

SEQUENTIAL FOURIER—FEYNMAN TRANSFORMS

R. H. CAMERON and D. A. STORVICK

In a forthcoming Memoir of the American Mathematical Society [3], the authors give a simple sequential definition of the Feynman integral which is applicable to a rather large class of functionals. In Corollary 2 to Theorem 3.1 of [3] we showed that the elements of the Banach algebra \hat{S} defined in [3] (and below) are all sequentially Feynman integrable.

In the present paper we use the sequential Feynman integral to define a set of sequential Fourier—Feynman transforms. We also show that they form an abelian group of isometric transformations of \hat{S} onto \hat{S} .

Notation. Let $C \equiv C[a, b]$ be the space of continuous functions $x(t)$ on $[a, b]$ such that $x(a) = 0$, and let $C^v[a, b] = \times_{j=1}^v C[a, b]$.

Let a subdivision σ of $[a, b]$ be given:

$$\sigma: [a = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_k < \dots < \tau_m = b].$$

Let $\vec{X} \equiv \vec{X}(t)$ be a polygonal curve in C^v based on a subdivision σ and the matrix of real numbers $\vec{\xi} \equiv \{\xi_{j,k}\}$, and defined by

$$\vec{X}(t) \equiv \vec{X}(t, \sigma, \vec{\xi}) = [X_1(t, \sigma, \vec{\xi}), \dots, X_v(t, \sigma, \vec{\xi})]$$

where

$$X_j(t, \sigma, \vec{\xi}) = \frac{\xi_{j,k-1}(\tau_k - t) + \xi_{j,k}(t - \tau_{k-1})}{\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}}$$

when

$$\tau_{k-1} \equiv t \equiv \tau_k; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m; \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{j,0} \equiv 0.$$

(We note that as $\vec{\xi}$ ranges over all of vm dimensional real space, the polygonal functions $\vec{X}((\cdot), \sigma, \vec{\xi})$ range over all polygonal approximations to the functions $C^v[a, b]$ based on the subdivision σ . Specifically if \vec{x} is a particular element of $C^v[a, b]$ and we set $\xi_{j,k} = x_j(\tau_k)$, the function $\vec{X}((\cdot), \sigma, \vec{\xi})$ is the polygonal approximation of \vec{x} based on the subdivision σ .) Where there is a sequence of subdivisions $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$, then σ, m and τ_k will be replaced by σ_n, m_n and $\tau_{k,n}$.

Definition. Let $q \neq 0$ be a given real number and let $F(\vec{x})$ be a functional defined on a subset of $C^v[a, b]$ containing all the polygonal elements of $C^v[a, b]$.

Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots$ be a sequence of subdivisions such that norm $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$ and let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers with $\text{Re } \lambda_n > 0$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow -iq$. Then if the integral in the right hand side of (1.0) exists for all n and if the following limit exists and is independent of the choice of the sequences $\{\sigma_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$, we say that the *sequential Feynman integral with parameter q exists and is given by*

(1.0)

$$\int^{sf} F(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{\sigma_n, \lambda_n} \int_{R^{vm_n}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\lambda_n}{2} \int_a^b \left\| \frac{d\vec{X}}{dt}(t, \sigma_n, \vec{\xi}) \right\|^2 dt \right\} F(\vec{X}((\cdot), \sigma_n, \vec{\xi})) d\vec{\xi},$$

where

$$\gamma_{\sigma, \lambda} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^{vm/2} \prod_{k=1}^m (\tau_k - \tau_{k-1})^{-v/2}.$$

Definition. Let $D[a, b]$ be the class of elements $x \in C[a, b]$ such that x is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and $x' \in L_2[a, b]$. Let $D^v = \times_1^v D$.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{M}(L_2^v[a, b])$ be the class of complex measures of finite variation defined on $B(L_2^v)$, the Borel measurable subsets of $L_2^v[a, b]$. We set $\|\mu\| = \text{var } \mu$. (In this paper, L_2 always means *real* L_2 .)

Definition. The functional F defined on a subset of C^v that contains D^v is said to be an element of $\hat{S} \equiv \hat{S}(L_2^v)$ if there exists a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ such that for $\vec{x} \in D^v$

$$(1.1) \quad F(\vec{x}) \equiv \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \left(\frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} \right) dt \right\} d\mu(\vec{v}).$$

We also define $\|F\| \equiv \|\mu\|$.

Lemma. If $F \in \hat{S}$ and $\vec{y} \in D^v$, then the translate of F by \vec{y} is in \hat{S} ; i.e. $F((\cdot) + \vec{y}) \in \hat{S}$. Moreover if for $\vec{x} \in D^v$, $F(\vec{x})$ is given by equation (1.1) where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, it follows that

$$(1.2) \quad F(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} \right\} d\sigma(\vec{v})$$

where $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}$ and for each Borel subset E of L_2^v , $\sigma(E)$ is given by

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma(E) = \int_E \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dy_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} d\mu(\vec{v}).$$

Proof of the Lemma. If σ is given by equation (1.3) it is clearly in \mathcal{M} and $\|\sigma\| \equiv \|\mu\|$. For $\vec{x} \in D^v$, it follows from (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) &= \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} \right\} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dy_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} d\mu(\vec{v}) \\ &= \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v v_j(t) \frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} d\sigma(\vec{v}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $F((\cdot) + \bar{y}) \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and the Lemma is proved. (Cf. also Corollary 2 of Theorem 4.1 of [3].)

Definition. If $p \neq 0$ and if for each $y \in D^v[a, b]$ the sequential Feynman integral

$$(1.4) \quad (\Gamma_p F)(\bar{y}) \equiv \int^{sf_{1/p}} F(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) d\bar{x}$$

exists, then $\Gamma_p F$ is called the sequential Fourier – Feynman transform of F . If $p = 0$ we define Γ_0 to be the identity transformation, $\Gamma_0 F \equiv F$.

Theorem 1. *If $F \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and p is real, then the sequential Fourier – Feynman transform of F exists and $\Gamma_p F \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}$. Moreover for $\bar{y} \in D^v$ and F given by equation (1.1),*

$$(1.6) \quad (\Gamma_p F)(\bar{y}) = \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dy_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{p}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b [v_j(t)]^2 dt \right\} d\mu(\bar{v}).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. By the Lemma, $F((\cdot) + \bar{y}) \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}$, and hence by Corollary 2 of Theorem 3.1 of [3] when $p \neq 0$, the right hand member of (1.4) exists. Also in terms of the measure σ given in equation (1.3), we have from (1.2) and Corollary 2 of Theorem 3.1 of [3] that

$$\begin{aligned} \int^{sf_{1/p}} F(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) d\bar{x} &= \int^{sf_{1/p}} \left[\int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} d\sigma(\bar{v}) \right] d\bar{x} \\ &= \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ \frac{p}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b [v_j(t)]^2 dt \right\} d\sigma(\bar{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (1.6) follows by substituting for σ using equation (1.3). Now let the measure τ be defined on the Borel subsets E of L_2^v by

$$(1.7) \quad \tau(E) \equiv \int_E \exp \left\{ \frac{p}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b [v_j(t)]^2 dt \right\} d\mu(\bar{v}).$$

Clearly $\tau \in \mathcal{M}$ and equation (1.6) can be written

$$(1.8) \quad (\Gamma_p F)(\bar{y}) = \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dy_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} d\tau(\bar{v}).$$

Hence $\Gamma_p F \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and the theorem is proved for the case $p \neq 0$. When $p = 0$, $\Gamma_0 F$ is the identity transformation and the theorem follows from (1.1).

