

## ON HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

R. Mikulevičius and H. Pragarauskas

In the paper of N.V. Krylov and M.V. Safonov [6] (see [5], [4] as well) estimates of Hölder norms are obtained for solutions of second-order parabolic and elliptic partial differential equations in nonvariational form with measurable coefficients. Although analytical methods are used in [6], an important role is played by the properties of corresponding diffusion processes, namely by the estimates of Green measures ([3], [5]).

In the present paper we estimate Hölder norms or the modulus of continuity of solutions of integro-differential equations with measurable coefficients associated with Ito's processes. Estimates of the Green measures of Ito's processes are used ([1], [7]).

In Section 1 of this paper we formulate the main result which is proved in Section 3. In Section 2 some auxiliary results are presented.

### 1. Statement of the problem

Let  $\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1} = \{(t, x) : t \in \mathbf{R}, x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbf{R}^d\}$ . We denote

$$|x| = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \quad \rho(z, z') = |x - x'| + |t - t'|^{1/2},$$

$$z = (t, x), \quad z' = (t', x') \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}.$$

If  $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ , then we write  $\bar{Q}$  for the closure,  $\partial Q$  for the boundary and  $1_Q$  for the indicator function of the set  $Q$ .

Let  $B(Q)$  be the set of all measurable functions  $u$  on  $Q$  such that  $\|u\|_{\infty, Q} = \sup_{z \in Q} |u(z)| < \infty$ . Let  $L^p(Q)$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , be the set of measurable functions  $u$  on  $Q$  such that  $\|u\|_{p, Q} = \left\{ \int_Q |u(t, x)|^p dt dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty$ . We denote by  $W_p^{1,2}$  the completion of the set  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$  (of all smooth functions on  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$  with compact support) with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_p^{1,2}} = \|\partial_t u\|_{p, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \|u_{x_i x_j}\|_{p, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^d \|u_{x_i}\|_{p, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} + \|u\|_{p, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}}.$$

For a domain  $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$  we define  $W_p^{1,2}(Q) = \{u|_Q : u \in W_p^{1,2}\}$  and the norm  $\|u\|_{W_p^{1,2}(Q)}$  replacing in the above formula  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$  by  $Q$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_d^+$  be the set of all non-negative symmetric  $d \times d$ -matrices and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of non-negative Radon measures  $\pi$  on  $E = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\int |y|^2 \wedge 1 \pi(dy) < \infty$ .

Fix  $K > \nu > 0$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 2]$  and denote by  $\Gamma = \Gamma(\delta) = \Gamma(\delta, \nu, K)$  the set of measurable functions  $\gamma : \mathbf{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d^+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\gamma(\cdot) = (a(\cdot), b(\cdot), \pi(\cdot))$ , such that

$$|a| + |b| + \int |y|^\delta \wedge 1 \pi(\cdot, dy) \leq K, \quad a \geq \nu I,$$

where  $I$  is the unit  $d \times d$ -matrix. Let  $G = G(K)$  be the set of measurable functions  $r : \mathbf{R}^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $|r| \leq K$ .

For  $r \in G$ ,  $\gamma = (a, b, \pi) \in \Gamma$  we introduce the operator  $L = L(r, \gamma)$  acting on  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Lu(t, x) &= \partial_t u(t, x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t, x) u_{x_i x_j}(t, x) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(t, x) u_{x_i}(t, x) + r(t, x) u(t, x) \\ &+ \int [u(t, x + y) - u(t, x) - \sum_{i=1}^d u_{x_i}(t, x) y_i 1_{|y| \leq 1}] \pi(t, x, dy). \end{aligned}$$

The main result of this paper is the following statement.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $Q' \subset Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$  be open subsets,  $\rho_0 = \inf\{|x - x'| + |t - t'|^{1/2} : (t', x') \in Q', (t, x) \in \partial Q, t' > t\} > 0$ ,  $u \in B(\mathbf{R}^{d+1}) \cap W_{d+1}^{1,2}(Q)$ ,  $L = L(r, \gamma)$ ,  $r \in G$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma(\delta)$ .

