

## COMPLETIONS OF $H$ -CONES

Sirkka-Liisa Eriksson-Bique

### Introduction

$H$ -cones ([2]) and hyperharmonic cones ([5]) are ordered convex cones possessing order properties similar to those of positive superharmonic and hyperharmonic functions, respectively, on harmonic spaces. An  $H$ -cone can always be extended to a hyperharmonic cone by adjoining to it an element  $\infty$ . This extension does not generally have potential-theoretic properties. In this paper we construct a completion of an  $H$ -cone which resembles a set of positive hyperharmonic functions on an  $\mathcal{S}$ -harmonic space. We recall that a harmonic space  $X$  is  $\mathcal{S}$ -harmonic if for any  $x \in X$  there exists a positive superharmonic function on  $X$  which is strictly positive at  $x$ .

In  $\mathcal{S}$ -harmonic spaces every positive hyperharmonic function is a pointwise supremum of an upward directed family of positive superharmonic functions [3, Corollary 2.3.1]. In our completion of an  $H$ -cone  $S$ , every element is a supremum of an upward directed family of elements in  $S$ .

We present three characterizations of a completion. A completion of an  $H$ -cone  $S$  is a set of some functions in  $S$  (Theorem 2.7). This idea of a completion is stated in [4, p. 18]. Moreover, a completion is a set of upward directed families for which an equivalence relation is defined (Theorem 2.8). This extension was considered in [6, Proposition 2.2.]. Lastly a completion of an  $H$ -cone  $S$  is a set of some subsets of  $S$  (Theorem 2.9).

If infima of pairs of functions and suprema of upward directed families are pointwise in an  $H$ -cone  $S$  of functions, then its completion is a set of functions that are pointwise suprema of upward directed families of functions of  $S$ . It is an open question whether this fact holds without the assumption that infima of pairs of functions are pointwise. A completion of the dual of an  $H$ -cone is given in [4, Proposition 2.6].

### 1. Preliminaries

Our basic structure is a partially ordered abelian semigroup  $(W, +, \leq)$  with a neutral element 0 and having the properties

$$(1.1) \quad u \geq 0$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad u \leq v \implies u + w \leq v + w$$

for all  $u, v, w \in W$ .

Along with the initial order ( $\leq$ ), we use another partial order  $\preceq$ , called *specific order*, defined as follows:

$$u \preceq v \text{ if } v = u + u' \text{ for some } u' \in W.$$

A structure  $(W, +, \leq)$  satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) is called an *ordered convex cone* if it admits an operation of multiplication by strictly positive real numbers such that for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$  and  $x, y \in W$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x + y) &= \alpha x + \alpha y, & (\alpha + \beta)x &= \alpha x + \beta x \\ (\alpha\beta)x &= \alpha(\beta x), & 1x &= x, \\ x \leq y &\implies \alpha x \leq \alpha y. \end{aligned}$$

A mapping  $\varphi$  from an ordered convex cone  $C$  onto an ordered convex cone  $D$  is called an *isomorphism* if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} s \leq t &\iff \varphi(s) \leq \varphi(t), \\ \varphi(s + t) &= \varphi(s) + \varphi(t), \\ \varphi(\alpha s) &= \alpha\varphi(s), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s, t \in C$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ . Ordered convex cones  $C$  and  $D$  are called *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $C$  onto  $D$ .

**Definition 1.1.** An ordered convex cone  $(W, +, \leq)$  is called a *hyperharmonic cone* if the following axioms hold:

- (H1) for any non-empty upward directed family  $F \subset W$  there exists a least upper bound  $\bigvee F$  satisfying

$$\bigvee(x + F) = x + \bigvee F$$

for all  $x \in W$ ,

- (H2) for any non-empty family  $F \subset W$  there exists a greatest lower bound  $\bigwedge F$  satisfying

$$\bigwedge(x + F) = x + \bigwedge F,$$

- (H3) for any  $u, v_1$  and  $v_2 \in W$  such that  $u \leq v_1 + v_2$  there exist  $u_1$  and  $u_2 \in W$  satisfying the properties  $u = u_1 + u_2$ ,  $u_1 \leq v_1$  and  $u_2 \leq v_2$ .

The theory of hyperharmonic cones is developed in [5], [6], [7] and [8]. We need the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(W, +, \leq)$  be an ordered convex cone. The structure  $(W, +, \leq)$  is a hyperharmonic cone if and only if axiom (H1) and the following properties hold:*

- (a) *for any  $u$  and  $v$  in  $W$ , the set  $\{w \in W : u \leq v + w\}$  has a least element denoted by  $S_v u$  and  $S_v u \preceq u$ ,*
- (b) *every non-empty subset  $E$  of  $W$  has a greatest lower bound.*

([5, Theorem 2.3]).