Corollary to Theorem 1. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1, assume that Φ is a bounded measurable functional defined on L_2^v , and let

$$(1.9) \quad H(\tilde{x}) \equiv \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} \Phi(\tilde{v}) d\mu(\tilde{v}).$$

Then the functional $H \in \hat{S}$ and

$$(1.10) \quad (\Gamma_p H)(\tilde{y}) \\ = \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dy_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{p}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b [v_j(t)]^2 dt \right\} \Phi(\tilde{v}) d\mu(\tilde{v}).$$

Proof. Let a measure σ be defined on each Borel set E of L_2^v by

$$\sigma(E) \equiv \int_E \Phi(\tilde{v}) d\mu(\tilde{v}).$$

Clearly $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}$ and for $\tilde{x} \in D^v$

$$H(\tilde{x}) = \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dx_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} d\sigma(\tilde{v})$$

so that $H \in \hat{S}$. Applying the theorem to H and replacing $d\sigma(\tilde{v})$ by $\Phi(\tilde{v}) d\mu(\tilde{v})$, we obtain (1.10) and the Corollary is proved.

Theorem 2. The set of sequential Fourier–Feynman transforms Γ_p for real p forms an abelian group of isometries of the Banach algebra \hat{S} , with multiplication rule

$$(1.11) \quad \Gamma_q \Gamma_p = \Gamma_{p+q} \quad \text{for } p, q \text{ real}$$

and identity

$$(1.12) \quad \Gamma_0 = I$$

and inverses

$$(1.13) \quad (\Gamma_p)^{-1} = \Gamma_{-p} \quad \text{for } p \text{ real.}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. By equation (1.6),

$$(\Gamma_p F)(\tilde{y}) = \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dy_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{p}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b [v_j(t)]^2 dt \right\} d\mu(\tilde{v})$$

and by equation (1.10)

$$(\Gamma_q \Gamma_p F)(\tilde{y}) = \int_{L_2^v} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b v_j(t) \frac{dy_j(t)}{dt} dt \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{p}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b [v_j(t)]^2 dt \right\} \\ \cdot \exp \left\{ \frac{q}{2i} \sum_{j=1}^v \int_a^b [v_j(t)]^2 dt \right\} d\mu(\tilde{v}) = (\Gamma_{p+q} F)(\tilde{y}).$$

Equation (1.12) is given by the definition of the sequential Fourier–Feynman transform and equation (1.13) follows from equation (1.11) by setting $q = -p$. Finally

we establish the isometric property of Γ_p . If $F \in \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ and $G = \Gamma_p F$, then by equations (1.8), (1.7) and (1.1)

$$\|G\| = \|\Gamma_p F\| = \|\tau\| \cong \|\mu\| = \|F\|.$$

Also by (1.13)

$$F = \Gamma_{-p} G$$

and

$$\|F\| \cong \|G\|,$$

and the theorem is proved.

In conclusion, for the case $\nu=1$, $p=-1$, the definition of the sequential Fourier – Feynman transform given in this paper is similar in form to the definition of the analytic Fourier – Feynman transform T given by Brue [3]. Brue defines the transform, TF , of a functional F , in terms of the analytic Feynman integral of F ; namely he lets

$$(TF)(y) \equiv \int_C^{anf-1} F(x+y) dx$$

whenever the right hand side exists for all $y \in C$. He then proceeds to establish the existence of the transform T and its inverse T^* for several large classes of functionals. There is also a formal similarity to the definitions given in [2] and [4], but they involve more complicated forms of the analytic Feynman integral.

References

- [1] BRUE, M. D.: A functional transform for Feynman integrals similar to the Fourier transform. - Thesis, University of Minnesota, June 1972.
- [2] CAMERON, R. H., and D. A. STORVICK: An L_2 analytic Fourier – Feynman transform. - Michigan Math. J. 23, 1976, 1–30.
- [3] CAMERON, R. H., and D. A. STORVICK: A simple definition of the Feynman integral, with applications. - Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 288, 1983, 1–46.
- [4] JOHNSON, G. W., and D. L. SKOUG: An L_p analytic Fourier – Feynman transform. - Michigan Math. J. 26, 1979, 103–127.

University of Minnesota
 School of Mathematics
 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455
 USA

Received 15 November 1983