Then for each  $z, z' \in Q'$

$$|u(z') - u(z)| \leq \Phi_\delta(\rho(z', z)) (\|u\|_{\infty, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} + \|Lu\|_{d+1, Q}),$$

where  $\Phi_\delta(R) = NR^\alpha$  for some constants  $\alpha = \alpha(d, \nu, \delta, K) > 0$ ,  $N = N(d, \nu, K, \rho_0) > 0$ , if  $\delta < 2$ ; and  $\Phi_2$  depends only on  $d, \nu, K, \rho_0$  and  $\Phi_2(R) \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \downarrow 0$ .

## 2. Auxiliary results

Let  $D = D_{[-\infty, \infty)}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  be the set of  $\mathbf{R}^d$ -valued cadlag functions on  $[-\infty, \infty)$  with canonical process  $X$ ,  $X_t(\omega) = \omega_t$ ,  $\omega \in D$ ,  $\mathcal{D} = \sigma\{X_u, u \in [-\infty, \infty)\}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_t^s = \sigma\{X_u, u \in [s, t]\}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}^s = (\mathcal{D}_{t+}^s)_{t \geq s}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}(\delta) = \{L(0, \gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma(\delta)\}$ . For  $L \in \mathcal{L}(\delta)$ ,  $(s, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$  we denote by  $S_{s,x}(L)$  the set of probability measures  $P$  on  $(D, \mathcal{D})$  such that  $P\{X_u = x, \text{ for all } u \leq s\} = 1$  and for each  $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$  the process

$$u(t, X_t) - \int_s^t Lu(v, X_v) dv$$

is a  $(\mathbf{D}^s, P)$ -martingale. According to [1],  $S_{s,x}(L) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $(s, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{L}(\delta)$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 2]$ .

For  $R \in (0, 1]$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  we define the process

$$X_u^{R,s} = R^{-1} X_{[R^2(u-s)+s] \vee s}.$$

**Remark 1.** If  $\gamma = (a, b, \pi)$ ,  $L = L(0, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L}(\delta)$ ,  $P \in S_{s,x}(L)$ , then  $X^{R,s}(P) \in S_{s,x}(L(0, \tilde{\gamma}))$  and  $L(0, \tilde{\gamma}) \in \mathcal{L}(\delta)$ .

In fact, it is easy to see that  $\tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\pi})$ , where  $\tilde{a}(t, x) = a(R^2t, Rx)$ ,  $\tilde{b}(t, x) = b(R^2t, Rx)R$ ,  $\tilde{\pi} = (t, x, dy) = R^2 \int 1_{dy}(z/R)\pi(R^2t, Rx, dz)$ .

Put

$$S_{s,x}^{(2)} = \bigcup_{L \in \mathcal{L}(2)} S_{s,x}(L).$$

In [1] the following statement is proved.

**Lemma 1.** *There is a constant  $N = N(\nu, K)$  such that for each  $(s, x) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $P \in S_{s,x}^{(2)}$ ,  $f \in B(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$*

$$\mathbf{E} \int_s^\infty e^{-(u-s)} f(u, X_u) du \leq N \|f\|_{d+1, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}}.$$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $Q$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $\tau = \inf\{t : (t, X_t) \notin Q\}$ ,  $P \in S_{s,x}^{(2)}$ ,  $f \in B(Q)$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{E} \int_s^\tau f(u, X_u) du \leq N e^{\text{diam } Q} \|f\|_{d+1, Q}.$$

For  $T > 0$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$  we denote  $C_{T,R} = (0, T) \times \{x : |x| < R\} \subset \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $K_R^z = z + C_{R^2, R}$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $z = (t_1, x_1) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $R \in (0, 1)$ ,  $Q = K_R^z$ ,  $(s, x) \in Q$ ,  $\tau = \inf\{t > s : (t, X_t) \notin Q\}$ ,  $P \in S_{s,x}^{(2)}$ ,  $f \in L^{d+1}(Q)$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{E} \int_s^\tau f(u, X_u) du \leq N e R^{d/(d+1)} \|f\|_{d+1, Q}.$$