A partially ordered abelian semigroup with a neutral element  $0$  and satisfying (1.1), (1.2) and (a) is called a hyperharmonic structure by Arsove and Leutwiler in [1].

Note that (H3) leads to the inequality

$$(1.3) \quad u \wedge (v + w) \leq u \wedge v + u \wedge w$$

for all  $u, v$  and  $w$  in a hyperharmonic cone  $W$ .

An element  $u \in W$  is called *cancellable* if  $x + u \leq y + u$  implies  $x \leq y$  for all  $x, y \in W$ . Cancellable elements in hyperharmonic cones are the same as cancellable elements with respect to the specific order [5, Theorem 3.9]. A useful characterization of cancellable elements is the condition

$$(1.4) \quad u \text{ is cancellable} \iff \underline{u} = \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{u}{n} = 0.$$

The element  $\underline{u}$  ( $u \in W$ ) satisfies the following properties:

$$(1.5) \quad \underline{u} + u = u,$$

$$(1.6) \quad v \leq \underline{u} \iff v + u = u,$$

$$(1.7) \quad v \leq u \implies \underline{v} \leq \underline{u} \implies \underline{v} \preceq u \implies \underline{v} + u = u.$$

The proof of the above mentioned properties is stated in [5, Theorem 3.9].

The next result is helpful for handling uncancellable elements

**Proposition 1.3.** *If  $(W, +, \leq)$  is a hyperharmonic cone and  $u$  an element of  $W$  then  $(\underline{u} + W, +, \leq)$  is also a hyperharmonic cone. Moreover,  $u$  is cancellable in  $\underline{u} + W$  ([5, Proposition 4.1]).*

**Definition 1.4.** The set of cancellable elements of a hyperharmonic cone is called an  $H$ -cone.

Referring to [5, Remark 2.6 (a)] and [5, Theorem 3.13] our definition of an  $H$ -cone is equivalent to one given by Boboc, Bucur and Cornea [2, p. 27]. In the theory of  $H$ -cones the notation  $R(u - x)$  is used for the greatest lower bound of the set  $\{s : s \geq u - x\}$  (see [2, p. 40]). We prefer the notation  $S_x u$ , since the subtraction is not generally defined in a hyperharmonic cone. If  $S$  is an  $H$ -cone then  $R(u - x) = S_x u$  for all  $u, x \in S$ .

Let  $W$  be an ordered convex cone. A subset  $S$  is called *solid* in  $W$  if for any elements  $u$  in  $W$  and  $s$  in  $S$  the condition  $u \leq s$  implies  $u \in S$ . A subset  $S$  is called *order dense* in  $W$  if for any  $u$  in  $W$  there exists an upward directed subset  $F$  of  $S$  such that  $u = \bigvee F$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *If an ordered convex cone  $W$  satisfies (H1) and (H2) and has a solid and order dense subset possessing property (a) of Theorem 1.2 then  $W$  is a hyperharmonic cone.*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an ordered convex cone satisfying (H1) and (H2). Denote by  $S$  a solid and order dense subset of  $W$  enjoying property (a) of Theorem 1.2. Let  $u$  and  $x$  be arbitrary elements of  $W$ . In order to prove that  $W$  is a hyperharmonic cone it is enough by Theorem 1.2 to show that the set  $E = \{w \in W : u \leq w + x\}$  has a greatest lower bound and  $\bigwedge E \preceq u$ . Write  $u = \bigvee F$  for an upward directed subset  $F$  of  $S$ . We verify that

$$(1.8) \quad \bigwedge E = \bigvee_{t \in F} S_{t \wedge x} t.$$

Note that  $S_{t \wedge x} t$  exists for all  $t \in F$  and  $x \in W$  since  $S$  is solid and (a) holds in  $S$ . The set  $\{S_{t \wedge x} t : t \in W\}$  is directed upwards. Indeed, let  $s, t$  and  $r$  be elements of  $F$  such that  $r \geq s$  and  $r \geq t$ . From the inequalities  $s \leq S_{r \wedge x} r + s$  and  $r \leq S_{r \wedge x} r + r \wedge x$  we infer that

$$s = s \wedge r \leq (S_{r \wedge x} r + s) \wedge (S_{r \wedge x} r + r \wedge x) = S_{r \wedge x} r + s \wedge x.$$

Hence we have  $S_{r \wedge x} r \geq S_{s \wedge x} s$ . Similarly we see that  $S_{r \wedge x} r \geq S_{t \wedge x} t$ . Thus the family  $\{S_{s \wedge x} s : s \in F\}$  is directed upwards and by (H1) has the least upper bound denoted by  $w_0$ . The element  $w_0$  belongs to  $E$  since

$$x + w_0 \geq x \wedge t + S_{t \wedge x} t \geq t$$

for all  $t \in F$  and therefore  $x + w_0 \geq u$ .

