

QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS WITH FREE BOUNDARY COMPONENTS

RICHARD FEHLMANN

1. Introduction

Let Γ denote a closed subset of the boundary of $D = \{w \mid |w| < 1\}$ and T a compact subset of D , such that $D - T$ is a domain. We consider a quasiconformal mapping F , $z = F(w)$, which maps $D - T$ into D , such that δD is mapped onto itself. The class of all such mappings which agree with F on Γ is denoted by \mathcal{Q}_F . We do not indicate the dependence on T and Γ , since these sets are fixed throughout this paper (except in §6). If F has minimal maximal dilatation in the class \mathcal{Q}_F , we call F absolutely extremal. We use the notation “absolutely extremal” to indicate that the image domains of competing mappings $G \in \mathcal{Q}_F$ are not fixed. So F is absolutely extremal, if

$$K[F] = K_0 := \inf_{G \in \mathcal{Q}_F} K[G],$$

where $K[G]$ denotes the maximal dilatation of G .

By normality we conclude that \mathcal{Q}_F contains at least one absolutely extremal mapping. If there is only one such mapping, it is called uniquely absolutely extremal.

To derive necessary and sufficient conditions for a mapping to be absolutely extremal, we use the method of E. Reich [5] in connection with a similar problem. We consider the inverse mapping $f = F^{-1}$, $w = f(z)$, which is defined in $F(D - T)$, and its complex dilatation

$$\kappa(z) = \frac{f_{\bar{z}}(z)}{f_z(z)} = -\frac{F_{\bar{w}}(w)}{F_w(w)}.$$

The following Banach space of holomorphic functions plays a basic role in this problem too:

$$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_{F(\Gamma)} := \{\varphi \mid \varphi \text{ holomorphic in } D, \varphi dz^2 \text{ real on } \delta D - F(\Gamma),$$

$$\|\varphi\| = \iint_D |\varphi(z)| dx dy < \infty\}.$$

First we derive a general necessary condition which leads to the possibility of the existence of a so-called “substantial” boundary point [4]. After this we derive a second necessary condition in the case when there is no such boundary point: Then

there is a quadratic differential $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ such that f is a Teichmüller mapping with complex dilatation $\varkappa = k_0 \bar{\varphi} / |\varphi|$ ($k_0 = (K_0 - 1) / (K_0 + 1)$) and $F(D - T)$ is a domain which is the unit disk slit along some subarcs of vertical trajectories and connected subsets of the vertical critical graph of φ (this is defined to be the union of all vertical critical trajectories and zeroes of φ). These subarcs then correspond to the set T . We restrict ourself to the case where at most denumerably many components of $D - F(D - T)$ are points. With this slight restriction the necessary conditions turn out to be sufficient for F to be absolutely extremal. Moreover F then is uniquely absolutely extremal.

2. The general necessary condition for absolute extremality

If F is as above, then the complex dilatation \varkappa of $f = F^{-1}$ is a measurable function in $F(D - T)$. We extend \varkappa by setting

$$\varkappa(z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \in D - F(D - T)$$

to get a measurable function in D . We prove the

Theorem 1. *If F is absolutely extremal in Q_F , then*

$$(1) \quad \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in \mathcal{B} \\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \left| \iint_D \varkappa \varphi dx dy \right| = \|\varkappa\|_\infty.$$

Proof. We apply a technique employed by Krushkal [3] and elaborated by Reich [5]:

Let $k_0 = \|\varkappa\|_\infty$. If $k_0 = 0$ nothing has to be shown. We assume $k_0 > 0$. If (1) does not hold, then

$$\sup_{\substack{\varphi \in \mathcal{B} \\ \|\varphi\|=1}} \left| \iint_D \varkappa \varphi dx dy \right| = a < k_0.$$

By the Hahn—Banach and Riesz representation theorems there exist a complex valued measurable function $\alpha(z)$ with

$$\iint_D \varkappa \varphi dx dy = \iint_D \alpha \varphi dx dy, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{B},$$

and $\|\alpha\|_\infty = a$.

