudoconvex at 0. If, however, $b\Omega$ is Levi pseudoflat at 0, we prove a generic division theorem holds in $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$, $k \geq 2$. We further show in this case that division is possible in $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ by every regular element of \mathcal{O}_k , the local algebra of germs at 0 of analytic functions. (The case in which $b\Omega$ is pseudoconcave at 0 is trivial, since in this case $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ reduces to \mathcal{O}_k . See L. Hörmander, [4].)

2. Preliminaries

The following proposition is any easy consequence of the closed graph theorem:

Proposition 2.1. *Let E be a Banach space and $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n$ be an inductive limit of Banach spaces. If $T: E \rightarrow F$ is a continuous linear map, then there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $T(E) \subseteq F_{n_0}$. \square*

We will apply this proposition to estimate the derivatives of the quotient and remainder when we divide by a fixed regular element $f \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$. The result will be that the growth of the derivatives of the element we are dividing by f determines the growth of the derivatives of the quotient and remainder.

For positive integers ν and N , let

$$A_{k,\nu,N} = \left\{ f : f \text{ is a Whitney } C^\infty \text{ function on } \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(1/\nu) \right.$$

$$\left. \text{and } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(1/\nu)}} |D^\alpha f(z)| / N^n M_n < +\infty \right\}.$$

Note that for all positive integers ν and N , $A_{k,\nu,N}$ is a Banach space, and the inductive limit $\bigcup_{\nu,N=1}^{+\infty} A_{k,\nu,N}$ may be identified with $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$.

Fix $f \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$, which is regular in z_k of order d . (This means $f(0) = \partial f(0) / \partial z_k = \dots = \partial^{d-1} f(0) / \partial z_k^{d-1} = 0$, while $\partial^d f(0) / \partial z_k^d \neq 0$.) Let ν_0 be the smallest positive integer such that f is represented by a function on $\Delta_k(1/\nu)$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$. We define a map

$$(q, r_1, \dots, r_d) \rightarrow g = fq + \sum_{j=1}^d r_j z_k^{d-j},$$

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\nu=\nu_0, \\ N=1}}^{+\infty} \left(A_{k,\nu,N} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_1^d A_{k-1,\nu,N} \right) \right) \rightarrow \bigcup_{\substack{\nu=\nu_0, \\ N=1}}^{+\infty} A_{k,\nu,N}.$$

This map is continuous, for its restriction to each direct summand $A_{k,\nu,N} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_1^d A_{k-1,\nu,N} \right)$ is continuous. The assumption that the $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ are quasianalytic implies the map is injective. The map is surjective if and only if division by f is pos-

sible within $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$. If the map is surjective, the closed graph theorem implies its inverse is continuous. It is to this inverse map that we apply Proposition 2.1.

Given positive integers $v \cong v_0$ and N , Proposition 2.1 implies that there exist positive integers $v' \cong v_0$ and N' , as well as a constant A , such that for each $g \in A_{k,v,N}$, there exist $q \in A_{k,v',N'}$, and $r_j \in A_{k-1,v',N'}$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, which satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad g = fq + \sum_{j=1}^d r_j z_k^{d-j},$$

and which also satisfy the estimates

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+} \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^{k-1}, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ z' \in \Omega \cap \Delta_{k-1}(1/v')}} |D^\alpha r_j(z')| / (N')^n M_n \\ \cong A \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+} \sup_{\substack{\beta \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k, |\beta| \leq n, \\ z \in \Omega \cap \Delta_k(1/v)}} |D^\beta g(z)| / N^n M_n,$$

$1 \leq j \leq d$, and

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+} \sup_{\substack{\beta \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k, |\beta| \leq n, \\ z \in \Omega \cap \Delta_k(1/v)}} |D^\beta q(z)| / (N')^n M_n \\ \cong A \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+} \sup_{\substack{\beta \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k, |\beta| \leq n, \\ z \in \Omega \cap \Delta_k(1/v)}} |D^\beta g(z)| / N^n M_n.$$

