

## ON THE CONFORMAL MODULUS DISTORTION UNDER QUASIMÖBIUS MAPPINGS

V.V. Aseev

### 0. Introduction

In this paper we shall study some properties of the topological embeddings  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$ ,  $\Sigma$  being a compact in  $\overline{R}^n$ , under which the distortion of conformal moduli of rings in  $\Sigma$  is of a bounded character. Such mappings have been termed  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings, where  $\omega$  denotes a bound for modulus distortion. Although the class of  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings of continua in  $\overline{R}^n$  is essentially equivalent to that of  $\omega^*$ -quasimöbius embeddings, there are some problems concerning the modulus distortion function  $\omega$ . Does the sequence of  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings  $f_k: \Sigma_k \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$  converge to BMD-embeddings with the same bound  $\omega$  for the distortion of moduli? In Sections 2–3 the affirmative answer will be given in the case where  $\Sigma$  is a locally equiconnected sequence of continua or the limit continuum is a Jordan arc in  $\overline{R}^n$ . In Section 4 we give a counterexample for the negative answer in a general case. Section 5 aims to get an analogue of Liouville's theorem for BMD-embeddings.

### 1. BMD and QM embeddings

We equip the Möbius space  $\overline{R}^n$  with the chordal distance  $[xy]$ . The conformal invariant characteristic  $r(T)$  of a quadruplet (an ordered quadruple of distinct points)  $T = abcd$  in  $\overline{R}^n$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad r(T) = [ab][cd]/([ac][bd]).$$

**1.2. Definition** ([Vä] or [As1]). Let  $\omega: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a homeomorphism. An embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$  of  $\Sigma \subset \overline{R}^n$  into  $\overline{R}^n$  is said to be a  $\omega$ -QM (quasimöbius) embedding if  $r(fT) \leq \omega(r(T))$  for all quadruplets  $T$  in  $\Sigma$ .

Given a pair of compact sets  $E, F \subset \overline{R}^n$  and a domain  $\mathcal{D} \subset \overline{R}^n$ , let  $M(E; F; \mathcal{D})$  denote the conformal modulus of the family of all arcs joining  $E$  to  $F$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ . A pair of disjoint continua  $E, F$  in  $\overline{R}^n$  is called a ring. We set  $M(E, F) = M(E, F; \overline{R}^n)$ .

**1.3. Definition** ([As1]). An embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$  of  $\Sigma \subset \overline{R}^n$  is said to be  $\omega$ -BMD (of bounded modulus distortion) if

$$(1.4) \quad \omega^{-1}(M(E, F)) \leq M(fE, fF) \leq \omega(M(E, F))$$

for all rings  $(E, F)$  on  $\Sigma$ .

The connection between BMD and QM classes of embeddings has been ascertained [As1, Theorem 5.6, p. 22, Theorem 4.3, p. 12] as follows.

**1.5. Theorem.** (i) Every  $\omega$ -QM embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is also  $\omega^*$ -BMD, where  $\omega^*$  depends only on  $\omega$  and  $n$ . (ii) Every  $\omega$ -BMD embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  of a continuum  $\Sigma \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is also  $\tilde{\omega}$ -QM, where  $\tilde{\omega}$  depends only on  $\omega$  and  $n$ .

**1.6. Remarks.** The concept of QM embeddings of subsets in the plane is actually employed in S. Rickman’s paper [R, p. 389]. These embeddings were termed as “quasimöbius” by J. Väisälä [Vä] and the author [As2] in 1984. The notion of BMD embeddings had been offered for investigation by P. Belinskij in 1976 and was introduced in [AsV1].

### 2. Convergence theorems

For a compact metric space  $\mathcal{X}$  we shall denote by  $\text{Cont } \mathcal{X}$  the space of all continua in  $\mathcal{X}$  equipped with Hausdorff distance between compact subsets of  $\mathcal{X}$  (see [K, Chapter 2, Section 21]). The compactness of  $\text{Cont } \mathcal{X}$  [K, Chapter 4, Section 42] will be employed throughout the paper. An embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  of a continuum  $\Sigma \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  may be associated with its graph in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$

$$\Gamma f = \{(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} : x \in \Sigma, y = fx\}$$

and thereby be considered an element of  $\text{Cont}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ . We assume the convergence  $f_k \rightarrow f$  of embeddings  $f_k: \Sigma_k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  to be equivalent to the convergence  $\Gamma f_k \rightarrow \Gamma f$  in the metric space  $\text{Cont}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ . Since the characteristic  $r(T)$  is continuous on the space of quadruplets in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ , we have the following property.