We form $v(z) = \varkappa(z) - \alpha(z)$, $z \in D$. For $0 < t < 1 / \|v\|_\infty$, let g denote the quasi-conformal selfmapping of D with complex dilatation tv and with $g(1) = 1$, $g(i) = i$, $g(-1) = -1$. Then we put $h = f \circ g^{-1}$ and have

$$(2) \quad \frac{h_\zeta}{h_\zeta} = \mu_h(\zeta) = \frac{\varkappa(z) - tv(z)}{1 - t\bar{v}(z)\varkappa(z)} \frac{g_z(z)}{g_z(z)}, \quad \zeta = g(z).$$

By the Fundamental variational lemma ([5], p. 107) there exists a $(1+c)/(1-c)$ quasiconformal mapping g^* of D onto itself whose boundary values agree with those

of g at $F(\Gamma)$ such that

$$c \cong \frac{t^2 \|v\|_\infty^2}{1 - t \|v\|_\infty + t^2 \|v\|_\infty^2}.$$

We put $\tilde{f} = h \circ g^* = f \circ g^{-1} \circ g^*$. Then \tilde{f} is defined in $g^{*-1} \circ g \circ F(D-T)$ and maps this domain onto $D-T$ with boundary values of f on $F(\Gamma)$. Therefore \tilde{f}^{-1} belongs to Q_F . We want to show that

$$K[\tilde{f}] < K[F] \quad \text{for } t > 0, \text{ sufficiently small.}$$

This would contradict the absolute extremality of F .

Let

$$V_1 = \left\{ z \in F(D-T) \mid |\kappa(z)| \cong \frac{k_0 + a}{2} \right\},$$

$$V_2 = \left\{ z \in F(D-T) \mid \frac{k_0 + a}{2} < |\kappa(z)| \cong k_0 \right\}.$$

Since $k_0 > 0$, it is immediately clear from (2) that there exist $\delta_1 > 0, t_1 > 0$, such that

$$|\mu_h(\zeta)| \cong k_0 - \delta_1 t, \quad \text{if } 0 \cong t \cong t_1, \quad \zeta \in g(V_1).$$

Expanding (2) we obtain for $\zeta \in g(V_2)$ and $\zeta = g(z)$,

$$|\mu_h(\zeta)| = |\kappa(z)| - t \frac{1 - |\kappa(z)|^2}{|\kappa(z)|} \operatorname{Re}(v(z)\bar{\alpha}(z)) + O(t)^2.$$

Here $O(t^2)$ is uniform with respect to z in V_2 . We have $v\bar{\alpha} = |\kappa|^2 - \alpha\bar{\alpha}$ and therefore $\operatorname{Re} v\bar{\alpha} \cong |\kappa|^2 - |\alpha| |\kappa| \cong |\kappa| (|\kappa| - a) \cong |\kappa| (k_0 - a)/2$, hence

$$\frac{1 - |\kappa|^2}{|\kappa|} \operatorname{Re} v\bar{\alpha} \cong (1 - |\kappa|^2) \frac{k_0 - a}{2} \cong (1 - k_0^2) \frac{k_0 - a}{2} > 0.$$

Therefore there exist $\delta_2 > 0, t_2 > 0$ such that

$$|\mu_h(\zeta)| \cong k_0 - \delta_2 t, \quad 0 \cong t \cong t_2, \quad \zeta \in g(V_2).$$

We consider the effect of g^* , using $c = O(t^2)$, and obtain

$$K[\tilde{f}] < K[f] = K_0$$

for $t > 0$, sufficiently small.

3. The existence of a substantial boundary point

Let $\zeta \in \Gamma$. Then the local dilatation H_ζ^F of $F|_{\partial D}$ in ζ with respect to Γ is defined by

$$H_\zeta^F = \inf \{K[G] | G: U(\zeta) \xrightarrow{qc} U(F(\zeta)), G|_{\Gamma \cap U(\zeta)} = F|_{\Gamma \cap U(\zeta)}\},$$

where the inf is taken over all such mappings G and all open neighbourhoods $U(\zeta)$ of ζ and $U(F(\zeta))$ of $F(\zeta)$ in \bar{D} . For this definition and notation we refer to [4, 8, 1]. If $K_0 = H_\zeta^F$, then ζ is called a substantial boundary point. Since the function $\zeta \rightarrow H_\zeta^F$ is upper semicontinuous, there always exists a point $\zeta_0 \in \Gamma$ with $H_{\zeta_0}^F = \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \Gamma} H_\zeta^F$.

