

## THE UNIFORM CONTINUITY OF THE MODULUS OF ROTATION AUTOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

RAUNO AULASKARI and PETER LAPPAN

Let  $D = \{z: |z| < 1\}$  and let  $f(z)$  be a function meromorphic in  $D$ . We say that  $f(z)$  is a *rotation automorphic function* in  $D$  if there exists a Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  acting on  $D$  such that for each  $T \in \Gamma$  there exists a rotation  $S_T$  of the Riemann sphere  $W$  such that  $f(T(z)) = S_T(f(z))$  for each  $z \in D$ . We will use  $F_0$  to denote the fundamental region for the Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma$  contains more than the identity element, there are many possible choices for a fundamental region, and we will fix  $F_0$  to be a connected hyperbolically convex set which satisfies the conditions for a fundamental region. Let  $d(z_1, z_2)$  denote the hyperbolic distance between the points  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in  $D$ , and let  $\chi(w_1, w_2)$  denote the chordal distance, that is, the usual distance in real 3-space, between the points  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in  $W$ , where we identify points on  $W$  with points on the extended complex plane in the usual way. If  $G$  is a subset of  $D$ , we say that a function  $f(z)$  defined on  $D$  is *uniformly continuous hyperbolically* on  $G$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\chi(f(z_1), f(z_2)) < \varepsilon$  whenever  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are points in  $G$  such that  $d(z_1, z_2) < \delta$ . We note that the definition does not require  $f(z)$  to be a meromorphic function, and below we will use the idea of “uniformly continuous hyperbolically” for functions which are not meromorphic. In addition, we let  $\bar{G}$  denote the closure of  $G$ .

If  $f(z)$  is a meromorphic function in  $D$ , we say that  $f(z)$  is a *normal function* if  $\sup \{(1 - |z|^2) f^{\#}(z) : z \in D\} < \infty$ , where  $f^{\#}(z) = |f'(z)| / (1 + |f(z)|^2)$ .

A concept similar to that of “uniformly continuous hyperbolically” was considered by Hayman [4]. The definition for a normal function as given above is due to Lehto and Virtanen [5].

In [2, Theorem 1], we obtained the following result.

**Theorem.** *If  $f(z)$  is a meromorphic rotation automorphic function in  $D$  such that  $f(z)$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically on  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ , then  $f(z)$  is a normal function.*

In this theorem, the condition on  $f(z)$  in the fundamental region  $F_0$  is sufficiently strong that no restrictions on the group  $\Gamma$  are needed. For our results below, we will require some restrictions on the group  $\Gamma$ . Our first result deals with a finitely generated group  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic rotation automorphic function such that  $h(z)=|f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically in  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated Fuchsian group, then  $f(z)$  is a normal function.*

In addition, we can obtain a similar result by changing the nature of the restriction on the group  $\Gamma$ . We say that the fundamental region  $F_0$  is *thick* if for each sufficiently small  $r>0$  there exists a number  $r'>0$  such that for each sequence  $\{z_n\}$  of points in  $\bar{F}_0$  there exists a sequence of points  $\{z'_n\}$  in  $F_0$  such that, for each positive integer  $n$  both  $d(z_n, z'_n)<r$  and the set  $U(z'_n, r')=\{z \in D: d(z, z'_n)<r'\}$  is a subset of  $F_0$ . The concept of “thick” was introduced in [1] with a slight difference in the statement of the definition. (In [1], the sequence  $\{z_n\}$  was required to be in  $F_0$ , not its closure. It is a simple exercise to show that the concept as given here is equivalent to that in [1].)

Our second result is the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic rotation automorphic function such that  $h(z)=|f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically in  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ . If  $F_0$  is thick, then  $f(z)$  is a normal function.*

In view of Theorems 1 and 2, it is reasonable to ask the following general question. *If  $f(z)$  is a rotation automorphic function such that  $h(z)=|f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically on  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ , is  $f(z)$  a normal function?* Although we suspect that the answer to this question is negative, we do not have an example to show this. Theorems 1 and 2 show that such an example must involve a fundamental region  $F_0$  with a reasonably complicated structure.

We prove Theorems 1 and 2 below.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $f(z)$  be a rotation automorphic function relative to a finitely generated Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  such that  $h(z)=|f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically on  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ , and suppose that  $f(z)$  is not a normal function. By a theorem of Lohwater and Pommerenke [6, Theorem 1, page 3], there exist a sequence of points  $\{z_n\}$  in  $D$  and a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of positive real numbers such that  $p_n/(1-|z_n|) \rightarrow 0$  and the sequence of functions  $\{g_n(t)=f(z_n+p_nt)\}$  converges uniformly on each compact subset of the complex plane to a function  $g(t)$  meromorphic and non-constant on the complex plane. Since  $\Gamma$  is a Fuchsian group, for each positive integer  $n$  there exists  $T_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $T_n(z_n) \in \bar{F}_0 \cap D$ . The family  $\{S_{T_n}(g_n(t))\}$  is a normal family because the family  $\{g_n(t)\}$  is a normal family. Further, since  $f(z)$  is rotation automorphic relative to  $\Gamma$ , we have that  $S_{T_n}(g_n(t))=f(T_n(z_n+p_nt))$ , which means that, by taking subsequences, if necessary, we may assume that the sequence  $\{f(T_n(z_n+p_nt))\}$  converges uniformly on each compact subset of the complex plane to a non-constant meromorphic function  $g_*(t)$ . Let  $z'_n=T_n(z_n)$  and let  $\Phi_n(t)=T_n(z_n+p_nt)-z'_n$ . Since  $p_n/(1-|z_n|) \rightarrow 0$ , we have that  $d(z'_n, z'_n+\Phi_n(t)) \rightarrow 0$  for each fixed complex number  $t$ . It is no loss of generality to assume that the sequence

$\{z'_n\}$  converges to a point on the boundary of  $D$ , since  $f$  is continuous on  $D$ . Also, since  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated, there are only two possibilities: (1)  $\{z'_n\}$  converges to a point on the closure of a free boundary arc of  $\bar{F}_0 \cap \{z: |z|=1\}$ , or (2)  $\{z'_n\}$  converges to a parabolic vertex  $P$  of  $\bar{F}_0$ .

Assume Case (1). Then there exists a number  $q_0$  (depending on the sequence  $\{z'_n\}$ ) and two real numbers  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ , with  $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \leq 2\pi$  and  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \geq \pi/2$  and such that the set  $D_n = \{z: 0 < d(z, z'_n) < q_0, \alpha_1 < \arg(z - z'_n) < \alpha_2\}$  is a subset of  $F_0$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Since  $T_n$  preserves both angles and hyperbolic distances, for  $n$  sufficiently large the set  $T_n^{-1}(D_n)$  contains a hyperbolic sector at  $z_n$  with an opening containing an angle at least  $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$ . By choosing a subsequence, if necessary, we have that if  $t$  is a complex number taken from an appropriate fixed sector of the complex plane formed by an angle of at least  $(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)/2$  at the origin, then  $z_n + p_n t \in T_n^{-1}(D_n)$  and thus  $z'_n + \Phi_n(t) \in D_n$ . Since  $h(z) = |f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically in  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ , and since  $d(z'_n, z'_n + \Phi_n(t)) \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that  $|g_*(t)| = |g_*(0)|$ . But  $t$  can be chosen arbitrarily from a fixed sector on the plane, so we have that the function  $g_*(t)$  must be a constant function, which contradicts our previous assumptions about  $g_*(t)$ . Thus, the assumptions we have made are untenable in Case (1). This means that Case (1) cannot occur.

Now assume that Case (2) occurs. The point  $P$  is the fixed point of a parabolic element  $T_P \in \Gamma$ , where  $T_P$  sends the region  $F_0$  onto an adjacent copy of  $F_0$ . Let  $S_{T_P}$  denote the rotation of the Riemann sphere which satisfies the condition  $f(T_P(z)) = S_{T_P}(f(z))$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  denote the two points of the Riemann sphere fixed by the rotation  $S_{T_P}$ . We consider three subcases: (2a)  $A=0$  and  $B=\infty$  (this includes the case when  $S_{T_P} = \text{identity}$ ); (2b)  $0 < |A| < |B| < \infty$ ; and Case (2c)  $|A|=|B|=1$ . The nature of the fixed points of a rotation of the Riemann sphere gives these three cases as the only possibilities.

Assume that Case (2a) occurs. Fix  $t$  with  $|t| > 0$ , and let  $\zeta_n = z'_n + \Phi_n(t) = T_n(z_n + p_n t)$ . For each positive integer  $n$  sufficiently large, there exists an integer  $k_n$  such that  $(T_P)^{k_n}(\zeta_n) \in \bar{F}_0$ . But since  $d(z'_n, \zeta_n) \rightarrow 0$ , it follows from the nature of the action of parabolic elements of Fuchsian groups that  $d(z'_n, (T_P)^{k_n}(\zeta_n)) \rightarrow 0$  also. Thus, since  $h(z) = |f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically on  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ , we have that  $\chi(|f(z'_n)|, |f((T_P)^{k_n}(\zeta_n))|) \rightarrow 0$ . But since the fixed points of  $S_{T_P}$  are 0 and  $\infty$ , it follows that  $S_{T_P}$  is a rotation of the complex plane and so  $|f(T_P(\zeta_n))| = |S_{T_P}(f(\zeta_n))| = |f(\zeta_n)|$ , which means that  $|f((T_P)^{k_n}(\zeta_n))| = |f(\zeta_n)|$ . But this implies that  $|g_*(t)| = |g_*(0)|$ . But since  $t$  is an arbitrary non-zero complex number, we must conclude that  $g_*(t)$  is a constant function, in violation of our previous assumptions. Thus, we have that Case (2a) cannot occur.

Now assume that Case (2b) occurs. For each  $\delta > 0$  let  $A(\delta) = \{w: \chi(w, A) < \delta\}$  and let  $B(\delta) = \{w: \chi(w, B) < \delta\}$ . Since  $|A| < |B|$ , there exists a number  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $\sup \{|w|: w \in A(\delta_0)\} < \inf \{|w|: w \in B(\delta_0)\}$ . Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  be two complex numbers such that  $g_*(t_1) \in A(\delta_0)$  and  $g_*(t_2) \in B(\delta_0)$ . Then  $d(z'_n + \Phi_n(t_1), z'_n + \Phi_n(t_2)) \rightarrow 0$

for  $j=1, 2$ . Also, for  $j=1, 2$ , there exist integers  $k_n^{(j)}$  such that  $T_P^{k_n^{(j)}}(z'_n + \Phi_n(t_j)) \in \bar{F}_0$ . As in Case (2a), we have  $d(z'_n, T_P^{k_n^{(j)}}(z'_n + \Phi_n(t_j))) \rightarrow 0$  for  $j=1, 2$ , which means, since  $h(z) = |f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically on  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ , that both  $|g_*(0)| \in \{|w| : w \in A(\delta_0)\}$  and  $|g_*(0)| \in \{|w| : w \in B(\delta_0)\}$ . But this is impossible by the way in which  $\delta_0$  was chosen. Thus, Case (2b) cannot occur.

Now assume that Case (2c) occurs. The boundary of  $F_0$  consists of a finite number of arcs of circles, two of which meet at the point  $P$ . We designate one of these two arcs as the left boundary and the other as the right boundary, and we denote these two boundaries by  $LB$  and  $RB$ , respectively. For convenience, we will choose these arcs so that  $T_P(LB) = RB$ . Let  $C_{LB} = C_{LB}(f, P)$ , the cluster set of  $f$  at  $P$  relative to the arc  $LB$ , and let  $C_{RB} = C_{RB}(f, P)$ , the cluster set of  $f$  at  $P$  relative to the arc  $RB$ . Finally, let  $C_{F_0}(f, P)$  denote the cluster set of  $f$  at  $P$  relative to the set  $F_0$ . We wish to show that our accumulated assumptions imply that  $C_{LB} = C_{RB} = C_{F_0}(f, P)$ , and that each of these sets is a singleton set, say  $\{\alpha\}$ , where either  $\alpha = A$  or  $\alpha = B$ .

If  $w \in C_{LB}$  then there exists a sequence  $\{\omega_n\}$  of points in  $LB$  such that  $f(\omega_n) \rightarrow w$ . But  $T_P$  sends each point  $\omega_n$  into  $RB$ , and also  $d(\omega_n, T_P(\omega_n)) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $h(z) = |f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically in  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ , we have that  $h(T_P(\omega_n)) = |S_{T_P}(f(\omega_n))| \rightarrow |w|$ , and thus  $|S_{T_P}(w)| = |w|$ . Now suppose that  $C_{LB}$  is not contained in any great circle through the points  $A$  and  $B$ . Let  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  be points in  $C_{LB}$ , where  $w_1, w_2, A$ , and  $B$  are all different points and  $w_2$  does not lie on the great circle  $C_1$  determined by  $A, B$ , and  $w_1$ . Since the rotation  $S_{T_P}$  fixes the two points  $A$  and  $B$  on the unit circle, and  $|S_{T_P}(w_1)| = |w_1|$ , we have that  $S_{T_P}$  sends the circle  $C_1$  onto another circle  $C_1^*$ , where  $C_1^*$  contains both the points  $A$  and  $B$  and  $C_1^*$  is the reflection of  $C_1$  across the great circle determined by  $A, B$ , and  $\infty$ . Similarly, if we denote by  $C_2$  the great circle determined by  $A, B$ , and  $w_2$ , then  $S_{T_P}(C_2) = C_2^*$ , where  $C_2^*$  is the reflection of  $C_2$  across the great circle determined by  $A, B$ , and  $\infty$ . However,  $S_{T_P}$  is a rotation of  $W$ , which means that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  should be rotated by the same angle. But since  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are different circles, one of the pairs  $C_1$  and  $C_1^*$  or  $C_2$  and  $C_2^*$  occurs between the other, which means that the angle between  $C_1$  and  $C_1^*$  cannot be the same as the angle between  $C_2$  and  $C_2^*$ . Thus, we have shown that  $C_{LB}$  (and hence  $C_{RB}$ ) lies on a great circle through  $A$  and  $B$ .

Suppose that  $C_{LB}$  and  $C_{RB}$  each consist of more than one point. If  $C_{LB}$  is a subset of the unit circle  $\{w : |w| = 1\}$ , then  $C_{RB}$  is a subset of this same circle, which means that  $S_{T_P}(w) = e^{i\lambda}w$  for some choice of  $\lambda$ , and  $C_{F_0} \cup S_{T_P}(C_{F_0})$  contains the outer angular cluster set of  $f$  at  $P$ . Since we have assumed that  $(1 - |z'_n|^2) \cdot f^\#(z'_n) \rightarrow \infty$  and that  $z'_n \rightarrow P$  radially, we must have that the outer angular cluster set of  $f$  at  $P$  is total. But this means that  $C_{F_0}$  must contain at least one of the points  $0$  or  $\infty$ .

Now suppose that  $C_{LB}$  contains points  $w$  such that  $|w| \neq 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $C_{LB}$  contains a point  $w$  with  $|w| < 1$ . Let  $w_1 \in C_{LB}$

be such that  $|w_1| = \min \{|w| : w \in C_{LB}\}$ . Since we have shown that  $|S_{T_P}(w)| = |w|$  for  $w \in C_{LB}$ , we conclude that  $|w_1| > 0$ , for we are dealing with a case where 0 is not a fixed point of  $S_{T_P}$ . Let  $\{\beta_n\}$  be a sequence of points in  $LB$  converging to  $P$  such that  $f(\beta_n) \rightarrow w_1$ , and let  $\gamma_n$  denote the circle through  $\beta_n$  which is internally tangent to the unit circle at  $P$ . Then  $T_P(\beta_n) \in \gamma_n$  and  $d(\beta_n, T_P(\beta_n)) \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $f(\gamma_n)$  is a curve on the Riemann sphere containing both  $f(\beta_n)$  and  $S_{T_P}(f(\beta_n))$ . If  $t_n$  is a point of  $\gamma_n \cap F_0$ , then since  $h(z) = |f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically on the closure of  $F_0$ , we must have that  $|f(t_n)| \rightarrow |w_1|$ . But by the continuity of  $f$  we can choose the point  $t_n$  so that  $f(t_n)$  lies on the great circle through the points  $0, \infty$ , and  $(w_1 + S_{T_P}(w_1))/2$ . Since the limit points of the sequence  $\{f(t_n)\}$  lie in the set  $C_{F_0}$ , it follows that  $C_{F_0}$  contains a point in the component of the complement of  $C_{LB} \cup C_{RB}$  which contains the origin. A basic result of cluster set theory says that the boundary of the set  $C_{F_0}(f, P)$  is contained in the union of the two sets  $C_{LB}$  and  $C_{RB}$  [3, Theorem 5.2.1, page 91]. Thus, we must have that  $0 \in C_{F_0}$ . From this reasoning, it follows that under the assumptions we have made, we must have either  $0 \in C_{F_0}$  or  $\infty \in C_{F_0}$ . Suppose, for definiteness, that  $0 \in C_{F_0}(f, P)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{\tau_n\}$  in  $F_0$  such that  $f(\tau_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Letting  $\gamma_n$  again be an arc of the circle through  $P$  and  $\tau_n$  which is internally tangent to the unit circle at  $P$ , we can repeat the same reasoning as in the previous paragraph to conclude that  $0 \in C_{LB}$  and  $0 \in C_{RB}$ . But this violates what we have shown above. Thus, the only possibility remaining is that  $C_{LB}$  and  $C_{RB}$  coincide as a singleton set, which must be a fixed point of  $S_{T_P}$ . Now, it follows from the argument just completed that  $C_{F_0}(f, P) = C_{LB} = C_{RB}$ . For definiteness, let  $A$  be the point which is the single element of the sets  $C_{LB}$ ,  $C_{RB}$ , and  $C_{F_0}(f, P)$ .