We summarize these results as a lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ be regular in z_k of order d , and suppose that division by f is possible within $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$. Let v_0 be the smallest positive integer such that f is represented by a function on $\Delta_k(1/v)$ for all $v \cong v_0$. Given positive integers $v \cong v_0$ and N , there exist positive integers $v' \cong v_0$ and N' , as well as a constant A , such that for each $g \in A_{k,v,N}$, there exist $q \in A_{k,v',N'}$ and $r_j \in A_{k-1,v',N'}$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, which satisfy equation (2.1) and estimates (2.2) and (2.3). \square*

We will need one technical lemma, which we now state. The proof may be found in [2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\lambda(a) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^+} |a|^n / M_n$ for $a \in \mathbf{C}$, and suppose there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, $A > 0$, and $C > 0$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \exp(\varepsilon a) \cong C\lambda(a), \quad a \in \mathbf{R}, \quad a > A.$$

Then there exist $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad M_n \cong \alpha \beta^n n!, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}^+. \quad \square$$

3. The case of a strongly pseudoconvex boundary

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{C}^k$ be a domain with C^2 smooth boundary $b\Omega$, and assume Ω is strongly pseudoconvex at $0 \in b\Omega$. Then there is an open neighborhood U of 0 in \mathbf{C}^k and a C^2 smooth function $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \cap U &= \{z \in U : \varphi(z) < 0\} \\ \varphi(0) &= 0, \\ \text{grad } \varphi(0) &\neq 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{the Levi form } (\partial^2 \varphi(0) / \partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} &\text{ is strictly} \\ &\text{positive definite.} \end{aligned}$$

After analytic change of coordinates in \mathbf{C}^k , we may assume φ has the form

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi(z) = \text{Im } z_k + \sum_{j=1}^k c_j |z_j|^2 + O(|z|^3),$$

where $c_j > 0$ is constant, $1 \leq j \leq k$. (A proof of this fact may be found in Hörmander, [4].)

Let $\mathcal{A}_k(\{n!\})$ be a proper subset of $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$, $k \geq 2$, a quasianalytic local algebra as defined in the Introduction. Set $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$ and $f(z) = f(z', z_k) = z_k^2 + z_1$. Then f is an analytic Weierstrass polynomial of degree two in z_k . For $a \in \mathbf{C}$, set $g(z, a) = e^{iaz_k}$. Note that for each $a \in \mathbf{C}$, $g \in \mathcal{O}_k \subseteq \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$. Suppose it were possible to write for each $a \in \mathbf{C}$

$$(3.2) \quad g = fq + r_1 z_k + r_2,$$

where $q = q(z, a) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ and $r_1 = r_1(z', a)$, $r_2 = r_2(z', a) \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})$. Since the roots of $f(z', z_k) = 0$ are $z_k = \pm i\sqrt{z_1}$, it would follow from equation (3.2) that

$$(3.3) \quad r_1(z', a) = i(e^{a\sqrt{z_1}} - e^{-a\sqrt{z_1}}) / 2\sqrt{z_1}.$$

Now consider only values of $a \in \mathbf{R}$ with $a < 0$. If $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $|z|$ is sufficiently small, it follows from equation (3.1) that $\text{Im } z_k \leq 0$. Thus, if $\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k$, $|\alpha| \leq n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$, $z \in \bar{\Omega}$, and $|z|$ is sufficiently small, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} |D_z^\alpha g(z, a)| &\leq |a|^{|\alpha|} e^{-a \text{Im } z_k} \\ &\leq |a|^{|\alpha|} \\ &\leq (|a|^{|\alpha|} / M_{|\alpha|}) M_{|\alpha|} \\ &\leq \lambda(a) M_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each $a < 0$, $g = g(z, a) \in A_{k,1,1}$. If we apply Lemma 2.2, it follows that there exist $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $A_1 > 0$, both independent of a , such that