We assume now that F fulfills the general necessary condition (1). It is possible that there is a sequence $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{B}$ $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$ such that φ_n tends to zero locally uniformly in D and

$$\left| \iint_D \kappa \varphi_n dx dy \right| \rightarrow \|\kappa\|_\infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We recall that $\kappa = f_{\bar{z}}/f_z$ in $F(D-T)$ and $\kappa = 0$ in $D-F(D-T)$. Let f^* be the quasiconformal selfmapping of D with complex dilatation κ in D and $f^*(1) = 1$, $f^*(i) = i$, $f^*(-1) = -1$. By [1] we conclude that f^* has a substantial boundary point on $F(\Gamma)$ and is hence extremal for its boundary values on $F(\Gamma)$. Since f and f^* have the same complex dilatation in $F(D-T)$, there is a conformal mapping h in $D-T$ such that $h \circ f = f^*$ in $F(D-T)$. But because $K[f] = K[f^*]$ and because local dilatations are conformally invariant, this point is a substantial boundary point for f too, and we conclude that F has a substantial boundary point on Γ , i.e. $K[F] = \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \Gamma} H_\zeta^F$. We remark, that this forces F to be absolutely extremal, since $K_0 \cong \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \Gamma} H_\zeta^F$ clearly holds, hence $K_0 = K[F]$. Therefore the general necessary condition (1) together with the existence of a degenerating sequence φ_n as above is a sufficient condition for F to be absolutely extremal.

4. A second necessary condition in the case without substantial boundary point

We consider the case where $K_0 > \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \Gamma} H_\zeta^F$ and F is absolutely extremal, hence the condition (1) is fulfilled. Since there is no substantial boundary point, every sequence $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{B}$, $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$ with

$$\iint_D \kappa \varphi_n dx dy \rightarrow \|\kappa\|_\infty = k_0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

contains a subsequence which tends to a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$, $0 < \|\varphi\| \leq 1$. It is known that then

$$\iint_D \kappa \varphi dx dy = k_0 \|\varphi\|.$$

Therefore we conclude that $\varkappa = k_0 \bar{\varphi} / |\varphi|$ a.e. in D . Since $k_0 > 0$ we conclude that the measure of $D - F(D - T)$ is zero (because $\varkappa = 0$ there) and that F is a Teichmüller mapping.

But as can be seen by an example these conditions are not sufficient. We derive a second necessary condition: We claim that every component A of $D \setminus F(D - T)$ is a subarc of a vertical trajectory of φ or a connected subset of the vertical critical graph of φ . To prove this we may assume that A contains at least two points. We consider again the quasiconformal mapping $f^*: D \rightarrow D$ with complex dilatation $\varkappa^* = k_0 \bar{\varphi} / |\varphi|$ in D and $f^*(1) = 1, f^*(i) = i, f^*(-1) = -1$. The mapping $f^* \circ F$ is conformal in $D - T$. Let us consider the class of mappings from $D - A$ onto $D - f^*(A)$ with the boundary values of f^* on $F(\Gamma)$. The mapping f^* must be extremal in this class, otherwise we could replace $F^* := f^{*-1}$ by a quasiconformal mapping $G^*: D - f^*(A) \rightarrow D - A$ with $K[G^*] < K_0$ and boundary values as f^{*-1} . The mapping $G^* \circ f^* \circ F$ would contradict the absolute extremality of F .

Therefore f^* is extremal in this class of mappings between these two ring domains. We have already seen that the lack of a substantial boundary point on Γ for F implies a lack of a substantial boundary point on $F(\Gamma)$ for f^* . We conclude by [1, 2] that φ is real along A , i.e. by transformation of the ring domains $D - A$ and $D - f^*(A)$ onto annuli, the induced quadratic differential must be real along the interior boundary component. But $\Phi = \int \sqrt{\varphi} dz$ is conformal in neighbourhoods of points $z_0 \in A$ where $\varphi(z_0) \neq 0$, therefore A must consist of horizontal and vertical arcs of φ including zeroes. We show that horizontal arcs do not occur by using the following lemma.