2.1. If  $f_k \rightarrow f$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $f_k$  being  $\omega$ -QM, the limit embedding  $f$  is also  $\omega$ -quasimöbius with the same distortion bound  $\omega$ .

**2.2. Definition [As3].** A family of embeddings  $\mathcal{M} = \{f_\alpha: \Sigma_\alpha \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}; \Sigma_\alpha \in \text{Cont } \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}\}$  is called compact (in the class of embeddings) if any sequence in  $\mathcal{M}$  has a subsequence converging to an embedding. The family  $\mathcal{M}$  is termed normal if any sequence  $\{f_k\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  has a subsequence  $\{f_{k_s}\}$  such that  $\Gamma f_{k_s} \rightarrow \Gamma$  in  $\text{Cont}(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \times \overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ ,  $\Gamma$  being either the graph of an embedding or a compact set containing none triple of points with distinct projections.

**2.3. Theorem [As1, Theorem 6.1, p. 23.]** Given a homeomorphism  $\omega: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , the family  $\mathcal{M} = \{f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}; \Sigma \in \text{Cont } \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}\}$  of all  $\omega$ -quasimöbius embeddings is normal. Moreover, any subfamily  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}$  of  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings with a common triple of fixed points is compact.

According to 2.1 and Theorem 1.5, this immediately implies the following statement.

**2.4. Theorem.** *Given a homeomorphism  $\omega: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , the family  $\mathcal{M} = \{f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n; \Sigma \in \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n, f \in \omega\text{-BMD}\}$  is normal. Moreover, any subfamily  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}$  of  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings with a common triple of fixed points is compact. For a convergent sequence  $\{f_k\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  the limit embedding  $f$  is also  $\omega^*$ -BMD,  $\omega^*$  depending only on  $\omega$  and  $n$ .*

2.5. Now the question arises whether the limit embedding in the above theorem is actually  $\omega$ -BMD with the same distortion bound  $\omega$ . We shall answer in the affirmative in the two special cases and give a counterexample for the general situation.

2.6. Given  $\Sigma \in \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$ , one may consider  $\text{Cont } \Sigma$  to be a continuum in  $\text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$  (see [K, Chapter 5, Section 47.7, Theorem 3]) as well as a point in the metric space  $\text{Cont } \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$ .

**2.7. Theorem.** *Let  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings  $f_k: \Sigma_k \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$ , where  $\Sigma_k \in \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , approach an embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$ . If  $\text{Cont } \Sigma_k \rightarrow \text{Cont } \Sigma$  in  $\text{Cont } \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $f$  is also  $\omega$ -BMD with the same distortion bound  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(E, F)$  be an arbitrary ring on  $\Sigma$ . The convergence  $\text{Cont } \Sigma_k \rightarrow \text{Cont } \Sigma$  immediately implies that each subcontinuum  $E \subset \Sigma$ , while being a point in  $\text{Cont } \Sigma$ , may be approached in  $\text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$  with a sequence  $E_{k_s}$  ( $s = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of subcontinua  $E_{k_s} \subset \Sigma_{k_s}$ . Since  $\text{Cont } \Sigma_{k_s} \rightarrow \text{Cont } \Sigma$  in  $\text{Cont } \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$  and  $f_{k_s} \rightarrow f$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , we may assume the subsequence  $f_{k_s}$  to be the initial sequence  $f_k$ . Since the space  $\text{Cont } (\overline{R}^n \times \overline{R}^n)$  is compact, the sequence  $f_k$  may be replaced once more with a subsequence so as to provide the convergence  $\Gamma f_k | E_k \rightarrow \Gamma f | E$ , and so the convergence  $f_k E_k \rightarrow f E$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The same argument gives a subsequence  $F_{k_j} \in \text{Cont } \Sigma_{k_j}$  such that  $F_{k_j} \rightarrow F$  and  $f_{k_j} F_{k_j} \rightarrow f F$  in  $\text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$ . Since the convergences  $E_{k_j} \rightarrow E$  and  $f_{k_j} E_{k_j} \rightarrow f E$  have been preserved, we may assume the subsequence  $f_{k_j}$  to be the initial one. Thus we have gained a sequence  $(E_k, F_k)$  of rings on  $\Sigma_k$  and the convergences  $(E_k, F_k) \rightarrow (E, F)$ ,  $(f_k E_k, f_k F_k) \rightarrow (f E, f F)$  of rings in  $\overline{R}^n$ . The continuity theorem for the conformal capacity of rings in  $\overline{R}^n$  (see [G, Theorem 5, p. 228; Theorem 1, p. 222]) implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(E_k, F_k) = M(E, F),$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(f_k E_k, f_k F_k) = M(f E, f F).$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in