*Proof.* In fact, a change of variables  $u = R^2(\tilde{u} - s) + s$  gives

$$J = \mathbf{E} \int_s^{\tau'} f(u, X_u) du = R^2 \mathbf{E} \int_s^{\tau'} f(R^2(u - s) + s, RX_u^{R,s}) du,$$

where  $\tau' = \inf\{u > s : (u, X_u^{R,s}) \notin (t_1, R^{-1}x_1) + C_{v,1}\}$ ,  $v = [R^2 + (s - t_1)]R^{-2} + s$ . Thus by Remark 1 and Corollary 1

$$\begin{aligned} |J| &\leq R^2 eN \left\{ \int_s^{t_1+v} \int_{|x - R^{-1}x_1| < 1} |f(R^2(u - s) + s, Rx)|^{d+1} ds dx \right\}^{1/(d+1)} \\ &\leq NeR^{d/(d+1)} \|f\|_{d+1, Q}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $Q$  is a domain in  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define  $Q^\varepsilon = \{(t, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1} : |y - x| < \varepsilon, (t, x) \in Q\}$ .

The paper [2] contains the following statement.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $Q$  be a domain in  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is a constant  $N = N(d)$  such that for each  $u \in W_{d+1}^{1,2}(Q^\varepsilon)$*

$$\|T^\varepsilon u\|_{d+1, Q} \leq N \|u\|_{W_{d+1}^{1,2}(Q^\varepsilon)},$$

where

$$T^\varepsilon u(t, x) = \sup_{|y| \leq \varepsilon} |y|^{-2} |u(t, x + y) - u(t, x) - \sum_{i=1}^d u_{x_i}(t, x) y_i|.$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $Q$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $(s, x) \in Q$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $u \in B(\mathbf{R}^{d+1}) \cap W_{d+1}^{1,2}(Q^\varepsilon)$ ,  $\tau = \inf\{t : (t, X_t) \notin Q\}$ ,  $P \in S_{s,x}(L)$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{L}(2)$ . Then the process*

$$u(t \wedge \tau, X_{t \wedge \tau}) - \int_s^{t \wedge \tau} Lu(r, X_r) dr$$

is a  $(\mathbf{D}^s, P)$ -martingale.

*Proof.* Let  $u_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{d+1})$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow \hat{u}$  in  $W_{d+1}^{1,2}$ ,  $\hat{u}|_{Q^\varepsilon} = u|_{Q^\varepsilon}$ . Set  $\tilde{u}_n = u_n 1_{Q^\varepsilon} + u 1_{\mathbf{R}^{d+1} \setminus Q^\varepsilon}$ . By Lemma 3 [7] the statement is true for  $\tilde{u}_n$ . Since  $\|\tilde{u}_n - u\|_{\infty, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the statement for  $u$  follows then from Lemma 2 and Corollary 1.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\varepsilon, \theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $R_0 > 0$ ,  $p_0 > 1$ ,  $c_1, c_2 > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ,  $u \in B(K_{R_0}^z)$ ,  $w_R = \text{osc}\{u; K_R^z\} = \sup\{u(y) : y \in K_R^z\} - \inf\{u(y) : y \in K_R^z\}$ ,  $R < R_0$ . Then:*

a) *if for some  $p > 1$  and each  $R \leq R_0/p$*

$$(1) \quad w_R \leq \theta w_{pR} + c_1 R^\varepsilon,$$

then for each  $R \leq R_0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < \alpha_0 \wedge \varepsilon$

$$w_R \leq N(w_{R_0} + c_1)R^\alpha$$

(here  $N = N(\theta, p, \varepsilon, R_0, \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha_0 = -\log_p \theta$ ),

b) if for some  $p \geq p_0$ ,  $R \leq R_0/p$

$$(2) \quad w_R \leq \theta w_{pR} + c_1 R^\varepsilon + c_2 p^{-2},$$

then for some  $r_0 = r_0(p_0, \theta)$  and each  $R \leq r_0$

$$w_R \leq N(w_{R_0} + c_1 + c_2)\theta^{\sqrt{\xi-2}\sqrt{\xi-\dots}},$$

where  $N = N(R_0, \theta, \varepsilon)$ ,  $\xi = 2 \log_{1/\theta}(R_0/R) - 1$ .

*Proof.* a) Let  $R_k = p^{-k}R_0$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Iterating the inequality (1) we see, that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{R_k} &\leq \theta^k w_{R_0} + c_1 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \theta^i R_{k-i}^\varepsilon \\ &= p^{-k\alpha_0} w_{R_0} + c_1 R_0^\varepsilon p^{-k\varepsilon} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^{(\varepsilon-\alpha_0)i}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for  $\alpha < \alpha_0 \wedge \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} w_{R_k} &\leq p^{-k\alpha} [p^{-k(\alpha-\alpha_0)} w_{R_0} + c_1 R_0^\varepsilon p^{-k(\varepsilon-\alpha)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} p^{(\varepsilon-\alpha_0)i}] \\ &\leq p^{-k\alpha} [w_{R_0} + c_1 R_0^\varepsilon \sum_i p^{(\alpha-\alpha_0)i}] \leq N_1 (w_{R_0} + c_1) R_k^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$N_1 = R_0^{-\alpha} [1 + R_0^\varepsilon (1 - p^{(\alpha-\alpha_0)})^{-1}].$$

Fix an arbitrary  $R \leq R_0$ . There is  $k \geq 1$  such that  $R_{k+1} \leq R \leq R_k$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} w_R &\leq w_{R_k} \leq N_1 (w_{R_0} + c_1) R_k^\alpha \leq N_1 (w_{R_0} + c_1) R^\alpha \frac{R_k^\alpha}{R_{k+1}^\alpha} \\ &= N_1 p^\alpha (w_{R_0} + c_1) R^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

b) Let  $p \geq p_0$ ,  $R_k = p^{-k}R_0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $k \geq 1$  we have by (2)

$$w_{R_k} \leq \theta w_{R_{k-1}} + c_1 R_k^\varepsilon + c_2 p^{-2}.$$

Iterating this inequality we see that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{R_k} &\leq \theta^k w_{R_0} + c_1 \sum_{i=0}^k \theta^i R_{k-i}^\varepsilon + c_2 p^{-2} \sum_{i=0}^k \theta^i \\ &\leq \theta^k w_{R_0} + c_1 R_0^\varepsilon \sum_{i=0}^k \theta^i p^{-(k-i)\varepsilon} + \frac{c_2}{1-\theta} p^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $p = \theta^{-k/2}$  for  $k \geq k_0 = 2 \log_{1/\theta} p_0$ , we obtain

$$w_{R_k} \leq \theta^k \left( w_{R_0} + \frac{c_2}{1-\theta} + c_1 c_3 \right) \leq \theta^k N,$$

where  $N = (w_{R_0} + c_1 + c_2)(1 - (1 - \theta)^{-1} + c_3)$ ,  $c_3 = R_0^\varepsilon \sum_i \theta^{-i+\varepsilon i^2/2}$ . Thus for  $k \geq k_0$  we have

$$w_{R_k} \leq N \theta^{\sqrt{-2 \log_{1/\theta}(R_k/R_0)}}.$$

Fix  $R \leq R_{[k_0]+1}$ . Then there is  $k \geq [k_0] + 1$  such that  $R_{k+1} \leq R \leq R_k$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} w_R \leq w_{R_k} &\leq N \theta^{\sqrt{-2 \log_{1/\theta}(R_k/R_0)}} \leq N \theta^{\sqrt{-2 \log_{1/\theta}(RR_k/R_0 R_{k+1})}} \\ &\leq N \theta^{\sqrt{-2 \log_{1/\theta}(R/R_0) - 2k - 1}} \leq N \theta^{\sqrt{\xi - 2\sqrt{\xi} - \dots}} \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $A = L(r, \gamma) \in \mathcal{L}(\delta)$ ,  $\delta \in (0, 2]$ ,  $\gamma = (a, b, \pi) \in \Gamma$ ,  $r \in G$ ,  $R_0 = 1 \wedge \rho_0$ ,  $z \in Q'$ ,  $R < R_0/4$ ,  $R_1 > 0$ . We shall estimate the oscillation of the function  $u$  on  $K_R^z$ . Let  $\bar{u}_\rho = \sup\{u(z') : z' \in K_\rho^z\}$ ,  $\underline{u}_\rho = \inf\{u(y) : y \in K_\rho^z\}$ ,  $w_\rho = \bar{u}_\rho - \underline{u}_\rho$ ,  $\rho > 0$ . Introduce the processes

$$\xi_t^i = \int_{-\infty}^t [ \int_{(s, X_s+y) \notin K_{2R+R_1}^z} (c_i - u(s, X_s+y)) \pi(s, X_s, dy) - r(s, X_s) u(s, X_s) ] 1_{K_{2R}^z}(s, X_s) ds,$$