Lemma. Let R be the square $\{x + iy \mid -1/2 < x < 1/2, 0 < y < 1\}$, s its horizontal side $\{y = 0\}$ and A_K denote the affine stretch $A_K(x + iy) = Kx + iy, K > 1$. Then there is a quasiconformal mapping F_0 defined in $A_K(R)$, such that $F_0(A_K(R)) \subset R$, F_0 agrees with A_K^{-1} on $\delta A_K(R) - A_K(s)$, and the maximal dilatation of F_0 is less than K .

Proof. We consider the right half $R^+ = R \cap \{x + iy \mid x > 0\}$ of the square R . We choose $\Gamma = \delta A_K(R^+) \cap \{x + iy \mid x = K/2 \text{ or } y = 1\}$. Let F_0 be an extremal mapping from $A_K(R^+)$ onto R^+ which agrees with A_K^{-1} on Γ . If F_0 has a substantial boundary point with respect to Γ , then its maximal dilatation is less than K . Otherwise, if there is no substantial boundary point, then F_0 is uniquely determined and it is a Teichmüller mapping with associated quadratic differential of finite norm, which is real along $\delta A_K(R^+) - \Gamma$. Therefore $A_K^{-1} \neq F_0$ since the quadratic differential -1 of A_K^{-1} has a pole of first order at the corner $(0, 0)$. We conclude that the maximal dilatation of F_0 is less than K .

Next we consider $F_0(0)$. This point must be on the interior of the vertical side $\{iy \mid 0 < y < 1\}$ of R^+ , since otherwise the ratio of the moduli of the rectangles $A_K(R^+)(0, K/2, K/2 + i, i)$ and $R^+(F_0(0), 1/2, 1/2 + i, i)$ would be larger or equal to K . By reflection we extend F_0 to a mapping from $A_K(R)$ onto the slit rectangle $R - \{iy \mid 0 < y < \text{Im } F_0(0)\}$, and this lemma is proved.

We assume now that A contains a horizontal arc of φ . Since f^* is locally equal to a conformal mapping Φ followed by an affine stretch A_{K_0} and again by a conformal mapping Ψ^{-1} , we can choose a φ -rectangle R^* , which is mapped by $\Phi = \int \sqrt{\varphi} dz$ onto a square $R = \{x+iy \mid -1/2 < x < 1/2, 0 < y < 1\}$ such that a horizontal arc of φ on A is mapped onto $-1/2 < x < 1/2$. We apply the Lemma on R and A_{K_0} . Therefore we can replace $F^* = f^{*-1}$ in $f^*(R^*)$ by $\Phi^{-1} \circ F_0 \circ \Psi$, i.e. we define

$$\overline{F^*} = \begin{cases} F^* & \text{in } f^*(D - A - R^*), \\ \Phi^{-1} \circ F_0 \circ \Psi & \text{in } f^*(R^*). \end{cases}$$

Because F^* and $\Phi^{-1} \circ F_0 \circ \Psi$ agree on those three sides of $f^*(R^*)$ which are contained in $f^*(D - A)$, $\overline{F^*}$ is well-defined in $f^*(D - A)$, K_0 -quasiconformal and not a Teichmüller mapping since its dilatation is not constant. In the class \mathcal{Q}_F the mapping $\overline{F^*} \circ f^* \circ F$ is absolutely extremal but not a Teichmüller mapping.¹⁾ This contradicts the first conclusion of §4, that an absolutely extremal mapping without substantial boundary point must be a Teichmüller mapping. We have proved the

Theorem 2. *If $K_0 > \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \Gamma} H_\zeta^T$, then an absolutely extremal mapping F is a Teichmüller mapping and the holomorphic quadratic differential φ of the inverse mapping $f = F^{-1}$ is in $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{F(\Gamma)}$. The set $D - F(D - T)$ has area-measure zero and each component of it is a subarc of a vertical trajectory of φ or a connected subset of the vertical critical graph of φ .*

5. Sufficient conditions

We have already seen that condition (1) together with the existence of a degenerating sequence φ_n as described in §3 is a sufficient condition for F to be absolutely extremal. Now we want to show that with a slight restriction the necessary conditions of Theorem 2 are sufficient for absolute extremality.

Theorem 3. *With F given as above, let $f = F^{-1}$ be a Teichmüller mapping with associated quadratic differential $\varphi \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{F(\Gamma)}$ and let the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- a) $D - F(D - T)$ has area-measure zero,
- b) the components of $D - F(D - T)$ are subarcs of vertical trajectories of φ or connected subsets of the vertical critical graph of φ ,
- c) at most denumerably many components of $D - F(D - T)$ are points. Then F is uniquely absolutely extremal.

¹⁾ In the set of positive measure which is mapped by F onto $F(D - T) \cap R^*$, the maximal dilatation is less than K_0 .

This theorem is a consequence of the Main Inequality of Reich and Strebel [7], stated in the following form:

Main Inequality. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_\Gamma$ and L be a compact set in D , such that $D-L$ is a domain and each component Λ of L is a subarc of a vertical trajectory of φ or a connected subset of the vertical critical graph of φ . Furthermore, suppose that the set of all vertical trajectories of φ in $D-L$ which meet L has area-measure zero. Then a quasiconformal mapping g with complex dilatation \varkappa which maps $D-L$ into D , δD onto itself and keeps the points of Γ pointwise fixed fulfills the inequality

$$(3) \quad \|\varphi\| \cong \iint_D |\varphi| \frac{\left|1 - \varkappa \frac{\varphi}{|\varphi|}\right|^2}{1 - |\varkappa|^2} dx dy.$$

Proof. We consider non-critical vertical trajectories β of φ . If β is contained in $D-L$, we have the length-inequality (see [9])

$$(4) \quad \int_\beta |\varphi(z)|^{1/2} |dz| \cong \int_{g(\beta)} |\varphi(z)|^{1/2} |dz|.$$

As in the proof of the Main Inequality in [7], we consider each vertical strip S of φ in D . By our assumption all vertical trajectories β of φ up to a set of area-measure zero fulfill the length-inequality (4). Therefore the length-area method applied to each strip and then summed up yields

$$\left(\iint_{D-L} |\varphi(z)| dx dy\right)^2 \cong \iint_{g(D-L)} |\varphi(z)| dx dy \cdot \iint_{D-L} |\varphi(z)| \frac{\left|1 - \varkappa(z) \frac{\varphi(z)}{|\varphi(z)|}\right|^2}{1 - |\varkappa(z)|^2} dx dy.$$

Using $g(D-L) \subset D$ and the fact that L necessarily has area-measure zero gives (3). (The intersection of L with each strip must have φ -area zero!).

Proof of Theorem 3

Let G be a mapping in QF . We apply inequality (3) for $g = G \circ F^{-1} = G \circ f$, where Γ is replaced by $F(\Gamma)$. The mapping $G \circ f$ is defined in $F(D-T)$ and keeps $F(\Gamma)$ pointwise fixed, and $L = D - F(D-T)$. By assumption c), there are at most denumerably many vertical trajectories β which meet components of L which are points, so these trajectories cover only a set of area-measure zero. L has area-measure zero by assumption a), so the vertical trajectories β which meet components of L which are vertical subarcs of positive length can only cover a horizontal length-measure zero in each strip. Therefore these trajectories cover only a set of area-meas-

ure zero too, and we may apply the Main Inequality:

$$(5) \quad \|\varphi\| \equiv \iint_D |\varphi| \frac{\left|1 - \kappa \frac{\varphi}{|\varphi|}\right|^2}{1 - |\kappa|^2} E[\varphi, F, G] dx dy.$$

$$\text{Here } E[\varphi, F, G](z) = \frac{\left|1 + \kappa(z) \frac{\kappa_1(w)}{\hat{\kappa}(w)} \frac{\varphi(z)}{|\varphi(z)|} \left[\frac{|1 - \bar{\kappa}(z)\bar{\varphi}(z)| |\varphi(z)|}{|1 - \kappa(z)\varphi(z)| |\varphi(z)|} \right]\right|^2}{1 - |\kappa_1(w)|^2},$$

and $w=f(z)$, $\kappa_1=G_{\bar{w}}/G_w$, $\hat{\kappa}=F_{\bar{w}}/F_w$, $\kappa=f_{\bar{z}}/f_z$. Then we use $\kappa=k\bar{\varphi}/|\varphi|$ and $E \equiv K[G]$ and get

$$K[F] \equiv K[G],$$

i.e., F is absolutely extremal.

