

## ON PSEUDO-MONOTONE OPERATORS AND NONLINEAR PARABOLIC INITIAL-BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS ON UNBOUNDED DOMAINS

VESA MUSTONEN\*

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary domain in  $R^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ) and let  $Q$  be the cylinder  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  with a given  $T > 0$ . We shall consider on  $Q$  the quasilinear parabolic partial differential operator of order  $2m$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) of the form

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + Au(x, t),$$

where  $A$  is an elliptic operator given in the divergence form

$$(2) \quad Au(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, t, u, Du, \dots, D^m u).$$

The coefficients  $A_\alpha$  are regarded as real-valued functions of the point  $(x, t)$  in  $Q$ , of  $\eta = \{\eta_\beta: |\beta| \leq m-1\}$  in  $R^{N_1}$  and of  $\zeta = \{\zeta_\beta: |\beta| = m\}$  in  $R^{N_2}$ , where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$  are  $N$ -tuples of nonnegative integers,  $|\beta| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_N$  and  $D^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^N (\partial/\partial x_i)^{\alpha_i}$ .

If we assume that the functions  $A_\alpha$  satisfy the familiar condition

(A<sub>1</sub>) Each  $A_\alpha(x, t, \eta, \zeta)$  is measurable in  $(x, t)$  for fixed  $\xi = (\eta, \zeta)$  and continuous in  $\xi$  for fixed  $(x, t)$ . For a given  $p > 1$  there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  and a function  $k_1 \in L^{p'}(Q)$  with  $p' = p/(p-1)^{-1}$  such that

$$|A_\alpha(x, t, \eta, \zeta)| \leq c_1 (|\zeta|^{p-1} + |\eta|^{p-1} + k_1(x, t))$$

for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , all  $(x, t) \in Q$  and all  $\xi = (\eta, \zeta) \in R^{N_1+N_2} = R^{N_0}$ ,

then the operator  $A$  gives rise to a bounded map  $S$  from the space  $\mathcal{V} = L^p(0, T; V)$  to its dual space  $\mathcal{V}^*$ ,  $V$  being a closed subspace of the Sobolev space  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ .

When  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain, the operator  $\partial/\partial t$  induces a maximal monotone map  $L$  from the subset  $D(L) = \{v \in \mathcal{V}: \partial v/\partial t \in \mathcal{V}^*, v(x, 0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$  to  $\mathcal{V}^*$ , and

---

\* Supported by an Alexander von Humboldt Foundation Fellowship while on leave from the University of Oulu.

from a simple set of additional hypotheses of the Leray—Lions type it can be derived that  $S$  is pseudo-monotone on  $D(L)$ . This result is then applicable to the existence of weak solutions for the parabolic initial-boundary value problems for the operator (1).

When  $\Omega$  is unbounded, the situation is different while the compactness part of the Sobolev embedding theorem and Relich’s selection theorem are no more available and the above definition of the set  $D(L)$  does not make sense.

The purpose of the present note is to show, for arbitrary domains  $\Omega$ , that the map  $S$  induced by the elliptic operator  $A$  is pseudo-monotone as a map from the space  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \cap L^2(Q)$  to  $\mathcal{W}^*$  on the set  $D(L) = \{v \in \mathcal{W} : \partial v / \partial t \in \mathcal{W}^*, v(x, 0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$  whenever the coefficients  $A_\alpha$  satisfy the following conditions (cf. [5] p. 323, [6]) in addition to  $(A_1)$ :

$(A_2)$  For each  $(x, t) \in Q$ , each  $\eta \in R^{N_1}$  and any pair of distinct elements  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta^*$  in  $R^{N_2}$ ,

$$\sum_{|\alpha| = m} \{A_\alpha(x, t, \eta, \zeta) - A_\alpha(x, t, \eta, \zeta^*)\}(\zeta_\alpha - \zeta_\alpha^*) > 0.$$

$(A_3)$  There exist a constant  $c_2 > 0$  and functions  $k_2 \in L^1(Q)$ ,  $h_\alpha \in L^p(Q)$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_\alpha(x, t, \xi) \xi_\alpha \geq - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} h_\alpha(x, t) \xi_\alpha - k_2(x, t)$$

for all  $(x, t) \in Q$  and all  $\xi \in R^{N_0}$ .

This result is analogous to the elliptic case studied by F. E. Browder [1]. In fact, our method here is a modification of the method introduced by R. Landes and V. Mustonen [4], which makes it possible to relax one of the classical conditions imposed on the coefficients  $A_\alpha$ .

The result of pseudo-monotonicity can be applied to the variational problems for the operator (1) involving a domain which is not necessarily bounded. As an example we shall show that the partial differential equation

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au = f \quad \text{in } Q$$

with the initial-boundary conditions

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} u(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ D^\alpha u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq m - 1 \end{cases}$$

admits a solution  $u$  for any given  $f$  in  $L^p(Q)$ . Under similar conditions (a condition stronger than our  $(A_3)$  was needed) this existence theorem was also proved by G. Mahler [6] by an ad hoc approximation method which was originally introduced by P. Hess [2] for elliptic Dirichlet problems.

### 2. Prerequisites

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $R^N$ . The Sobolev space of functions  $u$  such that  $u$  and its distributional derivatives  $D^\alpha u$  lie in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$  is denoted by  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . By  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  we mean the closure in  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , the space of test functions with compact support in  $\Omega$ . If  $u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , we shall write  $\eta(u) = \{D^\alpha u: |\alpha| \leq m-1\}$ ,  $\zeta(u) = \{D^\alpha u: |\alpha| = m\}$  and  $\xi(u) = \{D^\alpha u: |\alpha| \leq m\}$ . When  $T > 0$  is given and  $V$  is a closed subspace of the Sobolev space  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ , we denote  $\mathcal{V} = L^p(0, T; V)$ , a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \left\{ \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_V^p dt \right\}^{1/p}.$$

We let further  $\mathcal{W}$  stand for the Banach space  $\mathcal{V} \cap L^2(Q)$  with  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$  and with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{W}} = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{V}} + \|\cdot\|_{L^2(Q)}$ .

The duality pairing between the elements  $u$  in a Banach space  $X$  and  $f$  in  $X^*$  is denoted by  $(f, u)_X$ , where the subscript  $X$  will be omitted when no confusion is possible. If  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{W}$  is reflexive and its dual space is  $\mathcal{W}^* = \mathcal{V}^* + L^2(Q)$ , where  $\mathcal{V}^* = L^p(0, T; V^*)$ . Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{W} \subset L^2(Q) \subset \mathcal{W}^* \subset L^1(0, T; V^* + L^2(\Omega));$$

for each  $u \in \mathcal{W}$  the distribution derivative  $u' = \partial u / \partial t$  can be defined and the condition  $u' \in \mathcal{W}^*$  makes sense. Each  $u \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $u' \in \mathcal{W}^*$  is (after a modification on a set of measure zero) a continuous function,  $[0, T] \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  and the following integration formula holds (see [6], [7]) for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $u', v' \in \mathcal{W}^*$ :

$$(5) \quad (u', v)_{\mathcal{V}^*} + (v', u)_{\mathcal{V}^*} = (u(T), v(T))_{L^2(\Omega)} - (u(0), v(0))_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Let  $L$  stand for the linear map from  $\mathcal{W}$  to  $\mathcal{W}^*$  which takes  $u$  to  $u'$  having the domain

$$D(L) = \{u \in \mathcal{W}: u' \in \mathcal{W}^*, u(x, 0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}.$$

It follows from (5) that  $(Lu, u) \geq 0$  for all  $u \in D(L)$ . Thus  $L$  is a monotone linear map.

We close this section by recalling the definition of a pseudo-monotone map and an abstract surjectivity result which we will employ in proving the existence theorem in Section 4. Indeed, Theorem 1.2 of [5] p. 319 can be stated as follows:

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space with strictly convex norms in  $X$  and  $X^*$ . Let  $L$  be a linear maximal monotone map from  $D(L)$  to  $X^*$  with  $D(L)$  dense in  $X$ , let  $T$  be a bounded map from  $X$  to  $X^*$ , and suppose that  $T$  is  $D(L)$ -pseudo-monotone, i.e. for any sequence  $(v_n) \subset D(L)$  with  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  (weak convergence) in  $X$ ,  $Lv_n \rightharpoonup Lv$  in  $X^*$  and  $\limsup (T(v_n), v_n - v) \leq 0$ , it follows that  $T(v_n) \rightharpoonup T(v)$  in  $X^*$  and  $(T(v_n), v_n) \rightarrow (T(v), v)$ . If  $T$  is coercive on  $X$ , i.e.  $(T(u), u) \|u\|^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $X$ , then for any  $f \in X^*$  there is  $u \in D(L)$  such that  $Lu + T(u) = f$ .*

### 3. Theorem on pseudo-monotonicity

Let us assume that the coefficients  $A_\alpha$  of the operator (2) satisfy the conditions  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  and  $(A_3)$  in the given domain  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ . On account of  $(A_1)$  the equation

$$(6) \quad a(u, v) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_Q A_\alpha(x, t, \xi(u)) D^\alpha v \, dx \, dt$$

defines a bounded semilinear form on  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ . Hence (6) gives rise to a bounded (nonlinear) map  $S$  from  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $\mathcal{V}^*$  by the rule

$$(7) \quad (S(u), v) = a(u, v), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

In view of  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_3)$  it is clear that  $\mathcal{V}$  would be the natural space for the mapping  $S$  but, on the other hand, the map  $L$  is defined on the subset  $D(L) \subset \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{V}$  only, with values in  $\mathcal{W}^*$ . Therefore we shall regard  $S$  as a map from  $\mathcal{W}$  to  $\mathcal{W}^*$  and prove

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary domain in  $R^N$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$  and let the functions  $A_\alpha$  satisfy the conditions  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  and  $(A_3)$ . Then the map  $S$  from  $\mathcal{W}$  to  $\mathcal{W}^*$  defined by (7) is  $D(L)$ -pseudo-monotone.*

*Proof.* We can follow the lines of the proof of the elliptic case in [4]. Indeed, let  $(v_n) \subset D(L)$  be a sequence such that  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $Lv_n \rightharpoonup Lv$  in  $\mathcal{W}^*$  and  $\limsup (S(v_n), v_n - v) \leq 0$ . We must verify that  $S(v_n) \rightharpoonup S(v)$  in  $\mathcal{W}^*$  and that  $(S(v_n), v_n) \rightarrow (S(v), v)$ , at least for an infinite subsequence of  $(v_n)$ . As  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $D^\alpha v_n \rightharpoonup D^\alpha v$  in  $L^p(Q)$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$  and  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  in  $L^2(Q)$ . Our aim is to show that  $D^\alpha v_n(x, t) \rightarrow D^\alpha v(x, t)$  almost everywhere in  $Q$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$  for some subsequence. By  $(A_1)$  this implies that  $A_\alpha(x, t, \xi(v_n)) \rightarrow A_\alpha(x, t, \xi(v))$  a.e. in  $Q$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m$ . By  $(A_1)$  this also means that  $A_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot, \xi(v_n)) \rightarrow A_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot, \xi(v))$  in  $L^p(Q)$ , and thus  $S(v_n) \rightharpoonup S(v)$  in  $\mathcal{W}^*$  follows. The a.e. convergence of  $D^\alpha v_n(x, t)$  to  $D^\alpha v(x, t)$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m-1$  is established by Aubin's Lemma ([5] p. 57). Indeed,  $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$  is compactly embedded in  $W^{m-1,p}(\omega)$  for any subdomain  $\omega$  with a compact closure in  $\Omega$ . Thus  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $Lv_n \rightharpoonup Lv$  in  $\mathcal{W}^*$  together imply (cf. [6] p. 205) that  $v_n \rightarrow v$  (strongly) in  $L^p(0, T; W^{m-1,p}(\omega))$ , i.e.  $D^\alpha v_n \rightarrow D^\alpha v$  in  $L^p(\omega \times (0, T))$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m-1$ , and the a.e. convergence for a subsequence follows.

To verify that  $D^\alpha v_n(x, t) \rightarrow D^\alpha v(x, t)$  a.e. in  $Q$  also for all  $|\alpha| = m$  we denote

$$q_n(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \{A_\alpha(x, t, \eta(v_n), \zeta(v_n)) - A_\alpha(x, t, \eta(v), \zeta(v))\} (D^\alpha v_n - D^\alpha v),$$

$$p_n(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_\alpha(x, t, \xi(v_n)) (D^\alpha v_n - D^\alpha v),$$

$$r_n(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_\alpha(x, t, \eta(v_n), \zeta(v)) (D^\alpha v - D^\alpha v_n),$$

$$s_n(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} A_\alpha(x, t, \xi(v_n)) (D^\alpha v - D^\alpha v_n).$$

Then  $q_n = p_n + r_n + s_n$  in  $Q$ . If we can show that  $q_n(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  a.e. in  $Q$ , then the desired result follows from Lemma 6 due to R. Landes [3]. In fact, as  $q_n(x, t) \equiv 0$  for almost all  $(x, t) \in Q$  by  $(A_2)$ , it suffices to show that

$$(8) \quad \limsup \int_{Q_k} q_n(x, t) dx dt \leq \varepsilon_k,$$

where  $Q_k = \Omega_k \times (0, T)$ ,  $(\Omega_k)$  is a growing sequence of bounded subdomains of  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Omega_k) = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For any fixed  $k$  we have

$$\int_{Q_k} q_n(x, t) = \int_Q p_n(x, t) - \int_{Q \setminus Q_k} p_n(x, t) + \int_{Q_k} (r_n(x, t) + s_n(x, t)),$$

where we know by assumption that  $\limsup \int_Q p_n(x, t) \leq 0$ . Moreover, since  $(D^\alpha v_n)$  is bounded in  $L^p(Q)$  and  $(A_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot, \zeta(v_n)))$  is bounded in  $L^p(Q)$ , we get by  $(A_3)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{Q \setminus Q_k} p_n(x, t) &= - \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{Q \setminus Q_k} A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v_n \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{Q \setminus Q_k} A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v \\ &\leq c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \left\{ \int_{Q \setminus Q_k} |h_\alpha(x, t)|^{p'} \right\}^{1/p'} + \int_{Q \setminus Q_k} k_2(x, t) \\ &\quad + c \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \left\{ \int_{Q \setminus Q_k} |D^\alpha v|^p \right\}^{1/p} := \varepsilon_k, \end{aligned}$$

where obviously  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $c$  being some positive constant. Since  $D^\alpha v_n \rightarrow D^\alpha v$  in  $L^p(Q_k)$  for all  $|\alpha| \leq m-1$  and since  $A_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot, \eta(v_n), \zeta(v)) \rightarrow A_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot, \eta(v), \zeta(v))$  in  $L^p(Q_k)$  for all  $|\alpha| = m$  by  $(A_1)$  and the dominated convergence theorem, we can conclude that

$$\limsup \int_{Q_k} (r_n(x, t) + s_n(x, t)) \leq 0$$

for any fixed  $k$ . Thus (8) has been verified.

We complete the proof by showing that  $(S(v_n), v_n) \rightarrow (S(v), v)$ . In view of the assumption,  $\limsup (S(v_n), v_n) \leq (S(v), v)$ . Hence it suffices to prove that

$$(9) \quad \liminf (S(v_n), v_n) \geq (S(v), v).$$

By  $(A_2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v_n &\geq \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_\alpha(x, t, \eta(v_n), \zeta(v)) (D^\alpha v_n - D^\alpha v) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we get further for any fixed  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_Q A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v_n &\cong \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \int_{Q_k} A_\alpha(x, t, \eta(v_n), \zeta(v)) (D^\alpha v_n - D^\alpha v) \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \int_{Q_k} A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \int_{Q_k} A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v_n \\ &+ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{Q \setminus Q_k} A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v_n. \end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as above in proving (8) we obtain

$$\liminf \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_Q A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v_n)) D^\alpha v_n \cong \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_Q A_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v)) D^\alpha v - \varepsilon_k,$$

where  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and so the proof is complete.

#### 4. Parabolic initial-boundary value problem

We shall employ Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 for obtaining an existence theorem for the parabolic equation (3) with initial-boundary conditions (4). Indeed, we can choose  $V = W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$  to obtain

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an arbitrary domain in  $R^N$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$  and let the functions  $A_\alpha$  satisfy the conditions  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$  and  $(A_3)$ . If  $a(u, u) \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{V}$  with  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \infty$ , then for any  $f \in L^p(Q) + L^2(Q)$  the equation (3) admits a weak solution  $u$  in  $D(L)$ , i.e. there exists  $u \in D(L)$  such that*

$$(10) \quad \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, w \right)_{\mathcal{W}} + a(u, w) = (f, w)_{\mathcal{W}} \quad \text{for all } w \in \mathcal{W}.$$

*Proof.* First we remark that by assumption the map  $S$  defined by (6) is coercive on  $\mathcal{V}$ , although not necessarily on  $\mathcal{W}$ . Therefore, to invoke Proposition 1 with  $X = \mathcal{W}$  we perform the substitution  $u = e^{kt}v$  with  $k$  a positive constant, as suggested in [6]. Then we obtain from (3) the equation

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \tilde{A}v + kv = \tilde{f} \quad \text{in } Q$$

with the initial-boundary conditions (4), where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}v(x, t) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \tilde{A}_\alpha(x, t, \zeta(v)), \\ \tilde{A}_\alpha(x, t, \zeta) &= e^{-kt} A_\alpha(x, t, e^{kt} \zeta) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f} = e^{-kt} f. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the functions  $\tilde{A}_\alpha$  also satisfy the conditions  $(A_1)$  to  $(A_3)$  with a new constant  $\tilde{c}_1$  and new functions  $\tilde{h}_\alpha \in L^p(Q)$ . Now we define a map

$$S_1 = \tilde{S} + S_0: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*$$

by

$$(\tilde{S}(v), w) = \tilde{a}(v, w) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{A}_\alpha(x, t, \xi(v)) D^\alpha w \, dx \, dt$$

and

$$(S_0(v), w) = k \int_{\Omega} vw \, dx \, dt.$$

On account of Theorem 1,  $\tilde{S}$  is  $D(L)$ -pseudo-monotone. It is easily seen that also the sum  $S_1 = \tilde{S} + S_0$  is  $D(L)$ -pseudo-monotone. Moreover,  $S_1$  is coercive on  $\mathcal{W}$ . Indeed, by the assumption of the theorem,  $a(v, v)\|v\|_{\mathcal{W}}^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$  and therefore

$$\frac{(S_1(v), v)}{\|v\|_{\mathcal{W}}} = \frac{\tilde{a}(v, v) + k\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{\|v\|_{\mathcal{W}} + \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \|v\|_{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

For applying Proposition 1 we must finally verify that the map  $L = \partial/\partial t: D(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*$  is maximal monotone and that  $D(L)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{W}$ . By Lemma 1.2 of [5] p. 313 it suffices to show that for any  $v \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $w \in \mathcal{W}^*$  such that

$$(11) \quad 0 \leq (w - Lv, v - u) \quad \text{for all } u \in D(L)$$

it follows that  $v \in D(L)$  and  $w = Lv$ . To show this let  $v \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $w \in \mathcal{W}^*$  be given, let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$  and  $\bar{u} \in V \cap L^2(\Omega)$  be arbitrary and let  $u = \varphi \bar{u}$ . Then  $u \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $u(0) = u(T) = 0$ ,  $u' = \varphi' \bar{u} \in L^2(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{W}^*$  and hence  $u \in D(L)$ . Since  $(Lu, u) = 0$ , we get from (11) by (5)