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_{z' \in \bar{\Omega} \cap A_{k-1}(\varepsilon_1)} |r_1(z', a)| \leq A_1 \lambda(a), \quad a < 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $z' = (\varepsilon, 0, \dots, 0)$, and $z_k = -i\varepsilon$. If ε is chosen sufficiently small, then $z' \in \Delta_{k-1}(\varepsilon_1)$ and $\varphi(z) = -\varepsilon + c_1\varepsilon^2 + c_k\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3) < 0$, so that $z \in \bar{\Omega}$. Thus estimate (3.4) yields

$$(3.5) \quad |e^{a\sqrt{\varepsilon}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\varepsilon}}|/2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq A_1\lambda(a), \quad a < 0.$$

Since $(e^{a\sqrt{\varepsilon}} - e^{-a\sqrt{\varepsilon}})/2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ is asymptotic to $e^{-a\sqrt{\varepsilon}}/2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, inequality (3.5) implies there exist constants $A > 0$ and $K > 0$, both independent of a , such that

$$(3.6) \quad e^{a\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \leq K\lambda(a), \quad a > A.$$

Inequality (3.6) together with Lemma 2.3 now imply the existence of constants $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that

$$M_n \leq \alpha\beta^n n!, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}^+.$$

This implies $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\}) = \mathcal{A}_k(\{n!\})$, contrary to assumption. We conclude the Weierstrass division theorem does not generalize to $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ when $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\}) \not\supseteq \mathcal{A}_k(\{n!\})$ and $k \geq 2$. Indeed, we have shown that it isn't always possible to divide in $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ by Weierstrass polynomials from $\mathcal{O}_{k-1}[z_k]$.

4. The case of a pseudoflat boundary

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{C}^k$, $k \geq 2$, be a product domain with 0 a member of the pseudoflat part of $\text{b}\Omega$. Thus, let $U_1 \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ be any plane domain with $0 \in \text{b}U_1$, let $U_j = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disc in the plane for $2 \leq j \leq k$, and let $\Omega = U_1 \times \dots \times U_k$. Let $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ be a quasianalytic local algebra. We show in this section that a generic division theorem holds in $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$. We also show that division is possible in $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ by every regular element of \mathcal{O}_k .

By a generic monic polynomial in z_k of degree d we mean an element in $\mathbf{C}[z_k]$ of the form $P_d(z_k, \lambda) = z_k^d + \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j z_k^{d-j}$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbf{C}^d$.

Theorem 4.1. (Generic division theorem for $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$.) *Let $P_d = P_d(z_k, \lambda)$ be a generic polynomial in z_k of degree d . For each $g \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$, then there exist unique elements $q = q(z, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ and $r_j = r_j(z', \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})$, $1 \leq j \leq d$, such that*

$$(4.1) \quad g = P_d q + \sum_{j=1}^d r_j z_k^{d-j}.$$

Furthermore, all the germs in equation (4.1) are defined for $(z, \lambda) \in (\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)) \times \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$ and are analytic in (z, λ) on $(\Omega \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)) \times \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$.

Proof. Choose $0 < r < 1$ so that the germ g is defined on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(r)$. Let $0 < \delta < r$. By Cauchy's integral formula, if $z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\delta/2)$, then

$$(4.2) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\delta} \frac{g(z', \zeta)}{\zeta - z_k} d\zeta.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(\zeta, \lambda) &= \zeta^j + \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i \zeta^{j-i} \\ &= \zeta \left(\zeta^{j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \lambda_i \zeta^{j-1-i} \right) + \lambda_j \\ &= \zeta P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda) + \lambda_j, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$-\lambda_j = \zeta P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda) - P_j(\zeta, \lambda).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &P_d(\zeta, \lambda) - P_d(z_k, \lambda) \\ &= \zeta P_{d-1}(\zeta, \lambda) + \lambda_d - \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j z_k^{d-j} - z_k^d \\ &= \zeta P_{d-1}(\zeta, \lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} (-\lambda_j) z_k^{d-j} - z_k^d \\ &= \zeta P_{d-1}(\zeta, \lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} [\zeta P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda) - P_j(\zeta, \lambda)] z_k^{d-j} - z_k^d \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \zeta P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda) z_k^{d-j} - \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} P_j(\zeta, \lambda) z_k^{d-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \zeta P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda) z_k^{d-j} - \sum_{j=1}^d P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda) z_k^{d-j+1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda) z_k^{d-j} \right) (\zeta - z_k). \end{aligned}$$