By the procedure of [6] one concludes from (5) that if $K[G]=K[F]$, then

$$\kappa_1 = \hat{\kappa} \quad \text{a.e. in } D-T,$$

and therefore $G \circ f$ is conformal.

Because $G \circ f$ keeps $F(T)$ pointwise fixed, we conclude at once: If Γ does not only consist of single points, then $G \circ f$ is the identity. So then F is uniquely absolutely extremal. We can see this also in the general case where Γ contains at least three points.²⁾ We assume F and G to be absolutely extremal mappings and necessarily $f=F^{-1}$ and $g=G^{-1}$ to be Teichmüller mappings with quadratic differentials φ and ψ in \mathcal{B} . So the conformal mapping $G \circ f$ consists of two Teichmüller mappings, and there is a quadratic differential φ_0 of finite norm in $D-T$, such that

$$\kappa_1 = \hat{\kappa} = k_0 \frac{\varphi_0}{|\varphi_0|}.$$

We consider a component A of $D-F(D-T)$, which necessarily is a subarc of a vertical trajectory or a connected subset of the vertical graph of φ . We map $D \setminus f(A)$ conformally onto an annulus (without loss of generality a punctured disk can be excluded), and in the conformal image of $D-T$ we get an induced quadratic differential $\tilde{\varphi}_0$. Along one boundary circle of this annulus $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ is real and the zeroes of φ and the φ -length of the subarcs of A determine the zeroes of $\tilde{\varphi}_0$ on this circle. But the same can be done with the corresponding component of $D-G(D-T)$ and the quadratic differentials ψ and φ_0 . Therefore, corresponding slits of $D \setminus F(D-T)$ and $D-G(D-T)$ have the same length in the metric of the quadratic differentials and the same configuration. Hence the conformal mapping $G \circ f$ can be extended homeomorphically in all of D . Therefore it must be the identity.

²⁾ We exclude the conformal case where non-uniqueness may occur.

6. An application: $H = \text{Max } H_\zeta$

We consider the special case, where $\Gamma = \delta D, T = \{w \mid |w| \leq r\}$ for some $r, 0 \leq r < 1$, and the boundary homeomorphism of δD onto itself is called h . The local dilatations of h are denoted by H_ζ . If $\text{Max}_{\zeta \in \partial D} H_\zeta$ is finite, it is known that h is quasisymmetric, i.e. quasiconformally extendable in D [1]. Then the dilatation H of h is defined in [8] by

$$H = \inf \{K[G] \mid G: U(\partial D) \xrightarrow{qc} U'(\partial D), G|_{\partial D} = h\}$$

where the inf is taken over all such mappings G and all open neighborhoods $U(\delta D)$ and $U'(\delta D)$ of δD in \bar{D} . The class of all extensions of h in $D - T$ which map $D - T$ into D is denoted by Q_r , in view of the dependence on r . For the same reason we call the absolutely extremal mapping in this class F_r and its maximal dilatation K_r . Evidently we then have $H = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} K_r$.

The function $r \rightarrow K_r$ is strictly monotonic decreasing as long as $K_r > \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \partial D} H_\zeta$. This can be seen by the preceding result: For each number $r, 0 < r < 1$, where $K_r > \text{Max } H_\zeta$, F_r is a uniquely determined Teichmüller mapping, and the associated quadratic differential φ_r of its inverse mapping $f_r = F_r^{-1}$ is defined in all of D . Moreover $D \setminus F_r(D_r)$ ($D_r = \{w \mid r < |w| < 1\}$) consists of a subarc of a vertical trajectory of φ_r , or of a connected subset of the vertical critical graph of φ_r .

