

ON EXCEPTIONAL VALUES OF FUNCTIONS MEROMORPHIC OUTSIDE A LINEAR SET

SAKARI TOPPILA

1. Introduction

1. Let E be a closed set in the complex plane and f a function meromorphic outside E omitting a set $F = F(f)$. We shall consider the following problem: If E is thin, under what conditions is F thin, too?

If the number of the points of E $\text{card}(E)$ is finite and the set of the essential singularities of f $\text{sing}(f)$ is non-empty, Picard's theorem says that $\text{card}(F) \leq 2$. If the linear measure of E $l(E)$ is zero and f non-constant, then the Hausdorff dimension of F $\dim(F)$ is at most one. If the logarithmic capacity of E $\text{cap}(E)$ is zero and f non-constant, then $\text{cap}(F) = 0$, and Matsumoto [3] has proved that this result is sharp in the sense that given a closed set B with $\text{cap}(B) = 0$, there exist a set E with $\text{cap}(E) = 0$ and a function f with $\text{sing}(f) = E$ such that $B = F(f)$.

However, the thickness of the set E does not always guarantee the existence of a function f such that F is thick, too. Lehto [2] has proved that E may be chosen such that $\text{card}(E) = \infty$ and $\text{card}(F) \leq 2$ for any f with $\text{sing}(f) \neq \emptyset$. Carleson [1] proved that there exists a set E with $\text{cap}(E) > 0$ such that if $\text{sing}(f) \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{card}(F) \leq 3$. Matsumoto [4] constructed a perfect set E such that $\text{card}(F) \leq 2$ for any f with $\text{sing}(f) \neq \emptyset$. There exists a set E with $\text{cap}(E) > 0$ (Matsumoto [5], Toppila [6]) such that if $\text{sing}(f) = E$, then $\text{card}(F) \leq 2$. A set E with $\dim(E) > 0$ is constructed in [8] such that if $\text{sing}(f) = E$, then $\text{card}(F) \leq 4$, and in the same paper it is proved that there exists a set E with $\dim(E) > 0$ such that $\text{cap}(F) = 0$ for any f with $\text{sing}(f) \neq \emptyset$.

In this paper, we shall consider sets E with $\dim(E) < 1$. We shall prove that if E is a linear set with $\dim(E) < 1$ and f non-constant, then $\dim(F) \leq \dim(E)$. In [7] another geometrical condition is given under which $\dim(F) \leq \dim(E)$. For example usual Cantor sets satisfy the condition given in [7], but all linear sets do not satisfy it.

2. Linear sets

2. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let E be a closed linear set with $\dim(E) < 1$. If f is non-constant and meromorphic outside E and omits F , then $\dim(F) \equiv \dim(E)$.*

3. *Proof.* It does not mean any essential restriction to assume that $\infty \in F$, E is contained in the segment $[0, 1]$ on the real axis, and that f is non-rational. In order to prove the theorem it is sufficient to prove that for any λ , $\dim(E) < \lambda < 1$, and any R , $0 < R < \infty$, we have $\dim(B) \equiv \lambda$, where B is that part of F which lies on $|w| \leq R$. Let these λ and R be chosen. We choose α such that $\dim(E) < \alpha < \lambda$.

We denote $U(a, r) = \{z: |z-a| < r\}$. Let n be a positive integer. We choose a covering

$$\bigcup_{v=1}^{N_n} U(x_v, r_v) \supset E$$

such that every x_v lies on the segment $[0, 1]$ and

$$(1) \quad \sum_{v=1}^{N_n} r_v^\alpha < \frac{1 - (1/2)^\alpha}{16n}.$$

If $U(x_s, r_s) \cap U(x_k, r_k) \neq \emptyset$, then both of these discs are contained in a disc U with radius $r_s + r_k$ satisfying $(r_s + r_k)^\alpha \leq r_k^\alpha + r_s^\alpha$. Therefore we may assume that the discs $U(x_v, r_v)$ have no common points.

Let v be fixed. We choose $\delta_v > 0$ such that the discs $U(x_v - r_v, \delta_v)$ and $U(x_v + r_v, \delta_v)$ do not contain any point of E . We divide the circle $C_v: |z - x_v| = r_v$ in the following manner. Let k be so large that $\pi r_v < 2^k \delta_v$. We set

$$\gamma_s = \{z = x_v + r_v e^{i\varphi}: \pi/2^{s+1} \leq \varphi \leq \pi/2^s\}$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, and $\gamma_k = \{z \in C_v: 0 \leq \arg(z - x_v) \leq \pi/2^k\}$. The length of γ_s $l(\gamma_s)$ is less than $4r_v/2^s$ and

$$\sum_{s=1}^k (l(\gamma_s))^\alpha \leq (4r_v)^\alpha \sum_{s=1}^k (1/2^s)^\alpha < \frac{4r_v^\alpha}{1 - (1/2)^\alpha}.$$

The arcs $\{z \in C_v: p\pi/2 \leq \arg(z - x_v) \leq (p+1)\pi/2\}$, $p = 1, 2, 3$, are divided in a similar manner, and we see that the boundary of the complement of

$$\bigcup_{v=1}^{N_n} U(x_v, r_v)$$

consists of arcs t_v , $v = 1, 2, \dots, P_n$, such that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{v=1}^{P_n} (l(t_v))^\alpha \leq \frac{16}{1 - (1/2)^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{N_n} r_v^\alpha < 1/n,$$

and if $\zeta \in t_v$, then $U(\zeta, l(t_v)/2)$ does not contain any point of E .

We denote $\omega(t_\nu, a) = \min \{|f(z) - a| : z \in t_\nu\}$. Applying repeatedly Schottky's theorem in the discs $U(\zeta, l(t_\nu)/2)$ ($\zeta \in t_\nu$), we get the following lemma.

Lemma. *There exists an absolute constant $K > 4$ such that if $\omega(t_\nu, a) \leq \varrho$ ($\varrho > 0$) for some $a \in B$, then $\omega(t_\nu, b) > K\varrho$ for any $b \in B - U(a, 2K\varrho)$.*

4. For any $a \in B$ we set

$$f_a(z) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{|\zeta|=4} \frac{d\zeta}{(f(\zeta) - a)(\zeta - z)}.$$

The function f_a is regular in $|z| < 4$ and therefore $f_a(z) \neq 1/(f(z) - a)$. We set $G = \{z : 3 < |z| < 4\}$. Because $f_a(z)$ and $1/(f(z) - a)$ are continuous functions of a ($a \in B$) for any fixed $z \in G$ and B is compact, there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$(3) \quad \sup_{z \in G} |f_a(z) - 1/(f(z) - a)| > \beta$$

for any $a \in B$. It follows from Cauchy's integral theorem that

$$|f_a(z) - 1/(f(z) - a)| = \left| (2\pi i)^{-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{P_n} \int_{t_\nu} \frac{d\zeta}{(f(\zeta) - a)(\zeta - z)} \right| \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{P_n} l(t_\nu)/\omega(t_\nu, a)$$

if $z \in G$, $a \in B$, and this implies together with (3) that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^{P_n} l(t_\nu)/\omega(t_\nu, a) \geq \beta$$

for any $a \in B$.

We may assume that $l(t_1) \geq l(t_2) \geq \dots \geq l(t_{P_n})$, and that the arcs t_1 and t_{P_n} belong to the largest circle C_ν . Then $l(t_1) = 2^{S-1}l(t_{P_n})$ for some positive integer S and the condition

$$(5) \quad l(t_1)/2^{k-1} \geq l(t_\nu) \geq l(t_1)/2^k = s_k$$

is satisfied for at least 4 different values of ν when $1 \leq k \leq S$. The arcs t_ν satisfying (5) are denoted by $\gamma_{k,s}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots, K_k$.