$$\omega^{-1}(M(E_k, F_k)) \leq M(f_k E_k, f_k F_k) \leq \omega(M(E_k, F_k))$$

yields the desired estimate (1.4) for the embedding  $f$ .  $\square$

**2.8. Corollary.** *Let a sequence  $f_k: \Sigma_k \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$  of  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings with  $\Sigma_k \in \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$  converge to  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$ . If  $\Sigma_{k+1} \subset \Sigma_k$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $f$  is  $\omega$ -BMD.*

*Proof.* If a sequence  $E_k \in \text{Cont } \Sigma_k$  converges to  $E$  in  $\text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$ , then  $E \subset \Sigma$ ,  $E$  being a continuum. Thus  $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k \subset \text{Cont } \Sigma$ . Since  $\Sigma = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_k = \bigcap_k \Sigma_k$

$$\text{Cont } \Sigma = \bigcap_k \text{Cont } \Sigma_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k.$$

These inclusions imply that  $\text{Cont } \Sigma = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k$ . Hence (see [K, Chapter 5, Section 9, 42.2, Remark 1])  $\text{Cont } \Sigma_k \rightarrow \text{Cont } \Sigma$  in  $\text{Cont } \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The assertion now follows from Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

### 3. Special cases of convergence

**3.1. Theorem.** *Let a sequence  $f_k: \Sigma_k \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$  of  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings of  $\Sigma_k \in \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$  converge to an embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$ . If  $\Sigma$  is a Jordan arc (a topological image of a closed interval), then  $f$  is  $\omega$ -BMD with the same distortion bound  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* According to 2.7, it is sufficient to obtain the convergence  $\text{Cont } \Sigma_k \rightarrow \text{Cont } \Sigma$  in  $\text{Cont } \text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$ . Since  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_k \subset \text{Cont } \Sigma$ , it suffices to derive the inclusion

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Cont } \Sigma \subset \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k.$$

Let  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a parametrisation of the arc  $\Sigma$ . Every nongenerated continuum  $\tau \subset \Sigma$  may be represented as  $\tau = \varphi[t_1, t_2]$ , where  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ . Let  $P_1 = \varphi(t_1)$ ,  $P_2 = \varphi(t_2)$ ,  $\tau_1 = \varphi[0, t_1]$ ,  $\tau_2 = \varphi[t_2, 1]$ . For a set  $A \subset \overline{R}^n$  denote by  $A(\varepsilon)$  its closed  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood in  $\overline{R}^n$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon]$  such that  $\tau_1(\varepsilon_1) \cap \tau_2(\varepsilon_1) = \emptyset$ . Hence we may choose  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\gamma_i = \varphi([0, 1] \cap (t_i - \delta, t_i + \delta)) \subset P_i(\varepsilon_1),$$