We remark that the Main Inequality (5) holds for $F = F_r, \varphi = \varphi_r$ and G , if G is an extension of h and if it is defined in a domain which contains D_r . We prove the

Theorem 4. *The dilatation H of a quasisymmetric mapping $h: \delta D \rightarrow \delta D$ is equal to $\text{Max}_{\zeta \in \partial D} H_\zeta$.*

Proof. We may assume $K_r > \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \partial D} H_\zeta, 0 < r < 1$. Let φ_r and ψ_r denote the quadratic differentials associated with the Teichmüller mapping $f_r: F_r(D_r) \rightarrow D_r$, which are normalised by

$$\|\varphi_r\| = \iint_D |\varphi_r(z)| dx dy = 1, \quad \|\psi_r\|_{D_r} = \iint_{D_r} |\psi_r(w)| du dv = K_r \quad (w = u + iv).$$

Then we have locally

$$f_r = \Psi_r^{-1} \circ A_{K_r} \circ \Phi_r,$$

where $\Phi_r(z) = \int \sqrt{\varphi_r(z)} dz, \Psi_r(w) = \int \sqrt{\psi_r(w)} dw$ and $A_{K_r}(\zeta + i\eta) = K_r \zeta + i\eta$.

For every measurable subset $E \subset F_r(D_r)$ we have

$$(6) \quad K_r \iint_E |\varphi_r(z)| dx dy = \iint_{f_r(E)} |\psi_r(w)| du dv.$$

We extend the functions ψ_r in T by putting

$$\psi_r(w) = 0 \quad w \in D - D_r.$$

Then ψ_r are measurable functions in D with finite L_1 -norm $\|\psi_r\| = \iint_D |\psi_r(w)| du dv$, i.e. $\psi_r \in L_1(D)$. If $r \rightarrow 1$, then ψ_r tend to zero locally uniformly in D and their

L_1 -norms $\|\psi_r\| = K_r$ are bounded ($K_r \rightarrow H!$), hence the assumptions of Lemma 4.1 of [1] are fulfilled.

Let I denote an open interval on δD with endpoints w_1, w_2 and $\arg w_1 < \arg w < \arg w_2$ for $w \in I$. We then write $I = \overline{w_1 w_2}$, and define

$$S_I := \{w \in D \mid \arg w_1 < \arg w < \arg w_2\}.$$

For a given sequence $r_n \rightarrow 1$ we put

$$\theta(I) := \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{S_I} |\psi_{r_n}(w)| \, dudv$$

and finally

$$\theta(\zeta) := \inf \{ \theta(I) \mid \zeta \in I, \quad I \text{ an open interval on } \partial D \}$$

for every $\zeta \in \delta D$.

By Lemma 4.1 of [1] we can choose for given numbers $\varepsilon > 0, l > 0$ a subdivision $\{w_1, \dots, w_N\}$ of δD and a sequence $r_n \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \theta(w_i) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |\overline{w_{i-1} w_i}| < l.$$

(Here $|\overline{w_{i-1} w_i}|$ denotes the arc length of $\overline{w_{i-1} w_i}$.)

We apply the method for the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [1]. Let $H' > \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \partial D} H_\zeta$. There is an $l > 0$ such that the restriction of h to an arbitrary interval on δD with length less than l can be extended H' -quasiconformally in a neighbourhood in \overline{D} of this interval. We apply Lemma 4.1 of [1] on ε and l and Corollary 4.1 of [1] on ε, l and the sequence ψ_{r_n} . Therefore we can cut off some neighborhoods $G_i (i \leq N)$ of subintervals in $\overline{w_{i-1} w_i}$ by Jordan arcs γ_i , such that h can be extended H' -quasiconformally in the G_i , and for $D_\varepsilon = D - \bigcup_{i=1}^N G_i$ we have

$$(7) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{D_\varepsilon} |\psi_{r_n}(w)| \, dudv \leq \varepsilon.$$

The construction in [1] yields a quasiconformal extension h_ε of h in $\{|w| < 1\}$ which is H' -quasiconformal in $\bigcup_i G_i$ and \hat{H} -quasiconformal in a neighborhood $U(\delta D)$ of δD , where \hat{H} does not depend on ε (only on $\text{Max}_{\zeta \in \partial D} H_\zeta!$).