Now let  $U_n = U(z'_n, b)$  be the hyperbolic disc with center at  $z'_n$  and hyperbolic radius  $b$ , where  $b > 0$  is a fixed number. Since  $C_{F_0}(f, P) = \{A\}$ , for a given number  $\delta > 0$  there exists a number  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\chi(f(z), A) < \delta$  whenever  $z \in \bar{F}_0 \cap D$  and  $|z - P| < \beta$ . Let  $D_\beta = \{z : |z - (1 - (\beta/2))P| < \beta/2\}$ . For  $z \in D_\beta$  there exists an integer  $n(z)$  such that  $T_P^{n(z)}(z) \in D_\beta \cap \bar{F}_0$ , which means that  $\chi(f(z), A) = \chi(S_{T_P}^{n(z)}(f(z)), A) < \beta$ . But, for  $n$  sufficiently large, the disk  $U_n$  is a subset of  $D_\beta$ . Hence, for each complex number  $t$ , we have  $\chi(g_*(t), A) < \delta$ , which, for  $\delta < 1/2$ , contradicts the assumption that  $g_*(t)$  is a non-constant meromorphic function. Thus, Case (2c) is also impossible.

We have now shown that the assumption that  $f(z)$  is not a normal function is inconsistent with the other assumptions on  $f(z)$  and  $\Gamma$ , and so Theorem 1 is proved.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Assume that  $f(z)$  is a rotation automorphic function such that  $h(z) = |f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically in  $\bar{F}_0 \cap D$ , where  $F_0$  is thick, and assume that  $f(z)$  is not a normal function. Again, by the result of Lohwater and Pommerenke cited above, there exist a sequence of points  $\{z_n\}$  in  $D$  and a sequence of positive real numbers  $\{p_n\}$  such that  $p_n/(1 - |z_n|) \rightarrow 0$  and the

sequence of functions  $\{f_n(t)=f(z_n+p_nt)\}$  converges uniformly on each compact subset of the complex plane to a non-constant meromorphic function  $g(t)$ .

As in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a sequence of elements  $\{T_n\}$  in  $\Gamma$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $z'_n=T_n(z_n)\in\bar{F}_0$  and, if  $\Phi_n(t)=T_n(z_n+p_nt)-z'_n$ , then the sequence of functions  $\{f(z'_n+\Phi_n(t))\}$  converges uniformly on each compact subset of the plane to a non-constant meromorphic function  $g_*(t)$ , where we replace  $\{z'_n+\Phi_n(t)\}$  by a subsequence, if necessary. Also, we have that  $d(z'_n, z'_n+\Phi_n(t))\rightarrow 0$  for each complex number  $t$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, it is no loss of generality to assume that the sequence  $\{z'_n\}$  converges to a point of the unit circle  $\{z: |z|=1\}$ .

