

## SIMPLIFIED PROOFS OF SOME BASIC THEOREMS FOR QUASIREGULAR MAPPINGS

MARTTI I. PESONEN

### 1. Introduction

In what follows  $f$  will always denote a non-constant  $n$ -dimensional quasi-regular mapping of a domain  $G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  into  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We recall that the branch set  $B_f$  is the set of those points in  $G$  at which  $f$  is not locally homeomorphic, and that  $N(y, f, A)$  is the number of all points in the set  $f^{-1}(y) \cap A$ . Our notation and terminology is adopted from [1].

The purpose of this paper is to present new simplified proofs for the following well-known theorems in the theory of quasiregular mappings.

1.1. Theorem. *The condition (N) is satisfied, i.e., if  $A \subset G$  and  $m(A) = 0$ , then  $m(fA) = 0$ . Moreover  $m(fB_f) = 0$ .*

1.2. Theorem. *The transformation formula*

$$\int_E (h \circ f) J_f dm = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} h(y) N(y, f, E) dm(y)$$

*holds whenever  $h: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and  $E \subset G$  are measurable.*

1.3. Theorem. *For a.e.  $x \in G$ ,  $J_f(x) \neq 0$ . Consequently  $m(B_f) = 0$ .*

Rešetnjak's original proof for the condition (N) does not make use of the fact that  $f$  is discrete and open. In the present proof these properties of  $f$  play an essential role. It should be noted that 1.1 is not needed in proving the discreteness and openness of  $f$  (see [4]).

Theorem 1.2 is a direct consequence of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Earlier the transformation formula was obtained by the use of a general theorem [3, p. 364] the proof of which requires a heavy machinery of algebraic topology.

The original proof [1, 8.2] of Theorem 1.3 is based on the  $K_1$ -capacity inequality. Our proof instead is, based on the use of the  $K_0$ -path family inequality and Poleckii's lemma.

## 2. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Because  $f$  is continuous and a.e. differentiable, it is a basic fact of real analysis that if  $f$  is injective, then

$$(2.1) \quad m(fE) \cong \int_E J_f dm$$

for every Borel set  $E$  in  $G$ . In fact, the equality holds in (2.1). This is a consequence of the following result, which is obtained by a  $C^1$ -approximation.

2.2. Proposition. For every Borel set  $E$  in  $G$

$$m(fE) \cong \int_E J_f dm.$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $n$ -intervals in  $G$  can be approximated by  $n$ -intervals whose boundaries  $f$  maps into null-sets. To do this, fix a closed  $n$ -interval  $Q$  in  $G$  and let  $\varepsilon$  be positive. Let  $Q'$  be a closed  $n$ -interval in  $G$  so that  $Q \subset \text{int } Q'$  and  $m(Q' \setminus Q) < \varepsilon$ . If  $m(f\partial Q_0) > 0$  for every  $n$ -interval  $Q_0, Q \subset Q_0 \subset Q'$ , then there is a positive number  $p$  and a sequence of  $n$ -intervals  $Q_i, Q \subset Q_i \subset Q'$ , with disjoint boundaries such that  $m(f\partial Q_i) \cong p$  for every  $i$ . But this is impossible, since

$$\sum_i m(f\partial Q_i) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_i \chi_{f\partial Q_i} dm \cong N(f, Q') m(fQ') < \infty,$$

where

$$N(f, Q') = \sup \{N(y, f, Q') \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Hence,  $m(f\partial Q_0) = 0$  for some  $n$ -interval  $Q_0 \supset Q$  with  $m(Q_0 \setminus Q) < \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\varepsilon_1$  be positive. It follows from the definition of the Lebesgue measure and from the approximation result mentioned above, that since  $J_f$  is locally integrable ( $f$  is  $ACL^n$ ), there exists a sequence of closed  $n$ -intervals  $Q_i \subset G$  with  $m(f\partial Q_i) = 0$ , such that  $E \subset \cup_i Q_i$  and

$$\sum_i \int_{Q_i} J_f dm \cong \int_E J_f dm + \varepsilon_1.$$

On the other hand,  $m(fE) \cong \sum_i m(fQ_i)$ , so that it remains to show that the proposition holds for any closed  $n$ -interval  $Q$  in  $G$  satisfying  $m(f\partial Q) = 0$ . By [5; 27.7] there are  $C^1$ -mappings  $f_1, f_2, \dots$ , which converge  $c$ -uniformly to  $f$  and whose Jacobians  $J_{f_i}$  converge to  $J_f$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Set  $\chi = \chi_{fQ}$  and  $\chi_j = \chi_{f_j Q}$ . In order to show that  $\chi_j \rightarrow \chi$  a.e., we first pick a point  $y$  in  $fQ \setminus f\partial Q$  and note that the local topological degree  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mu(y, f_j, \text{int } Q) = \mu(y, f, \text{int } Q) > 0$  for  $j \geq j_0$ , since the convergence is  $c$ -uniform and  $f$  is sense-preserving. Hence  $y \in f_j Q$  if  $j \geq j_0$ , and  $\chi_j(y) \rightarrow \chi(y)$ . Outside  $fQ$  the convergence  $\chi_j \rightarrow \chi$  is obvious, so that  $\chi_j \rightarrow \chi$

a.e. in  $R^n$ . To complete the proof we apply Fatou's lemma, and get

$$m(fQ) = \int_{R^n} \chi \, dm \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^n} \chi_j \, dm = \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} m(f_j Q) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q |J_{f_j}| \, dm = \int_Q J_f \, dm,$$

where the latter inequality comes from elementary calculus.

Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of 2.2; for the last statement, recall that  $J_f=0$  a.e. in  $B_f$ . Since  $f$  satisfies the condition (N), it is obvious that (2.1) and 2.2 hold in fact for any measurable set  $E$  in  $G$ .

To prove the transformation formula, we first consider the case that  $h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \chi_{B_j} (\geq 0)$  is a simple Borel function. Since  $m(fB_f)=0$  and  $J_f=0$  a.e. in  $B_f$ , we may assume that  $E$  does not meet  $B_f$ . Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  be a measurable partition of the set  $E$ , such that each  $E_k$  is contained in a domain on which  $f$  is injective. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E (h \circ f) J_f \, dm &= \sum_{j,k} a_j \int_{E_k \cap f^{-1} B_j} J_f \, dm = \sum_{j,k} a_j m(f E_k \cap B_j) \\ &= \int_{R^n} \sum_j a_j \chi_{B_j} \sum_k \chi_{f E_k} \, dm = \int_{R^n} h N(\cdot, f, E) \, dm. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if  $h \geq 0$  is measurable, then there is an increasing sequence  $(h_i)$  of simple Borel functions, which converge to  $h$  a.e.. It follows from (2.1) that also  $h_i \circ f \rightarrow h \circ f$  a.e. outside the set  $\{x: J_f(x)=0\}$ , and hence Theorem 1.2 follows by the monotonic convergence theorem.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

From 1.2 it follows easily (see [1; 3.2]) that

$$(3.1) \quad M(\Gamma) \leq K_0(f) N(f, A) M(f\Gamma)$$

if  $\Gamma$  is a path family in a Borel set  $A \subset G$ , and  $N(f, A) < \infty$ . This path family inequality and Poleckii's lemma 3.2 will be needed in the proof of 1.3.

3.2. Lemma [2]. *If  $\Gamma_0$  is the family of all closed paths in  $G$  on which  $f$  is not absolutely precontinuous, then  $M(f\Gamma_0)=0$ .*

Recall that  $f$  is called absolutely precontinuous on  $\gamma$  if  $f \circ \gamma$  is rectifiable and if the reparametrization  $\gamma^*$  of  $\gamma$  with

$$f \circ \gamma^* = (f \circ \gamma) \circ \alpha$$

is absolutely continuous. Here  $\alpha^0$  denotes the parametrization of  $\alpha$  by means of path length.

*Proof of 1.3.* Suppose that  $J_f=0$  in a set of positive measure. This set then contains a Borel set  $B$  of positive measure such that  $B \subset Q$ , where  $Q$  is a closed  $n$ -interval in  $G$ , and that  $f$  is differentiable and  $f'(x)=0$  for every  $x \in B$ . Let

$\Gamma_B$  be the family of all closed intervals  $\gamma$  in  $Q$  parallel to  $e_1=(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  with  $\int_\gamma \chi_B ds > 0$ . Fubini's theorem implies that  $M(\Gamma_B) > 0$ . By 3.1

$$0 < M(\Gamma_B)/K_0(f)N(f, Q) \cong M(f\Gamma_B),$$

so that according to Poleckii's lemma there is a path  $\gamma \in \Gamma_B$  such that  $\gamma^*$  is absolutely continuous. Thus

$$0 < \int_\gamma \chi_B ds = \int_0^{l(f \circ \gamma)} (\chi_B \circ \gamma^*) |\gamma^{*'}| dm_1 = \int_{\gamma^{*-1}B} |\gamma^{*'}| dm_1,$$

and consequently  $m_1(\gamma^{*-1}B) > 0$ . On the other hand, for  $m_1$ -a.e.  $t \in \gamma^{*-1}B$ ,

$$1 = |(f \circ \gamma)^{0'}(t)| = |(f \circ \gamma^*)'(t)| = |f'(\gamma^*(t))\gamma^{*'}(t)| = 0,$$

which is clearly absurd. Therefore  $J_f \neq 0$  a.e. Since  $J_f = 0$  a.e. in  $B_f$ , it follows that  $m(B_f) = 0$ .

3.3. Remark. In [2] Poleckii uses 3.2 to prove his celebrated  $K_I$ -path family inequality. In his proof he needs the result 1.3, whose original proof requires the use of the  $K_I$ -capacity inequality. This latter inequality is quite hard to prove, and, on the other hand, is a special case of the  $K_I$ -path family inequality. It is therefore important to have a proof for 1.3 which does not make use of the  $K_I$ -capacity inequality.

S. Rickman has pointed out that it would also be possible to modify the proof of the  $K_I$ -path family inequality in such way that 1.3 is not needed in the proof.

#### References

- [1] MARTIO, O., S. RICKMAN, and J. VÄISÄLÄ: Definitions for quasiregular mappings. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 448, 1969, 1—40.
- [2] POLECKII, E. A.: The method of modul for nonhomeomorphic quasiconformal mappings. - Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 83 (125), 1970, 261—272 (Russian).
- [3] RADO, T., and P. V. REICHELDERFER: Continuous transformations in analysis. - Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 75, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Göttingen—Heidelberg, 1955.
- [4] RICKMAN, S.: Lectures on quasiregular mappings. - To appear.
- [5] VÄISÄLÄ, J.: Lectures on  $n$ -dimensional quasiconformal mappings. - Lecture Notes in Mathematics 229, Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York, 1971.

University of Helsinki  
 Department of Mathematics  
 SF-00100 Helsinki 10  
 Finland

Received 4 March 1983