We apply the Main Inequality (5) for $F = F_r, \varphi = \varphi_r$ and $G = h_\varepsilon$. Then

$$\frac{\left| 1 - \kappa \frac{\varphi}{|\varphi|} \right|^2}{1 - |\kappa|^2} = \frac{1}{K_r},$$

and $E[\varphi_r, F_r, h_\varepsilon](z) \leq D_{h_\varepsilon}(f_r(z))$, where D_{h_ε} denotes the dilatation of h_ε . If r is close to one, the image of f_r is contained in $U(\delta D)$. Hence D_{h_ε} is bounded by \hat{H} , and in $G'_i := f_r^{-1}(G_i)$ we have $D_{h_\varepsilon} \leq H'$. Therefore, (5) yields

$$\bar{K}_r \leq H' \iint_{\bigcup_{i=1}^N G'_i} |\varphi_r(z)| \, dx dy + \hat{H} \iint_{D - \bigcup_{i=1}^N G'_i} |\varphi_r(z)| \, dx dy.$$

Because $f_r(F_r(D_r) - \bigcup_{i=1}^N G'_i) = D_r - \bigcup_{i=1}^N G_i$, we have by (6) and the fact that $D - F_r(D_r)$ has measure zero,

$$K_r \int_{D - \bigcup_{i=1}^N G'_i} |\varphi_r(z)| dx dy = \int_{D_r - \bigcup_{i=1}^N G_i} |\psi_r(w)| dudv.$$

Since $D_r - \bigcup_{i=1}^N G_i \subset D_\varepsilon$ and $\int \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^N G'_i} |\varphi_r(z)| dx dy \cong \|\varphi_r\| = 1$, it follows that

$$K_r \cong H' + \frac{\hat{H}}{K_r} \int_{D_\varepsilon} |\psi_r(w)| dudv.$$

Putting $r=r_n$ and letting r_n tend to one we get because of (7)

$$H \cong H' + \frac{\hat{H}}{H} \varepsilon.$$

Since \hat{H} does not depend on ε , we conclude that $H \cong H'$. H' was arbitrarily close to $\text{Max}_{\zeta \in \partial D} H_\zeta$, and so

$$H \cong \text{Max}_{\zeta \in \partial D} H_\zeta,$$

which finishes the proof.

I wish to express my gratitude to Edgar Reich and Kurt Strebel for offering the opportunities for many inspiring discussions.

References

[1] FEHLMANN, R.: Ueber extremale quasikonforme Abbildungen. - Comment. Math. Helv. 56, 1981, 558—580.
 [2] FEHLMANN, R.: On absolutely extremal quasiconformal mappings. - University of Minnesota, Mathematics Report 81—146.
 [3] KRUSHKAL, S. L.: Extremal quasiconformal mappings. - Siberian Math. J. 10, 1969, 411—418.
 [4] REICH, E.: On the relation between local and global properties of boundary values for extremal quasiconformal mappings. - Discontinuous groups and Riemann surfaces, Annals of Mathematics Studies 79, Princeton, 1974, 391—407.
 [5] REICH, E.: Quasiconformal mappings with prescribed boundary values and a dilatation bound. - Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 68, 1978, 99—112.
 [6] REICH, E.: On criteria for unique extremality of Teichmüller mappings. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 6, 1981, 289—302.
 [7] REICH, E., and K. STREBEL: Extremal quasiconformal mappings with given boundary values. - Contributions to Analysis, A Collection of Papers Dedicated to Lipman Bers, Academic Press, New York, 1974, 373—391.
 [8] STREBEL, K.: On the existence of extremal Teichmüller mappings. - J. Analyse Math. 30, 1976, 464—480.
 [9] STREBEL, K.: Quadratic differentials. - Ergebnisse der Mathematik (to appear).

University of Minnesota
 School of Mathematics
 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455
 USA

Received 26 May 1982