Let  $w$  be an arbitrary element of  $W$  satisfying  $x + w \geq u$ . Then

$$w + x \wedge t = (w + x) \wedge (w + t) \geq u \wedge (w + t) \geq t$$

for all  $t \in F$ . There results  $w \geq S_{t \wedge x} t$  for all  $t \in F$  and further  $w \geq w_0$ . Hence  $w_0$  is the least element of  $E$ , verifying (1.8).

Lastly we show that  $w_0 \preceq u$ . From  $S_{t \wedge x} t \preceq t$  it follows that  $t = S_{t \wedge x} t + w_t$  for some  $m_t \in S$ . Put

$$v_s = \bigwedge_{\substack{t \geq s \\ t \in F}} m_t$$

for  $s \in F$ . Let  $s, t$  and  $r$  be elements of  $F$  such that  $r \geq s$  and  $r \geq t$ . Then we have

$$v_s + S_{t \wedge x} t \leq m_r + S_{r \wedge x} r = r \leq u.$$

By taking the least upper bounds we obtain

$$w_0 + \bigvee_{s \in F} v_s \leq u.$$

On the other hand,

$$w_0 + m_t \geq S_{t \wedge x} t + m_t = t \geq s$$

for all  $t \in F$  with  $t \geq s$ . This result implies  $w_0 + v_s \geq s$  for all  $s \in F$ , yielding  $w_0 + \bigvee_{s \in F} v_s \geq u$ . Hence the equality  $w_0 + \bigvee_{s \in F} v_s = u$  holds and therefore  $w_0 \preceq u$ , completing the proof.

**Corollary 1.6.** *If an ordered convex cone  $W$  satisfies (H1) and (H2) and has a solid order dense subset  $S$  which is an  $H$ -cone then  $W$  is a hyperharmonic cone.*

This Corollary follows from [2, Proposition 2.1.2] and Theorem 1.5.

## 2. Completion of an $H$ -cone

Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone. A hyperharmonic cone  $W$  is called a *completion* of an  $H$ -cone  $S$  if  $S$  is isomorphic with a solid and order dense subset of  $W$  and  $W$  satisfies the axiom

$$(H4) \quad \bigvee_{f \in F} w \wedge f = w \wedge (\bigvee F)$$

for all upward directed families  $F \subset W$  and  $w \in W$ .

Note that (H4) does not generally hold in hyperharmonic cones. A counter example is given in [5, Remark 4.18]. However, we can prove the following version of (H4):

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $W$  be a hyperharmonic cone. Then the identity*

$$\underline{\bigvee F} + \bigvee_{f \in F} w \wedge f = (\bigvee F) \wedge (w + \underline{\bigvee F})$$

*holds for any upward directed subset  $F$  of  $W$  and  $w \in W$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be an upward directed subset of  $W$  and  $w$  be an element of  $W$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $w \leq \bigvee F$ . Indeed, we have

$$\bigvee_{f \in F} f \wedge w = \bigvee_{f \in F} (f \wedge (w \wedge \bigvee F))$$

and further by (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned} (\bigvee F) \wedge (w + \bigvee F) &= (\bigvee F) \wedge (w + \bigvee F) \wedge (\bigvee F + \bigvee F) \\ &= (\bigvee F) \wedge ((w \wedge \bigvee F) + \bigvee F). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality

$$w + \bigvee F \geq w \geq \bigvee_{f \in F} w \wedge f$$

is clear. On the other hand  $w \leq \bigvee F$  implies that

$$\bigvee F + \bigvee_{f \in F} w \wedge f = \bigvee_{f \in F} ((f + \bigvee F) \wedge (w + \bigvee F)) \geq w + f$$

for all  $f \in F$ . Hence we have  $\bigvee F + \bigvee_{f \in F} w \wedge f \geq w + \bigvee F$ . Applying now (1.5) we obtain

$$\bigvee F + \bigvee_{f \in F} w \wedge f \geq w + \bigvee F.$$

This completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \bigvee_{f \in F} f \wedge s = (\bigvee F) \wedge s$$

for any upward directed bounded subset  $F$  of  $S$  and  $s \in S$ .

*Proof.* If  $F \subset S$  is bounded then  $\bigvee F$  is cancellable. This assertion follows from the preceding lemma.

Applying an observation stated in [4, p. 183], we will show that a completion of an  $H$ -cone is a set of mappings given below:

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone. Denote by  $\overline{S}$  the set of mappings  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S$  satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi(u \wedge v) = \varphi(u) \wedge v$$

for all  $u, v \in S$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone and  $\varphi$  a mapping from  $S$  into itself. Then the following statements are mutually equivalent:*

- (i)  $\varphi$  satisfies (2.2);
- (ii)  $\varphi(u \wedge v) = \varphi(u) \wedge \varphi(v)$  for all  $u, v \in S$  and if  $s \leq \varphi(u)$  for some  $s, u \in S$  then  $\varphi(s) = s$ ;
- (iii)  $\varphi(u) = \bigvee_{s \in S} u \wedge \varphi(s)$  for all  $u \in S$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\varphi$  satisfies (2.2) and  $u, v \in S$ . Then  $\varphi(u \wedge v) = u \wedge \varphi(v)$  and  $\varphi(u \wedge v) = v \wedge \varphi(u)$ , which yields

$$\varphi(u \wedge v) = u \wedge \varphi(v) \wedge v \wedge \varphi(u) = \varphi(u) \wedge \varphi(v).$$

This completes the proof of the first part of (ii). Suppose now that  $s \leq \varphi(u)$  for some  $s$  and  $u$  in  $S$ . Since

$$\varphi(u) = \varphi(u) \wedge \varphi(u) = \varphi(\varphi(u) \wedge u) = \varphi(\varphi(u \wedge u)) = \varphi^2(u)$$

we obtain

$$\varphi(s) = \varphi(s \wedge \varphi(u)) = s \wedge \varphi^2(u) = s \wedge \varphi(u) = s.$$

Hence (ii) holds.

Assume next that (ii) is true. Since  $u \wedge \varphi(s) \leq \varphi(s)$  and  $\varphi(s) \leq \varphi(s)$  we have  $u \wedge \varphi(s) = \varphi(u \wedge \varphi(s))$  and  $\varphi(s) = \varphi^2(s)$  by the second part of (ii). It follows that

$$\bigvee_{s \in S} u \wedge \varphi(s) = \bigvee_{s \in S} \varphi(u \wedge \varphi(s)) = \bigvee_{s \in S} \varphi(u) \wedge \varphi^2(s) = \bigvee_{s \in S} \varphi(u) \wedge \varphi(s) = \varphi(u).$$

Lastly assume that (iii) holds. Using Corollary 2.2 we notice that

$$\varphi(u \wedge v) = \bigvee_{s \in S} u \wedge v \wedge \varphi(s) = v \wedge \bigvee_{s \in S} u \wedge \varphi(s) = \varphi(u) \wedge v,$$

completing the proof.

A function  $\varphi$  satisfying (2.2) possesses the following properties:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone. If a mapping  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S$  satisfies (2.2), then the following properties hold for all  $u$  and  $v$  in  $S$ :*

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi(u) \leq u,$$

$$(2.4) \quad u \leq v \implies \varphi(u) \leq \varphi(v),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi^2(u) = \varphi(u),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi(u + v) \leq \varphi(u) + \varphi(v),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \varphi(u + v) = \varphi(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \varphi\left(\bigvee F\right) = \bigvee_{f \in F} \varphi(f) \quad \text{for all upward directed bounded subsets } F \text{ of } S.$$

*Proof.* The properties (2.3)–(2.5) are obvious. Applying (2.2) we see that  $\varphi(u) = \varphi((u + v) \wedge u) = \varphi(u + v) \wedge u$  and  $\varphi(v) = \varphi(u + v) \wedge v$ . Hence by (1.3) we have

$$u \wedge \varphi(u + v) + v \wedge \varphi(u + v) \geq (u + v) \wedge \varphi(u + v) = \varphi(u + v).$$

This result gives (2.6).

The inequalities  $\varphi(u) \leq u$  and  $\varphi(v) \leq v$  lead by (2.4) to  $\varphi(u + v) \geq \varphi(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v))$ . Since the converse inequality follows from (2.4)–(2.6), the property (2.7) is true.

Lastly Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.4 ensure that

$$\varphi\left(\bigvee F\right) = \bigvee_{s \in S} \left(\bigvee F\right) \wedge \varphi(s) = \bigvee_{s \in S} \bigvee_{f \in F} f \wedge \varphi(s) = \bigvee_{f \in F} \varphi(s)$$

finishing the proof.

Increasing mappings from  $S$  into  $S$  induce mappings satisfying (2.2).

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone and denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of increasing mappings  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S$ . Define a mapping  $\hat{\cdot} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  by*

$$\hat{\varphi}(u) = \bigvee_{s \in S} \varphi(s) \wedge u \quad (u \in S).$$

*Then the mapping  $\hat{\cdot}$  possesses the following properties:*

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{\varphi} \in \overline{\mathcal{S}},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \hat{\hat{\varphi}} = \hat{\varphi},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \mu \leq \varphi \implies \hat{\mu} \leq \hat{\varphi},$$

$$(2.12) \quad \widehat{\alpha\varphi}(u) = \alpha\hat{\varphi}(u/\alpha) = \widehat{\alpha\hat{\varphi}}(u),$$

$$(2.13) \quad (\widehat{\varphi + \mu})(u) = (\hat{\varphi}(u) + \hat{\mu}(u)) \wedge u,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \widehat{\varphi + \mu} = \widehat{\hat{\varphi} + \hat{\mu}},$$

for all  $u \in S$ ,  $\varphi, \mu \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Property (2.9) follows from Corollary 2.2, and (2.10) from Proposition 2.4 (iii). Properties (2.11) and (2.12) are clear. To prove (2.13), let  $\varphi$  and  $\mu$  be elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is increasing we infer

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\varphi}(u) + \widehat{\mu}(u)) \wedge u &= \bigvee_{\substack{s \in S \\ t \in S}} (\varphi(s) \wedge u + \mu(t) \wedge u) \wedge u \\ &= \bigvee_{\substack{s \in S \\ t \in S}} (\varphi(s) + \mu(t)) \wedge u \\ &= \bigvee_{s \in S} (\varphi(s) + \mu(s)) \wedge u = (\widehat{\varphi + \mu})(u). \end{aligned}$$

Property (2.14) follows directly from (2.13) and (2.10).

Let us define in  $\overline{S}$  multiplication by strictly positive real numbers and addition as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot \varphi &= \widehat{\alpha\varphi}, \\ \varphi \oplus \mu &= \widehat{\varphi + \mu}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\varphi, \mu \in \overline{S}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone and  $\leq$  the pointwise order in  $\overline{S}$ . Then  $(\overline{S}, \oplus, \leq)$  is a completion of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.6 it is easy to check that  $(\overline{S}, \oplus, \leq)$  is an ordered convex cone. We apply Theorem 1.5 to prove that  $\overline{S}$  is a completion of  $S$ . Let  $F$  be an upward directed family in  $\overline{S}$ . The mapping  $\mu : S \rightarrow S$  defined by  $\mu(s) = \bigvee_{\varphi \in F} \varphi(s)$  belongs to  $\overline{S}$  by Corollary 2.2 and  $\bigvee F = \mu$ . Hence the least upper bound is translation invariant, and so (H1) holds in  $\overline{S}$ .

Let  $F$  be a subset of  $\overline{S}$ . Then the mapping  $\mu : S \rightarrow S$  defined by  $\mu(u) = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in F} \varphi(s)$  belongs to  $\overline{S}$  and  $\bigwedge F = \mu$ . Thus (H2) holds in  $\overline{S}$ .

Let us define the mapping  $i : S \rightarrow \overline{S}$  by  $i(s)(u) = s \wedge u$  for  $u$  and  $s$  in  $S$ . Obviously the mapping  $i$  is well-defined. We show that  $i$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $S$  onto  $i(S)$ . If  $i(s) \leq i(t)$  for  $s, t \in S$  then  $s \wedge u \leq t \wedge u$  for all  $u \in S$ . Hence  $s \leq t \wedge s \leq t$ . There results

$$i(s) \leq i(t) \iff s \leq t.$$

Thus  $i$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $S$  onto  $i(S)$ . Since  $(s \wedge u + t \wedge u) \wedge u = (s + t) \wedge (u + s) \wedge (t + u) \wedge 2u \wedge u = (s + t) \wedge u$ , the mapping  $i$  is also additive. Using (2.12) we easily see that  $\alpha \cdot i(s) = i(\alpha s)$ . Consequently  $(i(S), \oplus, \leq)$  is an  $H$ -cone which is isomorphic with  $(S, +, \leq)$ .

The cone  $i(S)$  is solid in  $\bar{S}$ . Indeed, assume that  $\psi \in \bar{S}$  and  $\mu \in i(S)$  such that  $\psi \leq \mu$ . Then  $\mu(t) = s \wedge t$  for some  $s \in S$  and  $\psi(t) \leq s \wedge t \leq s$  for all  $t \in S$ . Hence  $\bigvee_{t \in S} \psi(t)$  exists and

$$\psi(u) = \left( \bigvee_{t \in S} \psi(t) \right) \wedge u$$

for all  $u \in S$  which means  $\psi = i(\bigvee_{t \in S} \psi(t))$ . To prove that  $i(S)$  is order dense, suppose that  $\psi \in \bar{S}$ . Then  $\psi(u) = \bigvee_{t \in S} \psi(t) \wedge u$  for all  $u \in S$  by Proposition 2.4(iii) and further  $\psi = \bigvee_{t \in S} i(\psi(t))$ .

Collecting the material proved above we establish by Theorem 1.5 the assertion that  $\bar{S}$  is a hyperharmonic cone. We still have to show that (H4) holds in  $\bar{S}$ . Let  $F \subset \bar{S}$  be directed upwards. Using the results stated earlier we notice

$$(\psi \wedge (\bigvee F))(u) = \psi(u) \wedge (\bigvee F)(u) = \psi(u) \wedge \bigvee_{\mu \in F} \mu(u).$$

Since  $\psi(u) \leq u$  by Proposition 2.5 we obtain by Corollary 2.2

$$(\psi \wedge (\bigvee F))(u) = \bigvee_{\mu \in F} \mu(u) \wedge \psi(u).$$

Thus

$$\psi \wedge (\bigvee F)(u) = \bigvee_{\mu \in F} (\psi \wedge \mu)(u) = \left( \bigvee_{\mu \in F} \psi \wedge \mu \right)(u),$$

completing the proof.

A different type of an extension of an  $H$ -cone is constructed in [6, Proposition 2.2]. Next we shall show that it is also a completion.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone. Denote by  $\Omega$  a family of upward directed subsets of  $S$ . An equivalence relation  $\sim$  in  $\Omega$  is defined by*

$$F \sim G \iff \bigvee_{f \in F} s \wedge f = \bigvee_{g \in G} s \wedge g \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

The equivalence classes of the relation  $\sim$  is denoted by  $[F]$  for  $F \in \Omega$  and the set of all equivalence classes by  $\mathcal{W}$ . Addition, multiplication by strictly positive real numbers and partial ordering are given in  $\mathcal{W}$  as follows

$$[F] + [G] = [F + G], \quad \alpha[F] = [\alpha F],$$

$$[F] \leq [G] \iff \bigvee_{f \in F} s \wedge f \leq \bigvee_{g \in G} s \wedge g \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

Then  $(\mathcal{W}, +, \leq)$  is a completion of  $S$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\overline{S}$  are isomorphic. Define a mapping  $\Gamma : \overline{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  by

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = [\varphi(S)], \quad \varphi \in \overline{S}.$$

The mapping  $\Gamma$  is well-defined, since  $\varphi(S)$  is directed upwards for all  $\varphi \in \overline{S}$ . Indeed, if  $s$  and  $t$  belong to  $S$  then  $\varphi(s+t) = \varphi(\varphi(s) + \varphi(t))$  by (2.7). Hence  $\varphi(s+t) \in \varphi(S)$ . Moreover, by (2.4) and (2.5),  $\varphi(s+t) \geq \varphi(s)$  and  $\varphi(s+t) \geq \varphi(t)$ . Thus  $\varphi(S)$  is directed upwards.

Assume that  $\mu \leq \psi$  for  $\mu, \psi \in \overline{S}$ . Then Proposition 2.4(iii) leads to

$$\bigvee_{s \in S} \mu(s) \wedge u = \mu(u) \leq \psi(u) = \bigvee_{s \in S} \psi(s) \wedge u$$

for all  $u \in S$ . Therefore we have  $[\mu(S)] \leq [\psi(S)]$ . The implication

$$[\mu(S)] \leq [\psi(S)] \implies \mu \leq \psi$$

can be proved similarly. Hence we have established the relation

$$\mu \leq \psi \iff \Gamma(\mu) \leq \Gamma(\psi)$$

for all  $\mu, \psi \in \overline{S}$ . Let now  $F \in \Omega$  and define  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S$  by  $\varphi(u) = \bigvee_{f \in F} f \wedge u$ . Corollary 2.2 results in  $\varphi \in \overline{S}$ . Hence the mapping  $\Gamma$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\mathcal{W}$  onto  $\overline{S}$ .

The mapping  $\Gamma$  is also additive, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\mu + \psi) &= \left[ \left\{ (\mu(u) + \psi(u)) \wedge u : u \in S \right\} \right] \\ &= \left[ \left\{ \left( \bigvee_{s \in S} \mu(s) \wedge u + \bigvee_{t \in S} \psi(t) \wedge u \right) \wedge u : u \in S \right\} \right] \\ &= \left[ \left\{ \bigvee_{\substack{s \in S \\ t \in S}} (\mu(s) + \psi(t)) \wedge u : u \in S \right\} \right] = [\mu(S)] + [\psi(S)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.6 we notice that

$$\Gamma(\alpha \cdot \varphi) = [\widehat{\alpha\varphi}(S)] = [\{ \alpha\varphi(t/\alpha) : t \in S \}] = \alpha\Gamma(\varphi).$$

Consequently,  $\mathcal{W}$  is a hyperharmonic cone satisfying (H4) and isomorphic with  $\overline{S}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathcal{W}$  is a completion of  $S$ .

Popa has found a presentation for the preceding set  $\mathcal{W}$  in terms of solid subsets  $A$  of  $S$  satisfying the following property:

$$(2.15) \quad \text{If } B \subseteq A \text{ and } \bigvee B \text{ exists in } S, \text{ then } \bigvee B \in A.$$

Now we will state and prove this result differently.