Adding $P_d(z_k, \lambda)$ to both sides of the identity we have obtained, viz.,

$$P_d(\zeta, \lambda) - P_d(z_k, \lambda) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^d P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda) z_k^{d-j} \right) (\zeta - z_k),$$

and dividing through by $P_d(\zeta, \lambda)(\zeta - z_k)$, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{\zeta - z_k} = \frac{P_d(z_k, \lambda)}{P_d(\zeta, \lambda)(\zeta - z_k)} + \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda)}{P_d(\zeta, \lambda)} z_k^{d-j}.$$

Now choose $s > 0$ such that $\lambda \in \Delta_d(s)$ implies that the roots of $P_d(z_k, \lambda)$ are contained in $\Delta_1(\delta/2)$. If $z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\delta/2)$ and $\lambda \in \Delta_d(s)$, substitution of expression (4.3) for $1/(\zeta - z_k)$ into equation (4.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\delta} \frac{g(z', \zeta)}{P_d(\zeta, \lambda)(\zeta - z_k)} d\zeta \right] P_d(z_k, \lambda) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\delta} \frac{g(z', \zeta) P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda)}{P_d(\zeta, \lambda)} d\zeta \right] z_k^{d-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get an equation of the form (4.1) with

$$(4.4) \quad q(z, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\delta} \frac{g(z', \zeta)}{P_d(\zeta, \lambda)(\zeta - z_k)} d\zeta$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad r_j(z', \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\delta} \frac{g(z', \zeta) P_{j-1}(\zeta, \lambda)}{P_d(\zeta, \lambda)} d\zeta, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d.$$

Let $\varepsilon = \min(\delta/2, s)$, and note $|P_d(\zeta, \lambda)| \geq C > 0$ and $|\zeta - z_k| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for $|\zeta| = \delta$ and $\lambda \in \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$. We may thus differentiate under the integral sign in equation (4.4) and obtain that $q(z, \lambda)$ is analytic in (z, λ) on $(\Omega \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)) \times \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$. Also, since $g(z)$ represents an element of $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\delta)$, there exist $A_1 > 0$ and $B_1 > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\delta)}} |D^\alpha g(z)| \leq A_1 B_1^n M_n.$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^{k-1}, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ (z', \zeta) \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\delta)}} |D_z^\alpha g(z', \zeta)| \leq A_1 B_1^n M_n.$$

Since $|P_d(\zeta, \lambda)|$ and $|\zeta - z_k|$ are bounded away from 0 for $|\zeta| = \delta$ and $(z, \lambda) \in (\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)) \times \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$, it follows that there exist $A > 0$ and $B > 0$, both independent of ζ with $|\zeta| = \delta$ and $\lambda \in \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$, such that

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)}} |D_z^\alpha [g(z', \zeta)/P_d(\zeta, \lambda)(\zeta - z_k)]| \leq AB^n M_n.$$

We may thus differentiate under the integral sign in equation (4.4), estimate in a straightforward manner, and obtain that if $\lambda \in \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$, then $q = q(z, \lambda)$ represents an element of $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)$. A similar argument shows that if $\lambda \in \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$, then $r_j = r_j(z', \lambda)$ in equation (4.5) represents an element of $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})$ on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_{k-1}(\varepsilon)$ which is analytic in (z', λ) on $(\Omega \cap \Delta_{k-1}(\varepsilon)) \times \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$.

Finally, to prove uniqueness, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} g &= P_d q + \sum_{j=1}^d r_j z_k^{d-j} \\ &= P_d \tilde{q} + \sum_{j=1}^d \tilde{r}_j z_k^{d-j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q = q(z, \lambda)$, $\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}(z, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ and $r_j = r_j(z', \lambda)$, $\tilde{r}_j = \tilde{r}_j(z', \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$ and for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^d$ which is sufficiently small. Then for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $(z, \lambda) \in (\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)) \times \Delta_d(\varepsilon)$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d (r_j(z', \lambda) - \tilde{r}_j(z', \lambda)) z_k^{d-j} = P_d(z_k, \lambda) (\tilde{q}(z, \lambda) - q(z, \lambda)).$$

$P_d(z_k, \lambda)$ has exactly d zeros, while $\sum_{j=1}^d (r_j(z', \lambda) - \tilde{r}_j(z', \lambda)) z_k^{d-j}$ is a polynomial in z_k of degree at most $d-1$. Thus $r_j = \tilde{r}_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $q = \tilde{q}$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f = f(z) \in \mathcal{O}_k$, $k \geq 2$, be regular in z_k of order d . Then we may divide by f in $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$.*

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{O}_k$ is regular in z_k of order d , we may apply the Weierstrass preparation theorem in \mathcal{O}_k to write

$$f = uP,$$

where $u \in \mathcal{O}_k$ is a unit and $P \in \mathcal{O}_{k-1}[z_k]$ is a Weierstrass polynomial in z_k of degree d . Let $g \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$. If we can perform the division

$$g = Pq' + r',$$

where $q' \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ and $r' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})[z_k]$, then we can obtain the division

$$g = fq + r$$

by taking $q = u^{-1}q' \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ and $r = r' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})[z_k]$. Thus, we may assume $f = P$.

Choose a polydisc $\Delta_k(r)$ such that the germ P is defined on $\Delta_k(r)$ and the germ g is defined for $z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(r)$. Since P is a Weierstrass polynomial in z_k , we can find numbers δ_j with $0 < \delta_j < r$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, such that $P(z) \neq 0$ if $|z_k| = \delta_k$ and $|z_j| \leq \delta_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Let $\Delta_k(\delta) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^k : |z_j| < \delta_j \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k\}$. For $z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\delta)$, define

$$(4.6) \quad q(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\delta_k} \frac{g(z', \zeta)}{P(z', \zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta - z_k}$$

and

$$r(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\delta_k} \frac{g(z', \zeta)}{P(z', \zeta)} \frac{P(z', \zeta) - P(z', z_k)}{\zeta - z_k} d\zeta.$$

By the Cauchy integral theorem, if $z \in \Omega \cap \Delta_k(\delta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(z)q(z) + r(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=\delta_k} \frac{g(z', \zeta)}{\zeta - z_k} d\zeta \\ &= g(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since P is a Weierstrass polynomial in z_k of degree d , r is a polynomial in z_k of degree at most $d-1$. We may differentiate under the integral signs in equations (4.6) and (4.7) and see that q and r are analytic in z on $\Omega \cap \Delta_k(\delta)$. Since g represents an element of $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\delta)$, there exist $A_1 > 0$ and $B_1 > 0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\delta)}} |D^\alpha g(z)| \leq A_1 B_1^n M_n.$$

Thus for all ζ with $|\zeta| = \delta_k$ and all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^{k-1}, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ z' \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_{k-1}(\delta)}} |D_z^\alpha g(z', \zeta)| \leq A_1 B_1^n M_n.$$