5. Let k be fixed, $1 \leq k \leq S$. We denote $d = 2^{1/\lambda}$, $\varepsilon = 1 - \alpha/\lambda$, and p is a positive integer satisfying $2^{p+1} > K_k \geq 2^p$. If possible, we choose $b_{0,1} \in B$ such that $\omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b_{0,1}) \leq d_0 = d^p s_k^{1-\varepsilon}$ happens at least for 2^p different values of s , and we set

$$C_{k,0,1} = U(b_{0,1}, 2Kd_0)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{0,1} = \{\gamma_{k,s} : \omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b_{0,1}) \leq d_0\}.$$

If $b \in B - C_{k,0,1}$ and $\gamma_{k,s} \in \Gamma_{0,1}$, it follows from the lemma that $\omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b) \geq Kd_0 \geq 4d_0$. Because $K_k < 2^{p+1}$, it is not possible to choose $b_{0,2} \in B - C_{k,0,1}$ such that the condition $\omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b_{0,2}) \leq d_0$ is satisfied at least for 2^p different values of s . If $C_{k,0,1}$ exists, we set $T_0 = 1$, otherwise we set $T_0 = 0$.

Inductively, let us suppose that $C_{k,m-1,t}$ and $\Gamma_{m-1,t}$ are determined for $t=1, 2, \dots, T_{m-1}$. We choose

$$b_{m,1} \in B - \bigcup_{v=0}^{m-1} \bigcup_{t=1}^{T_v} C_{k,v,t}$$

such that $\omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b_{m,1}) \cong d_m = d^{p-m} s_k^{1-\varepsilon}$ is true for 2^{p-m} values of s , and we set

(6)
$$C_{k,m,j} = U(b_{m,j}, 2Kd_m)$$

and

(7)
$$\Gamma_{m,j} = \{\gamma_{k,s} : \omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b_{m,j}) \cong d_m\},$$

where $j=1$. If $C_{k,m,j-1}$ and $\Gamma_{m,j-1}$ are determined ($j \geq 2$), we choose, if possible,

$$b_{m,j} \in \left(B - \bigcup_{v=0}^{m-1} \bigcup_{t=1}^{T_v} C_{k,v,t} \right) - \bigcup_{t=1}^{j-1} C_{k,m,t}$$

such that $\omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b_{m,j}) \cong d_m$ at least for 2^{p-m} values of s and $C_{k,m,j}$ and $\Gamma_{m,j}$ are defined by (6) and (7). It follows from the lemma that if $\gamma_{k,s} \in \Gamma_{m,j}$, then

$$\gamma_{k,s} \notin \left(\bigcup_{v=0}^{m-1} \bigcup_{t=1}^{T_v} \Gamma_{v,t} \right) \cup \bigcup_{t=1}^{j-1} \Gamma_{m,t}.$$

Therefore our method produces only a finite number of discs $C_{k,m,j}, j=1, 2, \dots, T_m$.

Let us suppose that there exists $b \in B - D_k$, where

$$D_k = \bigcup_{m=0}^p \bigcup_{t=1}^{T_m} C_{k,m,t}.$$

Then $\omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b) > d_p = s_k^{1-\varepsilon}$ for any s and the condition

$$d^{m-1} s_k^{1-\varepsilon} < \omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b) \cong d^m s_k^{1-\varepsilon}$$

is satisfied at most for $2^m - 1$ different values of s . This implies that (because $l(\gamma_{k,s}) \cong 2s_k$)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^{K_k} l(\gamma_{k,s}) / \omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b) &\cong 2s_k \sum_{s=1}^{K_k} 1 / \omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b) \\ &\cong 2s_k \left(\sum_{m=1}^p \frac{2^m - 1}{d^{m-1} s_k^{1-\varepsilon}} + \frac{K_k}{d^p s_k^{1-\varepsilon}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $K_k < 2^{p+1}$, and we get

(8)
$$\sum_{s=1}^{K_k} l(\gamma_{k,s}) / \omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b) < \frac{4ds_k^\varepsilon}{d-2}.$$

The radius of the disc $C_{k,m,t}$ is $2Kd_m$, and because $d^\lambda = 2, \alpha = \lambda(1-\varepsilon)$, we get

$$(2Kd_m)^\lambda = (2K)^\lambda (d^{p-m} s_k^{1-\varepsilon})^\lambda = (2K)^\lambda 2^{p-m} s_k^\alpha.$$

The set $\Gamma_{m,t}$ contains at least 2^{p-m} different arcs $\gamma_{k,s}$ and $l(\gamma_{k,s}) \cong s_k$. Therefore we get

$$(2Kd_m)^\lambda \cong (2K)^\lambda \sum_{\gamma_{k,s} \in \Gamma_{m,t}} (l(\gamma_{k,s}))^\alpha.$$

If $m \neq \mu$ or $t \neq \tau$, then $\Gamma_{m,t} \cap \Gamma_{\mu,\tau} = \emptyset$, and we see that D_k consists of discs $C_{k,v}$ with radii $\varrho_{k,v}$, $v=1, 2, \dots, L_k$, satisfying

$$(9) \quad \sum_{v=1}^{L_k} \varrho_{k,v}^\lambda \cong (2K)^\lambda \sum_{s=1}^{K_k} (l(\gamma_{k,s}))^\lambda.$$

6. Let us suppose now that $b \in B - \bigcup_{k=1}^S D_k$. It follows from (8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^{P_n} \frac{l(t_s)}{\omega(t_s, b)} &= \sum_{k=1}^S \sum_{s=1}^{K_k} \frac{l(\gamma_{k,s})}{\omega(\gamma_{k,s}, b)} \cong \frac{4d}{d-2} \sum_{k=1}^S s_k^\varepsilon \\ &= \frac{4d}{d-2} \sum_{k=1}^S (l(t_1)/2^k)^\varepsilon < \frac{4d(l(t_1)/2)^\varepsilon}{(d-2)(1-(1/2)^\varepsilon)} < \beta \end{aligned}$$

if $l(t_1)$ is sufficiently small, and we see from (4) that

$$B \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^S D_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^S \bigcup_{v=1}^{L_k} C_{k,v}$$

for all large values of n . We see from (9) and (2) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^S \sum_{v=1}^{L_k} (\varrho_{k,v})^\lambda \cong (2K)^\lambda \sum_{k=1}^S \sum_{s=1}^{K_k} l(\gamma_{k,s})^\lambda = (2K)^\lambda \sum_{v=1}^{P_n} (l(t_v))^\lambda < (2K)^\lambda/n \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\dim(B) \cong \lambda$, and the theorem is proved.

References

[1] CARLESON, L.: A remark on Picard's theorem. - Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67, 1961, 142—144.
 [2] LEHTO, O.: A generalization of Picard's theorem. - Ark. Mat. 3, 1958, 495—500.
 [3] MATSUMOTO, K.: Exceptional values of meromorphic functions in a neighborhood of the set of singularities. - J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A 24, 1960, 143—153.
 [4] MATSUMOTO, K.: Some remarks on Picard sets. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 403, 1967, 1—17.
 [5] MATSUMOTO, K.: Perfect Picard set of positive capacity. - Nagoya Math. J. 29, 1967, 51—56.
 [6] TOPPILA, S.: Picard sets for meromorphic functions. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 417, 1967, 1—24.
 [7] TOPPILA S.: Some remarks on the value distribution of meromorphic functions. - Ark. Mat. 9, 1971, 1—9.
 [8] TOPPILA, S.: On exceptional values of functions meromorphic outside a set of positive Hausdorff dimension. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I 547, 1973, 1—12.

University of Helsinki
 Department of Mathematics
 SF-00100 Helsinki 10
 Finland

Received 16 May 1979