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $S$  be an  $H$ -cone. Denote by  $\mathcal{W}_1$  the set of solid subsets of  $S$  satisfying (2.15). Addition, multiplication by strictly positive real numbers and partial order in  $\mathcal{W}_1$  is given by*

$$A + B = \{ a + b : a \in A, b \in B \},$$

$$\alpha A = \{ \alpha a : a \in A \},$$

$$A \leq B \iff A \subseteq B.$$

Then  $(\mathcal{W}_1, +, \leq)$  is a completion of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Notice that  $+$  is well-defined in  $\mathcal{W}_1$  by (H3). We show first that  $\bar{S}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_1$  are isomorphic. Define a mapping  $\Gamma : \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_1$  by

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \varphi(S), \quad \varphi \in \bar{S}.$$

To show that  $\Gamma$  is well-defined, let  $F \subseteq \varphi(S)$  such that  $\bigvee F$  exists in  $S$ . By Proposition 2.5

$$\bigvee F \geq \varphi(\bigvee F) \geq \bigvee_{f \in F} \varphi(f).$$

Proposition 2.4(ii) results in  $\varphi(f) = f$  for all  $f \in F$ , which yields  $\varphi(\bigvee F) \geq \bigvee F$ . Thus we have  $\varphi(\bigvee F) = \bigvee F$ , and so  $\bigvee F$  belongs to  $\varphi(S)$ . Hence  $\varphi(S)$  satisfies (2.15). Since the set  $\varphi(S)$  is also solid by Proposition 2.4(ii), the mapping  $\Gamma$  is well-defined.

Let  $\mu$  and  $\psi$  be mappings in  $\bar{S}$  such that  $\mu \leq \psi$ . Then  $\mu(u) \leq \psi(u)$  for all  $u \in S$  and further by Proposition 2.4(ii),  $\psi(\mu(u)) = \mu(u)$ . Hence  $\mu(S) \subseteq \psi(S)$ . Suppose that  $\mu(S) \subseteq \psi(S)$  for some  $\mu, \psi \in \bar{S}$ . Using (2.3) we notice that

$$\mu(u) = \psi(\mu(u)) \leq \psi(u)$$

for all  $u \in S$ . There results  $\mu \leq \psi$ . Now we have established the result

$$\mu \leq \psi \iff \Gamma(\mu) \leq \Gamma(\psi).$$

Assume that  $A$  is a solid subset of  $S$  satisfying (2.15). Then evidently the set  $A$  is directed upwards. Define a mapping  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$\varphi(s) = \bigvee_{f \in A} f \wedge s, \quad s \in S.$$

Proposition 2.4(iii) assures that  $\varphi \in \bar{S}$ . Since  $A$  satisfies (2.15),  $\varphi(s) \in A$  for all  $s \in S$  and therefore  $\varphi(S) \subseteq A$ . On the other hand,  $\varphi(f) = f$  for all  $f \in A$ , which

leads to  $A \subseteq \varphi(S)$ . Hence  $\varphi(S) = A$ . Thus we have shown that  $\Gamma$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\overline{S}$  onto  $\mathcal{W}_1$ .

It is easy to check using Lemma 2.6 that  $\Gamma(\alpha \cdot \mu) = \alpha\Gamma(\mu)$ . Let  $\mu$  and  $\psi$  belong to  $\overline{S}$ . Then  $\Gamma(\mu \oplus \psi) = \{ (\mu(u) + \psi(u)) \wedge u : u \in S \}$ . Since  $\mu(S) + \psi(S)$  is solid, we have  $\Gamma(\mu \oplus \psi) \subseteq \mu(S) + \psi(S)$ . But applying Proposition 2.5 we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mu(\mu(u) + \psi(u)) + \psi(\mu(u) + \psi(u)) \right) \wedge (\mu(u) + \psi(u)) \\ & \geq (\mu^2(u) + \psi^2(u)) \wedge (\mu(u) + \psi(u)) = \mu(u) + \psi(u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(S) + \psi(S) \subseteq \Gamma(\mu \oplus \psi)$ . We have shown that  $\Gamma$  is additive. Altogether we have verified that  $\Gamma$  is an isomorphism from  $\overline{S}$  onto  $\mathcal{W}_1$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{W}_1$  is a hyperharmonic cone satisfying (H4) and evidently a completion of  $S$ .

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let an  $H$ -cone  $S$  be a cone of extended real-valued functions on a set  $X$  such that*

- (a)  $f \wedge g = \inf(f, g)$  for all  $f, g \in S$ ,
- (b)  $\bigvee F(x) = \sup_{f \in F} f(x)$  for any dominated upward directed family  $F$ .