Since $P(z', \zeta) \neq 0$ for $|\zeta| = \delta_k$ and $|z_j| < \delta_j$, $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, $|P(z', \zeta)| \geq C > 0$ for $|\zeta| = \delta_k$ and $|z_j| < \delta_j/2$, $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Also $|\zeta - z_k| \geq \delta_k/2$ for $|\zeta| = \delta_k$ and $|z_k| < \delta_k/2$. Let $\varepsilon = \min_{1 \leq j \leq k} \delta_j/2$. It follows that there exist $A > 0$ and $B > 0$, both independent of ζ with $|\zeta| = \delta_k$, such that for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$,

$$\sup_{\substack{\alpha \in (\mathbf{Z}^+)^k, |\alpha| \leq n, \\ z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)}} |D_z^\alpha [g(z', \zeta)/P(z', \zeta)(\zeta - z_k)]| \leq AB^n M_n.$$

We may now differentiate under the integral sign in equation (4.6) and estimate in a straightforward manner to see that g represents an element of $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)$. A similar argument shows that r , given by equation (4.7), represents an element of $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})[z_k]$ on $\bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k(\varepsilon)$.

To prove uniqueness, suppose

$$g = Pq + r = P\tilde{q} + \tilde{r}.$$

Then for some polydisc Δ_k and all $z \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \Delta_k$,

$$r(z) - \tilde{r}(z) = P(z)(\tilde{q}(z) - q(z)).$$

For z' sufficiently small, $P(z', z_k)$ has exactly d zeros, while $r(z) - \tilde{r}(z)$ is a polynomial in z_k of degree at most $d-1$. Hence $\tilde{r} = r$ and $\tilde{q} = q$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $k \geq 2$, $P \in \mathcal{O}_{k-1}[z_k]$ be a Weierstrass polynomial, and $f \in \mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$. If $fP \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})[z_k]$ is a polynomial, then f is a polynomial, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})[z_k]$.*

Proof. Since fP and P are polynomials in z_k over $\mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})$, we may apply the algebraic division theorem for polynomials to write

$$fP = Pq + r,$$

where $q, r \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})[z_k]$ and r has degree less than the degree of P . By the uniqueness part of Theorem 4.2, $r = 0$ and $f = q$. Thus $f \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(\{M_n\})[z_k]$, as desired. \square

In closing, we mention one final application of Theorem 4.2. Let R be a commutative ring with unit and M be an R -module. M is a flat R -module if for every exact sequence of R -modules $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$, the tensored sequence

$$A \otimes_R M \rightarrow B \otimes_R M \rightarrow C \otimes_R M$$

is also exact. It is possible to use Theorem 4.2 to establish that $\mathcal{A}_k(\{M_n\})$ is a flat ring extension of \mathcal{O}_k , $k \geq 2$. The details are so similar to those found in Nagel [7], however, that we choose to omit them.

References

- [1] ATIYAH, M. F., and I. G. MACDONALD: Introduction to commutative algebra. - Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass. 1969.
- [2] CHILDRESS, C. L.: Weierstrass division in quasianalytic local rings. - To appear.
- [3] GROTHENDIECK, A.: Espaces vectoriels topologiques. - Sociedade de Matemática de São Paulo, São Paulo, 1964.
- [4] HÖRMANDER, L.: An introduction to complex analysis in several variables. - North-Holland Publishing Company, 1973.
- [5] MATHER, J. N.: On Nirenberg's proof of Malgrange's preparation theorem. - Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities-Symposium I, ed. C.T.C. Wall, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [6] MATHER, J. N.: Stability of C^∞ mappings. I. The division theorem. - Ann. of Math. (2) 87, 1968, 89—104.
- [7] NAGEL, A.: Flatness criteria for modules of holomorphic functions over \mathcal{O}_n . - Duke Math. J. 40, 1973, 433—448.
- [8] RUDIN, W.: Real and complex analysis. - McGraw-Hill Co., Inc., New York, 1966.
- [9] WALL, C. T. C.: Introduction to the preparation theorem. - Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities - Symposium I, ed. C. T. C. Wall, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1971.

Case Western Reserve University
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Cleveland, Ohio 44 106
USA

Received 26 January 1976