where  $i = 1, 2$ . Since the closed arcs  $\sigma_1 = \tau_1 \setminus \gamma_1$ ,  $\sigma = \tau \setminus (\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = \tau_2 \setminus \gamma_2$  are mutually disjoint, this is also true for  $\sigma_1(\varepsilon_2)$ ,  $\sigma(\varepsilon_2)$  and  $\sigma_2(\varepsilon_2)$  when  $\varepsilon_2 \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$  is sufficiently small. Because  $\Sigma_k \rightarrow \Sigma$ , there exists an integer  $k_0$  such that  $\Sigma_k \subset \Sigma(\varepsilon_2)$ ,  $E_k = \Sigma_k \cap P_1(\varepsilon_1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $F_k = \Sigma_k \cap P_2(\varepsilon_1) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ . We shall next show that  $\Sigma_k \cap \tau(\varepsilon_1)$  is connected between  $E_k$  and  $F_k$  (see [K, Chapter 5, Section 46.4]). Assume that the statement is false. Then  $\Sigma_k \cap \tau(\varepsilon_1)$  is a union  $\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}$  of two disjoint closed sets  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $E_k \subset \mathcal{E}$ ,  $F_k \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Consider the closed nonempty subsets  $\mathcal{E} \cup (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2))$  and  $\mathcal{F} \cup (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2))$  of  $\Sigma_k$ . Since

- (i)  $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ ,
  - (ii)  $(\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2)) \cap (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2)) \subset \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2) \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2) = \emptyset$ ,
  - (iii)  $\mathcal{E} \cap (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2)) \subset (P_1(\varepsilon_1) \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2)) \cup (\sigma_2(\varepsilon_2) \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2)) = P_1(\varepsilon_1) \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2) = \emptyset$ ,
  - (iv)  $\mathcal{F} \cap (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2)) \subset (P_2(\varepsilon_1) \cap \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2)) \cup (\sigma_2(\varepsilon_2) \cap \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2)) = P_2(\varepsilon_1) \cap \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2) = \emptyset$ ,
- $\mathcal{E} \cup (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2))$  and  $\mathcal{F} \cup (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2))$  are disjoint. Nevertheless, their union is  $\Sigma_k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \mathcal{E} \cup (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2)) \right] \cup \left[ \mathcal{F} \cup (\Sigma_k \cap \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2)) \right] \\ &= (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}) \cup (\sigma_1(\varepsilon_2) \cap \Sigma_k) \cup (\sigma_2(\varepsilon_2) \cap \Sigma_k) \\ &= \Sigma_k \cap \left[ \tau(\varepsilon_1) \cup \sigma_1(\varepsilon_2) \cup \sigma_2(\varepsilon_2) \right] = \Sigma_k \cap \Sigma(\varepsilon_2) = \Sigma_k. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the connection of  $\Sigma_k$ .

Since  $\Sigma_k \cap \tau(\varepsilon_1)$  is connected between  $E_k$  and  $F_k$ , there exists a continuum  $\gamma_k \subset \Sigma_k \cap \tau(\varepsilon_1)$  joining  $E_k$  to  $F_k$  (see [K, Chapter 5, Section 47.2, Theorem 3; Section 47.1, Theorem 6]). Letting  $\varepsilon = 1/s$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ , we obtain the increasing sequence  $k_s$  and continua  $\gamma_k \subset \Sigma_k \cap \tau(1/s)$  for  $k_s \leq k \leq k_{s+1}$ . Obviously,  $\gamma_k \rightarrow \tau$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence  $\tau \in \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k$ . Thus (3.2) is proved.  $\square$

**3.3.** A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of continua in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is called locally equiconnected if for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that every pair of points  $x, y \in \Sigma \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $|xy| < \delta$  can be joined by a continuum  $\gamma \subset \Sigma$  of spherical diameter  $\leq \varepsilon$ .

**3.4. Theorem** [As4, Theorem 2.1, p. 19]. *Let a sequence  $f_k: \Sigma_k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  of  $\omega$ -BMD embeddings of continua  $\Sigma_k \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  converge to an embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ . If the family  $\{\Sigma_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is locally equiconnected,  $f \in \omega$ -BMD with the same distortion bound  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma_{k_s}$  be an arbitrary subsequence of  $\Sigma_k$ . Since the family  $\{\Sigma_{k_s} : s = 1, 2, \dots\}$  remains locally equiconnected, it follows from [As4, Lemma 1.2, p. 17] that  $\text{Cont } \Sigma \subset \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_{k_s}$ . Because of the arbitrary choice of a subsequence  $\Sigma_{k_s}$ , we obtain by [K, