

## ON THE NASH—MOSER IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM

LARS HÖRMANDER

In [1] a general implicit function theorem of Moser's type was derived from the methods of Nash [2]. However, it turns out that better results and simpler proofs may be obtained by a simple modification of this approach combined with standard non-linear functional analysis. We shall present this modification here, choosing this time an abstract setting as for example in Zehnder [3].

Let  $E_a$ ,  $a \geq 0$ , be a decreasing family of Banach spaces with injections  $E_b \hookrightarrow E_a$  of norm  $\leq 1$  when  $b \geq a$ . Set  $E_\infty = \bigcap E_a$  with the weakest topology making the injections  $E_\infty \hookrightarrow E_a$  continuous, and assume that we have given linear operators  $S_\theta: E_0 \rightarrow E_\infty$  for  $\theta \geq 1$ , such that with constants  $C$  bounded, when  $a$  and  $b$  are bounded,

- (i)  $\|S_\theta u\|_b \leq C \|u\|_a$ ,  $b \geq a$ ;
- (ii)  $\|S_\theta u\|_b \leq C \theta^{b-a} \|u\|_a$ ,  $a < b$ ;
- (iii)  $\|u - S_\theta u\|_b \leq C \theta^{b-a} \|u\|_a$ ,  $a > b$ ;
- (iv)  $\left\| \frac{d}{d\theta} S_\theta u \right\|_b \leq C \theta^{b-a-1} \|u\|_a$ .

Hölder spaces are classical examples (see [1, appendix]). The property (iv) is the strongest one; integration of (iv) from  $\theta$  to  $\infty$  gives (iii) and integration from 1 to  $\theta$  gives (ii) (although the constants may become large as  $b$  approaches  $a$ ). From (ii) and (iii) we obtain the logarithmic convexity of the norms

$$(v) \quad \|u\|_{\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b} \leq C \|u\|_a^\lambda \|u\|_b^{1-\lambda} \quad \text{if } 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

In fact, if  $c = \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b$  and  $a < b$ , we obtain from (ii) and (iii)

$$\|u\|_c \leq \|S_\theta u\|_c + \|u - S_\theta u\|_c \leq C(\theta^{c-a} \|u\|_a + \theta^{c-b} \|u\|_b).$$

Since  $\|u\|_b \geq \|u\|_a$  the two terms are equal for some  $\theta \geq 1$ , which gives (v) with the constant  $2C$ .

Condition (iv) provides a convenient way of making a continuous decomposition of an arbitrary  $u \in E_a$ . However, we prefer to use discrete decompositions in order to have no problems with vector valued integration. We therefore choose a fixed sequence with  $1 = \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $\theta_{j+1}/\theta_j$  is bounded, set  $\Delta_j = \theta_{j+1} - \theta_j$

and introduce

$$R_j u = (S_{\theta_{j+1}} u - S_{\theta_j} u) / \Delta_j \quad \text{if } j > 0, \quad R_0 u = S_{\theta_1} u / \Delta_0.$$

Then we have by (iii)

$$(1) \quad u = \sum_0^\infty \Delta_j R_j u$$

with convergence in  $E_a$ , if  $u \in E_b$  for some  $b > a$ , and (iv) gives for all  $b$

$$(2) \quad \|R_j u\|_b \leq C_{a,b} \theta_j^{b-a-1} \|u\|_a.$$

Conversely, assume that  $a_1 < a < a_2$ , that  $u_j \in E_{a_2}$  and that

$$(3) \quad \|u_j\|_b \leq M \theta_j^{b-a-1} \quad \text{if } b = a_1 \quad \text{or} \quad b = a_2.$$

By (v) this remains true with a constant factor on the right-hand side if  $a_1 < b < a_2$  so the sum  $u = \sum \Delta_j u_j$  converges in  $E_b$  if  $b < a$ . Let  $E'_b$  be the set of all sums  $u = \sum \Delta_j u_j$  with  $u_j$  satisfying (3) and introduce as norm  $\|u\|'_a$  the infimum of  $M$  over all such sum decompositions. We have then seen that  $\|u\|'_a$  is stronger than  $\|u\|_b$  if  $b < a$ , while (1) and (2) show that  $\|u\|'_a$  is weaker than  $\|u\|_a$ . The space  $E'_b$  and, up to equivalence, its norm are independent of the choice of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . In fact, assume that  $u = \sum \Delta_j u_j$  with  $u_j$  satisfying (3), and let us estimate  $\|R_k u\|_c$ . By (3) and (iv)

$$\|R_k u_j\|_c \leq CM \theta_k^{c-a} \nu^{-1} \theta_j^{a-\nu-1}, \quad \nu = 1, 2.$$

We multiply by  $\Delta_j$  and sum for  $j \leq k$  taking  $\nu = 2$  and for  $j > k$  taking  $\nu = 1$ . This gives that

$$\|R_k u\|_c \leq CM \theta_k^{c-a-1}.$$

Thus the decomposition (1) can be used instead, for any interval. Altogether this shows that the space  $E'_a$  and its topology are independent of the choice of the numbers  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ ;  $E'_a$  is defined by (2) for any two values of  $b$  to the left and to the right of  $a$ . (It does not depend on the smoothing operators of course.) In the particular case of Hölder spaces we have  $E'_a = E_a$  unless  $a$  is an integer.

In (iii) we may replace  $\|u\|_a$  by  $\|u\|'_a$  if we take another constant, which may tend to  $\infty$  as  $b$  approaches  $a$ . In fact, assume we have a decomposition  $u = \sum \Delta_j u_j$  with  $u_j$  satisfying (3). Then if  $b < a_1 < a < a_2$

$$u - S_\theta u = \sum \Delta_j (u_j - S_\theta u_j), \quad \|(u_j - S_\theta u_j)\|_b \leq CM \theta^{b-a} \theta_j^{a-\nu-1}.$$

We sum for  $\theta_j > \theta$  with  $\nu = 1$  and for  $\theta_j \leq \theta$  with  $\nu = 2$  and conclude that

$$\|u - S_\theta u\|_b \leq C_b \theta^{b-a} M$$

which proves the strengthened form of (iii).

If  $u_k$  is a bounded sequence in  $E_a$  for some fixed  $a > 0$  and  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $E_0$ , it follows from (v) that  $u_k$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $E_b$  for every fixed  $b < a$  so the limit  $u \in E_b$ . In fact,  $u \in E'_a$  for if we apply (2) to  $u_k$  and let  $k \rightarrow \infty$  it follows that

$$\|R_j u\|_b \leq C_{a,b} \theta_j^{b-a-1} \underline{\lim} \|u_k\|_a.$$

We shall say that a sequence  $u_k \in E_a$  is weakly convergent and write  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in the preceding situation. Note that the definition of  $E'_a$  shows that every element in  $E'_a$  is the weak  $E_a$  limit of a sequence in  $E_\infty$ .

To state the implicit function theorem we assume that we have another family  $F_a$  of decreasing Banach spaces with smoothing operators having the same properties as above; we use the same notation for the smoothing operators also. In addition we assume that the embedding  $F_b \hookrightarrow F_a$  is compact when  $b > a$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be fixed positive numbers,  $[a_1, a_2]$  an interval with  $0 \leq a_1 < \alpha < a_2$ ,  $V$  a convex  $E'_\alpha$  neighborhood of 0 and  $\Phi$  a map from  $V \cap E_{a_2}$  to  $F_\beta$  which is twice differentiable and satisfies, for some  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$(4) \quad \|\Phi''(u; v, w)\|_{\beta+\delta} \leq C \sum (1 + \|u\|_{m'_j}) \|v\|_{m''_j} \|w\|_{m'''_j},$$

where the sum is finite. Also assume that  $\Phi'(v)$ , for  $v \in V \cap E_\infty$ , has a right inverse  $\psi(v)$  mapping  $F_\infty$  to  $E_{a_2}$ , that  $(v, g) \rightarrow \psi(v)g$  is continuous from  $E_\infty \cap V \times F_\infty$  to  $E_{a_2}$  and that

$$(5) \quad \|\psi(v)g\|_a \leq C(\|g\|_{\beta+a-\alpha} + \|g\|_0 \|v\|_{\beta+a}), \quad a_1 \leq a \leq a_2.$$

Let  $a_2$  be at least as large as the subscripts on the right-hand side of (4),

$$(6) \quad \max(m'_j - \alpha, 0) + \max(m''_j, a_1) + m'''_j < 2\alpha, \quad \text{for every } j; \quad \alpha - \beta < a_1.$$

For every  $f \in F'_\beta$  with sufficiently small norm one can then find a sequence  $u_j \in V \cap E_{a_2}$  which has a weak limit  $u$  in  $E'_\alpha$  such that  $\Phi(u_j)$  converges weakly in  $F'_\beta$  to  $\Phi(0) + f$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g \in F'_\beta$  and write  $g_j = R_j g$ ; thus

$$(7) \quad g = \sum \Delta_j g_j; \quad \|g_j\|_b \leq C_b \theta_j^{b-\beta-1} \|g\|'_\beta.$$

We claim that if  $\|g\|'_\beta$  is small enough we can define a sequence  $u_j \in E_{a_2} \cap V$  with  $u_0 = 0$  by the recursion formula

$$(8) \quad u_{j+1} = u_j + \Delta_j \dot{u}_j, \quad \dot{u}_j = \psi(v_j)g_j, \quad v_j = S_{\theta_j} u_j$$

and that we have the estimates

$$(9) \quad \|\dot{u}_j\|_a \leq C_1 \|g\|'_\beta \theta_j^{a-\alpha-1}, \quad a_1 \leq a \leq a_2,$$

$$(10) \quad \|v_j\|_a \leq C_2 \|g\|'_\beta \theta_j^{a-\alpha}, \quad \alpha < a \leq a_2,$$

$$(11) \quad \|u_j - v_j\|_a \leq C_3 \|g\|'_\beta \theta_j^{a-\alpha}, \quad a \leq a_2.$$

Indeed, suppose that  $u_j$  is already constructed for  $j \leq k$  and that (10), (11) are proved then as well as (9) for  $j < k$ . We prove (9) for  $j = k$  by application of (5) to  $v_k$  and  $g_k$  which gives

$$\|\psi(v_k)g_k\|_a \leq C(\theta_k^{a-\alpha-1} \|g\|'_\beta + \theta_k^{-\beta-1} \|g\|'_\beta C_2 \|g\|'_\beta \theta_k^{\beta+a-\alpha}).$$

Here we have used the fact that  $\beta + a_1 > \alpha$  by (6). This gives (9) for  $j=k$  if  $C_1 > C$  and  $\|g\|_\beta$  is small, no matter what the value of  $C_2$  is. (This point is important to avoid circularity in the choice of constants.) Since  $u_{k+1} = \sum_0^k \Delta_j \dot{u}_j$  we obtain from

$$(12) \quad \|u_{k+1}\|'_\alpha \cong C' C_1 \|g\|'_\beta$$

and we conclude from the strengthened version of (iii) discussed above that (11) is valid for  $a < \alpha$  not close to  $\alpha$  if  $C_3/C_1$  is large enough. When  $a = a_2$  the same conclusion is obtained directly by adding (9), and the logarithmic convexity (v) then gives (11) with the same constant in the whole interval. Then we obtain (10) for  $j=k+1$  by just summing (9).

We have now proved that the construction of the infinite sequences  $u_j, v_j, \dot{u}_j$  is possible, for (12) and (11), with  $j=k+1$ , show that  $u_{k+1}$  and  $v_{k+1}$  are in  $V$  if  $\|g\|'_\beta$  is sufficiently small. It follows from (9) that  $u_k$  has a weak limit  $u$  in  $E'_\alpha$ . What remains is to examine the limit of  $\Phi(u_k)$ . Write

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi(u_{j+1}) - \Phi(u_j) \\ &= (\Phi(u_j + \Delta_j \dot{u}_j) - \Phi(u_j) - \Phi'(u_j) \Delta_j \dot{u}_j) + (\Phi'(u_j) - \Phi'(v_j)) \Delta_j \dot{u}_j + \Delta_j g_j \\ &= \Delta_j (e'_j + e''_j + g_j). \end{aligned}$$

First we estimate

$$e''_j = \int_0^1 \Phi''(v_j + t(u_j - v_j); \dot{u}_j, u_j - v_j) dt$$

by means of (4); our purpose is of course to show that  $e'_j, e''_j$  are so small that  $\Phi(u_k) - \Phi(u_0)$  will have a limit close to  $\sum \Delta_j g_j = g$ . If we combine (5) with (9), (10), (11) and recall (6), we obtain, if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is so small that (6) remains valid if  $\varepsilon$  is added in the left-hand side,

$$(14) \quad \|e''_j\|_{\beta+\delta} \cong C \theta_j^{-1-\varepsilon} \|g\|_{\beta'}^2.$$

For any  $N > 0$  we can choose  $\theta_j$  so that  $\Delta_j = O(\theta_j^{-N})$ . For large  $N$  we obtain in the same way from Taylor's formula

$$(15) \quad \|e'_j\|_{\beta+\delta} \cong C \theta_j^{-1-\varepsilon} \|g\|_{\beta'}^2.$$

It follows that  $\Phi(u_k)$  converges weakly to  $\Phi(0) + T(g) + g$  where

$$(16) \quad T(g) = \sum \Delta_j (e'_j + e''_j).$$

This sum is uniformly convergent in  $F_{\beta+\delta}$  norm when  $\|g\|'_\beta$  is small enough. Hence  $T(g)$  is a continuous map from a neighborhood of 0 in  $F'_\beta$  to a compact subset of  $F'_\beta$ , and

$$\|Tg\|'_\beta \cong C \|g\|_{\beta'}^2.$$

By the Leray-Schauder theorem it follows that  $g + T(g)$  takes on all values in a neighborhood of 0, and this proves the theorem.

---

**References**

- [1] HÖRMANDER, L.: The boundary problems of physical geodesy. - Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 62, 1976, 1—52.
- [2] NASH, J.: The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds. - Ann. of Math. (2)63, 1956, 20—63.
- [3] ZEHNDER, E.: Generalized implicit function theorems with applications to some small divisor problems, I. - Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28, 1975, 91—140.

University of Lund  
Institute of Mathematics  
S-220 07 Lund  
Sweden

Received 30 March 1984