$i = 1, 2$ , with  $c_1 = \bar{u}_{2R+R_1}$ ,  $c_2 = \underline{u}_{2R+R_1}$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} Q_R^{(1)} &= \{(t, x) \in K_{2R}^z : 2u(t, x) \leq \bar{u}_R + \underline{u}_R\}, \\ Q_R^{(2)} &= \{(t, x) \in K_{2R}^z : 2u(t, x) \geq \bar{u}_R + \underline{u}_R\}. \end{aligned}$$

Two cases are possible:

- (3)  $2 \text{mes } Q_R^{(1)} \geq \text{mes } K_{2R}^z,$
- (4)  $2 \text{mes } Q_R^{(2)} \geq \text{mes } K_{2R}^z$

(here *mes* stands for the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^{d+1}$ ).

Consider the case (3). Let  $\bar{u}_R = u(t_0, x_0), (t_0, x_0) \in \overline{K_R^z}, P \in S_{t_0, x_0}(L(0, \gamma)),$   
 $\tau = \inf\{t > t_0 : (t, X_t) \notin K_{2R}^z\}, \tilde{u} = u \mathbf{1}_{K_{2R+R_1}^z} + \bar{u} \mathbf{1}_{2R+R_1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{R}^{d+1} \setminus K_{2R+R_1}^z}.$

By Lemma 3 the process

$$(5) \quad \tilde{u}(t \wedge \tau, X_{t \wedge \tau}) - u(t_0, x_0) - \int_{t_0}^{t \wedge \tau} Au(s, X_s) ds + \xi_{t \wedge \tau}^i - \xi_{t_0}^i$$

is a  $(\mathbf{D}^{t_0}, P)$ -martingale for  $i = 1.$

Let  $\tau_1 = \inf\{t > t_0 : (t, X_t) \in Q_R^{(1)}\}, \beta_1 = P(\tau > \tau_1).$  Because of (5)

$$\bar{u}_R \leq \frac{1}{2} \beta_1 (\bar{u}_R + \underline{u}_R) + (1 - \beta_1) c_1 + \mathbf{E} \left| \int_{t_0}^{\tau \wedge \tau_1} Au(s, X_s) ds \right| + \mathbf{E} |\xi_{\tau \wedge \tau_1}^1 - \xi_{t_0}^1|.$$

By subtracting  $\underline{u}_R$  from both sides of this inequality we easily see that

$$(6) \quad w_R \leq (1 - \beta_i/2) w_{2R+R_1} + 2\mathbf{E} |\xi_{\tau \wedge \tau_i}^i - \xi_{t_0}^i| + 2\mathbf{E} \left| \int_{t_0}^{\tau \wedge \tau_i} Au(s, X_s) ds \right|$$

for  $i = 1.$  By Corollary 2 [7] there is a constant  $\delta = \delta(\nu, K) > 0$  such that  $\beta_1 \geq \delta.$   
 Thus

$$(7) \quad w_R \leq \theta w_{2R+R_1} + 2\mathbf{E} |\xi_{\tau \wedge \tau_i}^i - \xi_{t_0}^i| + 2\mathbf{E} \left| \int_{t_0}^{\tau \wedge \tau_i} Au(s, X_s) ds \right|$$

for  $i = 1, \theta = 1 - \delta/2.$

Consider now the case (4). Let  $\underline{u}_R = u(t_0, x_0), (t_0, x_0) \in \overline{K_R^z}, \tau_2 = \inf\{t > t_0 : (t, X_t) \in Q_R^{(2)}\}, \beta_2 = P(\tau > \tau_2), \tilde{u} = u \mathbf{1}_{K_{2R+R_1}^z} + \underline{u} \mathbf{1}_{2R+R_1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{R}^{d+1} \setminus K_{2R+R_1}^z}.$  Then for  $i = 2$  the process (5) is a  $(\mathbf{D}^{t_0}, P)$ -martingale. It is easy to see that