*Then the completion of  $S$  is the set*

$$C = \{ \sup_{f \in F} f : F \subseteq S \text{ is directed upwards} \}.$$

*Proof.* We show that  $C$  and  $\overline{S}$  are isomorphic. Define a mapping  $\Gamma : \overline{S} \rightarrow C$  by

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \sup_{f \in F} \varphi(f).$$

Clearly if  $\varphi \leq \mu$  then  $\Gamma(\varphi) \leq \Gamma(\mu)$ . Conversely, assume that  $\Gamma(\varphi) \leq \Gamma(\mu)$  for  $\varphi$  and  $\mu$  in  $\overline{S}$ . Then we have

$$\sup_{f \in F} \varphi(f) = \sup_{f \in S} \varphi(f)$$

and further

$$\sup_{f \in S} \inf(\varphi(f), g) = \inf(\sup_{f \in F} \varphi(f), g) \leq \inf(\sup_{f \in F} \mu(f), g) = \sup_{f \in F} \inf(\mu(f), g)$$

for all  $g \in S$ . This implies by (2.2) and (a) that  $\varphi(g) \leq \mu(g)$  for all  $g \in S$ . Hence we have proved that

$$\varphi \leq \mu \iff \Gamma(\varphi) \leq \Gamma(\mu).$$

Let  $F$  be an upward directed subset of  $S$ . Define a mapping  $\varphi : S \rightarrow S$  by  $\varphi(g) = \sup_{f \in F} f \wedge g$ . Then we have

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = \sup_{f \in F} \varphi(f) = \sup_{f \in F} f.$$

Hence the mapping  $\Gamma$  is a one-to-one mapping from  $\overline{S}$  onto  $C$ .

Using Lemma 2.6 we easily notice that  $\Gamma(\alpha \cdot \varphi) = \alpha\Gamma(\varphi)$ . To prove additivity of  $\Gamma$ , let  $\varphi, \mu \in \overline{S}$ . Applying the definitions we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\mu \oplus \varphi) &= \sup_{f \in F} (\mu \oplus \varphi)(f) = \sup_{f \in S} (\mu(f) + \varphi(f)) \wedge f \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in F} \mu(f) + \varphi(f) = \Gamma(\mu) + \Gamma(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

To show the converse, we first note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f \in F} (\mu(f) + \varphi(f)) \\ \wedge f &\geq \sup_{f \in F} \left( \mu(\mu(f) + \varphi(f)) + \varphi(\mu(f) + \varphi(f)) \wedge (\mu(f) + \varphi(f)) \right) \\ &\geq \sup_{f \in F} (\mu^2(f) + \varphi^2(f)) \wedge (\mu(f) + \varphi(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 2.6  $\mu^2(f) = \mu(f)$  and  $\varphi^2(f) = \varphi(f)$  we have

$$\Gamma(\mu \oplus \varphi) \geq \Gamma(\mu) + \Gamma(\varphi).$$

Hence  $\Gamma$  is an isomorphism from  $\overline{S}$  onto  $C$ . It is therefore obvious that  $C$  is a completion of  $S$ .

**Acknowledgement:** The author thanks Professor Aurel Cornea for valuable discussions during the author's stay in the Katholische Universität Eichstätt, Federal Republic of Germany.

## References

- [1] ARSOVE, M.G., and H. LEUTWILER: Algebraic potential theory. - Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 226, 1980.
- [2] BOBOC, N., GH. BUCUR, and A. CORNEA: Order and convexity in potential theory:  $H$ -cones. - Lecture Notes in Mathematics 853. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1981.
- [3] CONSTANTINESCU, C., and A. CORNEA: Potential theory on harmonic spaces. - Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [4] CORNEA, A., and S.-L. ERIKSSON: Order continuity of the greatest lower bound of two functionals. - Analysis 7, 1987, 173-184.
- [5] ERIKSSON, S.-L.: Hyperharmonic cones and hyperharmonic morphisms. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. I A Math. Dissertationes 49, 1984, 1-75.
- [6] ERIKSSON, S.-L.: Hyperharmonic cones and cones of hyperharmonics. - An. Stiint. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi Sect. Ia Mat. 31, 1985, 109-116.
- [7] ERIKSSON, S.-L.: Representations of hyperharmonic cones. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 305:1, 1988, 247-262.
- [8] ERIKSSON-BIQUE, S.-L.: Hyperharmonic cones. - In: Potential theory, Plenum Press, New York-London, 1988, 85-95.
- [9] POPA, E.: On categories of  $H$ -cones and of hyperharmonic cones. - An. Stiint. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi Sect. Ia Mat. 32, 1988, 21-25.

University of Joensuu  
Department of Mathematics  
Box 111  
SF-80101 Joensuu  
Finland

Received 24 October 1989