$$0 \leq (w, v) - (\varphi' \bar{u}, v) - (w, \varphi \bar{u}),$$

where

$$(\varphi' \bar{u}, v) = \left( \int_0^T \varphi'(t)v(t) \, dt, \bar{u} \right)_{V \cap L^2(\Omega)}$$

and

$$(w, \varphi \bar{u}) = \left( \int_0^T \varphi(t)w(t) \, dt, \bar{u} \right)_{V \cap L^2(\Omega)},$$

while

$$\int_0^T \varphi'(t)v(t) \, dt \in L^2(\Omega) \subset V^* + L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \varphi(t)w(t) \, dt \in V^* + L^2(\Omega).$$

Consequently, for all  $\bar{u} \in V \cap L^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$0 \leq (w, v) - \left( \int_0^T \varphi'(t)v(t) \, dt + \int_0^T \varphi(t)w(t) \, dt, \bar{u} \right)_{V \cap L^2(\Omega)}$$

implying that

$$\int_0^T \varphi'(t)v(t) \, dt = - \int_0^T \varphi(t)w(t) \, dt$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$ , i.e.  $w = v' = Lv$ . The fact that  $v(0) = 0$  implying  $v \in D(L)$  follows from (5) and (11) by standard argument (see e.g. [8] p. 176).

Now we are in a position to employ Proposition 1 to establish the existence of an element  $v$  in  $D(L)$  such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, w\right)_{\mathcal{W}} + \tilde{a}(v, w) + k(v, w)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (\tilde{f}, w)_{\mathcal{W}}$$

for all  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , for any given  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{W}^*$ . Reversing the substitution we get (cf. [6])

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, e^{-kt} w\right)_{\mathcal{W}} + a(u, e^{-kt} w) = (f, e^{-kt} w)_{\mathcal{W}}$$

for all  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , which implies that (10) holds. Since also  $u \in D(L)$ , the proof is complete.

**Remark.** It is clear from the proof of Theorem 1 that the Dirichlet null boundary condition in (4) can be replaced by any boundary condition associated to  $V$  with  $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \subset V \subset W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ . On the other hand, it can be shown that (3) admits also a periodic solution  $u(0) = u(T)$  if one chooses

$$D(L) = \{u \in \mathcal{W} : u' \in \mathcal{W}^*, u(0) = u(T)\}.$$

#### References

- [1] BROWDER, F. E.: Pseudo-monotone operators and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems on unbounded domains. - Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA. 74, 1977, 2659—2661.
- [2] HESS, P.: Problèmes aux limites non linéaires dans des domaines non-bornés. - C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A—B 281, 1975, A555—A557.
- [3] LANDES, R.: On Galerkin's method in the existence theory of quasilinear elliptic equations. - J. Funct. Anal. (to appear).
- [4] LANDES, R., and V. MUSTONEN: On pseudo-monotone operators and nonlinear noncoercive variational problems on unbounded domains. - Math. Ann. 248, 1980, 241—246.
- [5] LIONS, J. L.: Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires. - Dunod. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [6] MAHLER, G.: Nonlinear parabolic problems in unbounded domains. - Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 82, 1978/1979, 201—209.
- [7] STRAUSS, W. A.: The energy method in nonlinear partial differential equations. - Notas Mat. 47, 1969.
- [8] ZEIDLER, E.: Vorlesungen über nichtlineare Funktionalanalysis II. Monotone Operatoren. - Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1977.

Universität Bayreuth  
 Mathematisches Institut  
 Postfach 3008  
 D 8580 Bayreuth  
 BRD

Current address: University of Oulu  
 Department of Mathematics  
 SF-90570 Oulu 57  
 Finland

Received 6 March 1981