

## EXTENSION OF QUASISYMMETRIC AND LIPSCHITZ EMBEDDINGS OF THE REAL LINE INTO THE PLANE

PEKKA TUKIA

1. For the definitions of the terms *Lipschitz embedding*, *Lipschitz homeomorphism* and *L-embedding*, we refer to [7, Section 1].

If  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , is an embedding, we say that  $f$  is a *quasisymmetric embedding* if there is  $H \geq 1$  such that

$$|f(b) - f(x)| \leq H |f(a) - f(x)|$$

provided  $a, b, x \in X$  and  $|b - x| \leq |a - x|$ ; cf. [8], where  $f$  was said to be weakly quasisymmetric if this is true. We say also that  $f$  is *H-quasisymmetric* if we wish to emphasize  $H$ . If  $X = R = f(X)$ , this definition coincides with the usual definition of a quasisymmetric (and  $H$ -quasisymmetric) mapping except that  $f$  may be also decreasing. Note that an  $L$ -embedding is always  $L^2$ -quasisymmetric.

2. We prove in this paper the following theorem whose quasiconformal part is more or less known [4, 6], although we have not found it in an exactly equivalent form.

*Theorem.* *Let  $f: R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be an  $H$ -quasisymmetric embedding. Then there is an extension  $F$  of  $f$  to a  $K$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which is continuously differentiable outside  $R$  and where  $K$  depends only on  $H$ . If  $f$  is an  $L$ -embedding, then  $F$  is an  $L'$ -homeomorphism where  $L'$  depends only on  $L$ .*

We proved a variant of the Lipschitz part of the theorem in [7], where the proof was an explicit geometric construction, based on a certain compactness property of Lipschitz embeddings. The proof we offer here is analytic in character, the main tools being Riemann's mapping theorem and the Beurling—Ahlfors extension of a quasisymmetric mapping. This proof is also much shorter than the proof of [7]. However, it is questionable whether it is fundamentally simpler, so powerful are the theorems on which it is based.

We remark that the construction of [7] would, with minor modifications and with some results of [8], have given also the quasiconformal part of the theorem. Then an easy argument (1° of Section 12 of [7]) gives also the Lipschitz part. How-

ever, we were not aware of this possibility when writing [7]. If this had been done, we would have had as a corollary a new, geometric proof of the following theorem, proved by Ahlfors [1] using analytic methods. (For the definition of the term “bounded turning”, see Section 4.)

*Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and assume that  $S \cup \{\infty\}$  is a topological circle. Then  $S$  is the image  $g(R)$  of the real line under a quasiconformal map  $g$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  if and only if  $S$  is of bounded turning.*

This would follow since by [8, 4.9], combined with a normal family argument using [8, Section 3], there is a quasisymmetric embedding  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $f(R) = S$ .

We now proceed to the proof. For further discussion, see Section 7.

3. *The Beurling—Ahlfors extension.* Let  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing homeomorphism and let  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{im } z > 0\}$ . One can extend  $g$  to a homeomorphism  $B_g$  of  $\text{cl } U$  by setting  $B_g = g$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and for  $(x, y) \in U$

$$(1) \quad B_g(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)i,$$

where

$$(2) \quad \alpha(x, y) = \int_0^1 g(x+ty) dt, \quad \beta(x, y) = \int_0^1 g(x-ty) dt.$$

This is the Beurling—Ahlfors extension [2, Section 6] of  $g$ . It is always a homeomorphism of  $\text{cl } U$  and it is continuously differentiable in  $U$ . In addition, if  $g$  is  $H$ -quasisymmetric,  $B_g$  is  $8H$ -quasiconformal (Reed [3]). We need the following result. Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be affine,  $\varphi(z) = az + b$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a > 0$ . Then  $\varphi(R) = R$  and we have

$$(3) \quad B_{g \circ \varphi} = B_g \circ \varphi, \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\varphi \circ g} = \varphi \circ B_g,$$

where we have denoted  $\varphi|_R$  also by  $\varphi$ . Equations (3) are an immediate consequence of (1) and (2).

If  $A: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear mapping, we denote

$$|A| = \max_{|x|=1} |A(x)|$$

$$l(A) = \min_{|x|=1} |A(x)|.$$

A mapping is *normalized* if it fixes 0, 1 and  $\infty$ . (If a map  $g$  is undefined at  $\infty$ , we set  $g(\infty) = \infty$ .) The derivative of a map  $h$  is  $Dh$ . Now we can state

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $H$ -quasisymmetric. Then*

(a) *there is  $L_1 = L_1(H) \cong 1$  such that  $B_g|_U$  is an  $L_1$ -homeomorphism of  $U$  in the hyperbolic metric of  $U$ , and*

(b) there is  $L_2=L_2(H)\cong 1$  such that, if  $g$  is in addition normalized,

$$1/L_2 \cong l(DB_g(1/2, 1/2)) \cong |DB_g(1/2, 1/2)| \cong L_2.$$

*Proof.* For (a) see Ahlfors [1, p. 293]. Let  $(x, y)\in U$  and let  $(x', y')=B_g(x, y)$ . Then (a) implies

$$(4) \quad 1/L_1 \cong y l(DB_g(x, y))/y' \cong y |DB_g(x, y)|/y' \cong L_1.$$

Now, if  $g$  is  $H$ -quasisymmetric and normalized,  $B_g$  is  $8H$ -quasiconformal and normalized. Then the compactness properties of quasiconformal mappings imply that there is  $M=M(H)\cong 1$  such that  $\text{im } B_g(1/2, 1/2)\in[1/M, M]$  whenever  $g$  is  $H$ -quasisymmetric and normalized. It follows by (4) that (b) is true with  $L_2=2ML_1$ .

4. Let  $c\cong 1$ . We say that an (open or closed) arc  $J\subset R^2$  is of  $c$ -bounded turning if, whenever  $a, b\in J$ ,

$$\text{diam}(J') \cong c|a-b|,$$

where  $J'\subset J$  is the subarc with endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . We let  $\mathcal{F}_c$  be the family of normalized embeddings  $g: \text{cl } U\rightarrow R^2$  such that  $g|U$  is conformal and  $g(R)$  is of  $c$ -bounded turning. There is  $K=K(c)$  such that every  $g\in\mathcal{F}_c$  can be extended to a  $K$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $R^2$  (cf. [1]). It follows that  $\mathcal{F}_c$  is compact: if  $g_1, g_2, \dots\in\mathcal{F}_c$ , there is a subsequence  $g_{n(1)}, g_{n(2)}, \dots$  such that there is  $\lim g_{n(i)}\in\mathcal{F}_c$ .

*Lemma 2.* Let  $X\subset U$  be compact and let  $c\cong 1$ . Then there is  $L_3=L_3(X, c)\cong 1$  such that the derivative  $g'(z)$  satisfies

$$(5) \quad 1/L_3 \cong |g'(z)| \cong L_3$$

for every  $g\in\mathcal{F}_c$  and  $z\in X$ .

*Proof.* We choose a closed path  $\gamma: [0, 1]\rightarrow U$  such that  $\gamma([0, 1])\cap X=\emptyset$  and that for every  $z\in X$  the index of  $z$  with respect to  $\gamma$  is 1. Then

$$(6) \quad g'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{g(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)^2}$$

for every  $z\in X$  and  $g\in\mathcal{F}_c$ . Now (6) and the compactness of  $\mathcal{F}_c$  imply immediately that there is  $L_3$  for which the right inequality of (5) is valid. If there is no such  $L_3$  for which the left inequality is also valid, we can find functions  $g, g_1, g_2, \dots\in\mathcal{F}_c$  and points  $z, z_1, z_2, \dots\in X$  such that  $\lim g_i=g, \lim z_i=z$  and  $\lim g'_i(z_i)=0$ . However,  $g'(z)\neq 0$ . This and (6) now imply a contradiction.

5. Let  $g: R\rightarrow R^2$  be a normalized embedding such that if we set  $g(\infty)=\infty$ ,  $g$  is continuous at  $\infty$ . Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be the components of  $R^2\setminus g(R)$ . We choose the notation in such a way that there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $G: \text{cl } U\rightarrow \text{cl } C_1$  extending  $g$ . Now we construct a canonical extension

$F_g$  of  $g$  to a homeomorphism of  $R^2$ . We give the definition of  $F_g$  only in the upper half-plane  $U$ ; the case of the lower half-plane is analogous.

There is a well-defined homeomorphism  $A_g: \text{cl } U \rightarrow \text{cl } C_1$  which is normalized and conformal in  $U$ . Consider the map  $\tilde{g} = A_g^{-1} \circ g$  which is an increasing homeomorphism of  $R$ ; thus the Beurling—Ahlfors extension  $B_{\tilde{g}}$  is a homeomorphism of  $\text{cl } U$  extending  $\tilde{g}$ . We set now

$$(7) \quad F_g|_{\text{cl } U} = A_g \circ B_{\tilde{g}},$$

$F_g$  being defined similarly in  $R^2 \setminus U$ . We have  $F_g|R = A_g \circ \tilde{g} = A_g \circ A_g^{-1} \circ g = g$ ; thus  $F_g$  is indeed an extension of  $g$ .

Let then  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R$  be an increasing affine map and  $\psi: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  be a conformal affine map, and assume that  $\psi g \varphi$  is normalized. We show

$$(8) \quad F_{\psi g \varphi} = \psi \circ F_g \circ \varphi$$

(where on the right side  $\varphi$  is extended to the unique conformal affine map extending  $\varphi$ ). This is the fundamental property of  $F_g$  which makes the proof of our theorem possible. We observe first that, if  $h = \psi g \varphi$ ,

$$(9) \quad A_h = \psi \circ A_g \circ \bar{\varphi}$$

where  $\bar{\varphi} = A_g^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1} \circ A_h$  is a conformal affine map of  $\text{cl } U$ . Let  $\bar{h} = A_h^{-1} \circ h$ . Then

$$\bar{h} = \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \circ A_g^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ g \circ \varphi = \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \circ A_g^{-1} \circ g \circ \varphi = \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \tilde{g} \circ \varphi.$$

Consequently, by (3),  $B_{\bar{h}} = \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \circ B_{\tilde{g}} \circ \varphi|_{\text{cl } U}$ . Thus, by (9),

$$F_h|_{\text{cl } U} = A_h \circ B_{\bar{h}} = \psi \circ A_g \circ \bar{\varphi} \circ \bar{\varphi}^{-1} \circ B_{\tilde{g}} \circ \varphi|_{\text{cl } U} = \psi \circ F_g \circ \varphi|_{\text{cl } U}.$$

In the same manner one sees that (8) is valid also in  $R^2 \setminus U$ .

If now  $g$  is a quasimetric embedding of  $R$  into  $R^2$ , necessarily  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} |g(t)| = \infty$  ([8, 2.1 and 2.16]) and thus the above discussion is valid for all normalized quasimetric maps. Note that, if  $g$  is  $H$ -quasimetric, then  $g(R)$  is of  $2H$ -bounded turning.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $H \geq 1$ . There is a number  $L_4 = L_4(H) \geq 1$  such that if  $f: R \rightarrow R^2$  is a normalized  $H$ -quasimetric embedding, then the extension  $F_f$  is continuously differentiable in  $R^2 \setminus R$  and satisfies*

$$\frac{|f(x+y) - f(x-y)|}{|2yL_4|} \leq l(DF_f(x, y)) \leq |DF_f(x, y)| \leq \frac{|f(x+y) - f(x-y)|}{|2y|} L_4$$

for  $(x, y) \in R^2 \setminus R$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $(x, y) \in R^2 \setminus R$ . We can assume that  $y > 0$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the increasing affine map of  $R$  such that  $\varphi(0) = x - y$  and  $\varphi(1) = x + y$ ; we denote by  $\varphi$  also the extension of  $\varphi$  to a conformal affine map of  $R^2$ . Let  $\psi$  be the

conformal affine map of  $R^2$  such that  $\psi(f(x-y))=0$  and  $\psi(f(x+y))=1$ . Then  $g=\psi f\phi$  is normalized and by (8)

$$DF_f(x, y) = D\psi^{-1} \circ DF_g(1/2, 1/2) \circ D\phi^{-1}.$$

Now  $D\phi$  and  $D\psi$  (which are constants) are similarities, and  $D\phi$  multiplies distances by the factor  $2y$  and  $D\psi$  multiplies them by the factor  $1/|f(x+y)-f(x-y)|$ . Thus the lemma is true if we can show that there is  $L_4=L_4(H)$  such that

$$(10) \quad 1/L_4 \cong l(DF_g(1/2, 1/2)) \cong |DF_g(1/2, 1/2)| \cong L_4.$$

Observe that  $g$  is normalized and  $H$ -quasisymmetric. We show that, in fact, there is  $L_4=L_4(H)$  such that (10) is true for all normalized and  $H$ -quasisymmetric  $g$ . By (7),

$$(11) \quad DF_g(1/2, 1/2) = DA_g(B_{\bar{g}}(1/2, 1/2)) \circ DB_{\bar{g}}(1/2, 1/2).$$

Here  $\bar{g}=A_g^{-1} \circ g$  is normalized. It is also  $H'$ -quasisymmetric for some  $H'=H'(H)$ . To see this, observe first that  $A_g \in \mathcal{F}_{2H}$  since  $g(R)$  is of  $2H$ -bounded turning. Thus  $A_g$ , and hence also  $A_g^{-1}$ , can be extended to a  $K(H)$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $R^2$ . But a  $K(H)$ -quasiconformal homeomorphism of  $R^2$  is  $H_1$ -quasisymmetric for some  $H_1=H_1(K(H))=H_1(H)$ . This follows by a normal family argument or by [9, 2.4]. But then  $A_g^{-1} \circ g$  is  $H'$ -quasisymmetric for some  $H'=H'(H_1, H)=H'(H)$  by [8, 2.16 and 2.2].

Let  $X=\{B_{\bar{h}}(1/2, 1/2): h: R \rightarrow R \text{ is normalized and } H'\text{-quasisymmetric}\}$ . Then a normal family argument shows that  $X=X(H) \subset U$  is compact (observe that  $B_{\bar{g}}$  depends continuously on  $g$ ). Now (11) and Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that there are  $L_2=L_2(H')=L_2(H)$  and  $L_3=L_3(X, 2H)=L_3(H)$  such that (11) is true with  $L_4=L_2L_3=L_4(H)$ .

6. *The proof* of the main theorem is now easy. We can assume that  $f$  is normalized, possibly by increasing  $L'$  for non-normalized  $f$ . Obviously,  $F_f$  is continuously differentiable outside  $R$ . Thus  $F_f|_{R^2 \setminus R}$  is  $L_4^2$ -quasiconformal, which implies that also  $F_f$  is  $L_4^2$ -quasiconformal since  $R$  is a removable singularity for quasiconformal maps of  $R^2$ . Assume then that  $f$  is an  $L$ -embedding. We observe that  $f$  is  $L^2$ -quasisymmetric and thus we can apply Lemma 3, which implies that  $1/LL_4 \cong 1(DF_f(x, y)) \cong |DF_f(x, y)| \cong LL_4$  if  $(x, y) \in R^2 \setminus R$ . It follows that  $F_f$  is an  $L'$ -embedding where  $L'=LL_4=L'(L)$ .

7. Actually, Theorem A of [7] and the present theorem consider a slightly different situation since in [7] we considered a Lipschitz embedding  $f: S=\partial I^2 \rightarrow R^2$ . However, these two cases can be fairly easily reduced to each other by means of the following observation, due to J. Väisälä. *For every  $L \geq 1$  there is  $K=K(L) \geq 1$  such that if  $f: R \rightarrow R^2$  or  $f: R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  is an  $L$ -embedding in the euclidean metric with  $f(0)=0$ , then  $f$  is a  $K$ -embedding in the spherical metric, and vice versa.* This

follows easily by the expression  $|dz|/(1+|z|^2)$  for the spherical metric. This observation would have simplified the discussion in Section 12 of [7], where we extended  $f: S \rightarrow R^2$  outside  $S$ . We refer to this discussion for the details of how to reduce the theorems to each other (apart from the requirement that the extensions are PL or continuously differentiable outside  $S$  or  $R$ ).

Presumably one would get by this method also the extension for Lipschitz or quasimetric embeddings of arcs into  $R^2$  (cf. Theorem B of [7]) since quasiconformal arcs can be characterized in a manner similar to quasiconformal circles (Rickman [5]).

Finally, I am indebted to J. Luukkainen and J. Väisälä for reading the manuscript and for valuable remarks.

*Added in proof.* After this paper was completed, I received the paper "Hardy spaces,  $A_\infty$ , and singular integrals on chord-arc domains" by D.S. Jerison and C.E. Kenig whose Proposition 1.13. is equivalent to the Lipschitz part of the theorem of this paper.

#### References

- [1] AHLFORS, L. V.: Quasiconformal reflections. - Acta Math. 109, 1963, 291—301.
- [2] BEURLING, A., and L. AHLFORS: The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings. - Acta Math. 96, 1956, 125—142.
- [3] REED, T. J.: Quasiconformal mappings with given boundary values. - Duke Math. J. 33, 1966, 459—464.
- [4] REED, T. J.: On the boundary correspondence of quasiconformal mappings of domains bounded by quasi circles. - Pacific J. Math. 28, 1969, 653—661.
- [5] RICKMAN, S.: Characterization of quasiconformal arcs. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 395, 1966, 1—30.
- [6] RICKMAN, S.: Quasiconformally equivalent curves. - Duke Math. J. 36, 1969, 387—400.
- [7] TUKIA, P.: The planar Schönflies theorem for Lipschitz maps. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 5, 1980, 49—72.
- [8] TUKIA, P., and J. VÄISÄLÄ: Quasisymmetric embeddings of metric spaces. - Ibid. 5, 1980, 97—114.
- [9] VÄISÄLÄ, J.: Quasisymmetric embeddings in euclidean spaces. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 264, 1981, 191-204.

University of Helsinki  
 Department of Mathematics  
 SF-00100 Helsinki 10  
 Finland

Received 9 May 1980