Let  $r>0$  be fixed. Since  $F_0$  is thick, there exists a real number  $r'>0$  and a sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  in  $F_0$  such that  $d(z'_n, \zeta_n)<r$  and the hyperbolic disk  $U_n(\zeta_n, r')=\{z\in D: d(z, \zeta_n)<r'\}$  is a subset of  $F_0$ . Let  $\Delta_n$  denote the hyperbolic triangle formed by taking  $z'_n$  as one vertex and letting the side opposite  $z'_n$  be a hyperbolic chord  $L_n$  of  $U(\zeta_n, r')$  with hyperbolic length  $r'$ , and such that  $\Delta_n$  is isosceles with the altitude on the side  $L_n$  as large as possible. Since  $r'$  is independent of the sequence  $\{z'_n\}$ , there exists a number  $\alpha>0$ , independent of  $n$ , such that for each  $n$  the size of the angle of  $\Delta_n$  at the vertex  $z'_n$  is at least  $\alpha$ . (Here,  $\Delta_n$  is a hyperbolic triangle with hyperbolic altitude at most  $r+r'$  and hyperbolic base  $r'$ , where  $r$  and  $r'$  are fixed. By applying a linear transformation of  $D$  which sends the point  $z'_n$  to the origin, it is possible to calculate a specific value of  $\alpha$  in terms of  $r$  and  $r'$ , but it is enough for our purposes to know that  $\alpha$  is a fixed positive number.) Since  $F_0$  is hyperbolically convex,  $\Delta_n^\circ$ , the interior of  $\Delta_n$ , is a subset of  $F_0\cap D$ . Let  $\Delta'_n=T_n^{-1}(\Delta_n^\circ)$ . Then  $\Delta'_n$  is an open hyperbolic triangular region with a vertex angle at least  $\alpha$  at the vertex  $z_n$ , and the hyperbolic distance from  $z_n$  to the opposite side of the triangular region is at least  $r'$ . Let  $B_n=\{t: z_n+p_nt\in\Delta'_n\}$ . By simple geometric considerations, each of the regions  $\Delta'_n$  contains a Euclidean triangle  $X_n$ , where  $X_n$  has a vertex at  $z_n$  with vertex angle  $\alpha/2$  and the hyperbolic distance from  $z_n$  to the opposite side of  $X_n$  is bounded away from zero. Since  $p_n/(1-|z_n|)\rightarrow 0$ , it follows that  $B_n$  contains a triangle  $\pi_n$  with vertex at the origin and such that, if  $h_n$  is the Euclidean altitude to the side of the triangle  $\pi_n$  opposite the origin then  $h_n\rightarrow\infty$ . Thus, there exists a sector  $S$  at the origin with opening  $\alpha/2$  such that, if  $t\in S$  then  $t\in B_n$  for infinitely many  $n$ .

Recall that we are assuming that the sequence  $\{f(z'_n+\Phi_n(t))\}$  converges uniformly on each compact subset of the complex plane to the non-constant function  $g_*(t)$ . But if  $t\in S$  then  $z'_n+\Phi_n(t)\in\Delta_n^\circ$ . However, the conditions that  $d(z'_n, z'_n+\Phi_n(t))\rightarrow 0$  and  $h(z)=|f(z)|$  is uniformly continuous hyperbolically in  $\bar{F}_0\cap D$  mean that  $|g_*(t)|=|g_*(0)|$  whenever  $t\in S$ . But this implies that the function  $g_*(t)$  is a constant function, in violation of our previous assumptions. It follows that  $f$  is a normal function, and the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

## References

- [1] AULASKARI, R.: On the boundary behaviour of a rotation automorphic function with finite spherical Dirichlet integral. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 9, 1984, 125—131.
- [2] AULASKARI, R., and P. LAPPAN: A criterion for a rotation automorphic function to be normal. - *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* 15, 1987, 73—79.
- [3] COLLINGWOOD, E. F., and A. J. LOHWATER: *The theory of cluster sets.* - Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.
- [4] HAYMAN, W. K.: *Uniformly normal families.* - University of Michigan Press, Ann. Arbor, 1955, 199—212.
- [5] LEHTO, O., and K. I. VIRTANEN: Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions.- *Acta Math.* 97, 1957, 47—65.
- [6] LOHWATER, A. J., and CH. POMMERENKE: On normal meromorphic functions. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* 550, 1973, 1—12.

University of Joensuu  
Department of Mathematics  
SF-80100 Joensuu  
Finland

Michigan State University  
Department of Mathematics  
East Lansing, Michigan 48824  
USA

Received 2 February 1987