$$\underline{u}_R \geq (1 - \beta_2) \underline{u}_{2R+R_1} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 (\bar{u}_R + \underline{u}_R) - \mathbf{E} |\xi_{\tau \wedge \tau_2}^2 - \xi_{t_0}^2| - \mathbf{E} \left| \int_{t_0}^{\tau \wedge \tau_2} Au(s, X_s) ds \right|$$

and (6), (7) are true for  $i = 2$  as well.

It remains to estimate

$$I^i = \mathbf{E} \left| \int_{t_0}^{\tau \wedge \tau_i} Au(s, X_s) ds \right| + \mathbf{E} |\xi_{\tau \wedge \tau_i}^i - \xi_{t_0}^i|, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

From Corollary 2 we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} I^i &\leq N(\nu, K) R^{d/(d+1)} (\|Au\|_{d+1, K_{2R}^z} + \|u\|_{\infty, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} R^{(d+2)/(d+1)} \\ &\cdot \|\pi(\cdot, \{|y| > R_1\})\|_{\infty, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} + 1) \\ &\leq N(\nu, K) [R^{d/(d+1)} \|Au\|_{d+1, K_{2R}^z} + \|u\|_{\infty, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} (1 + R_1^{-\delta} \wedge 1) R^2]. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\delta < 2$  we obtain from (7)

$$w_R \leq \theta w_{3R} + N_1 (\|Au\|_{d+1, K_{2R}^z} + \|u\|_{\infty, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}}) R^\varepsilon,$$

by taking  $R_1 = R$ ; here  $\varepsilon = (d/(d+1)) \wedge (2 - \delta) > 0$ ,  $N_1 = N_1(\nu, K)$ . The statement of the theorem for  $\delta < 2$  follows then from the part a) of Lemma 4.

If  $\delta = 2$  we obtain from (7), (8) for each  $p \geq 3$ ,  $R \leq R_0/p$

$$w_R \leq \theta w_{pR} + NR^{d/(d+1)} (\|Au\|_{d+1, K_{2R}^z} + \|u\|_{\infty, \mathbf{R}^{d+1}} p^{-2}),$$

by taking  $R_1 = (p-2)R$ ; here  $N = N(\nu, K)$ . The statement of the theorem for  $\delta = 2$  follows then from the part b) of Lemma 4.

#### References

- [1] ANULOVA, S.V., and H. PRAGARAUSKAS: Weak Markov solutions of stochastic equations. - Lithuanian Math. J. 17, 1977, 141-155 (English translation of Litovsk. Mat. Sb. 17, 1977, 2, 5-26).
- [2] BONY, J.M.: Problème de Dirichlet et semi-groupe fortement fellérien associés à un opérateur intégro-différentiel. - C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A-B, 265, 1967, 361-364.
- [3] KRYLOV, N.V.: Some estimates of the probability density of a stochastic integral. - Math. USSR - Izv. 8, 1974, 233-254 (English translation of Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 38, 1974, 228-248).
- [4] KRYLOV, N.V.: Nonlinear parabolic and elliptic equations of second order. - Nauka, Moscow, 1985 (Russian).
- [5] KRYLOV, N.V., and M.V. SAFONOV: An estimate of the probability that a diffusion process hits a set of positive measure. - Soviet Math. Dokl. 20, 1979, 253-256 (English translation of Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 245, 1979, 18-20).
- [6] KRYLOV, N.V., and M.V. SAFONOV: A certain property of solutions of parabolic equations with measurable coefficients. - Math. USSR - Izv. 16, 1981, 151-164 (English translation of Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 44, 1980, 161-175).
- [7] MIKULEVIČIUS, R., and H. PRAGARAUSKAS: On Green measures of Ito's processes. - Litovsk. Mat. Sb. 27, 1987, 4, 711-723 (Russian).

Academy of Sciences Lithuanian SSR  
 Institute of Mathematics and Cybernetics  
 Akademijos 4  
 232021 Vilnius  
 USSR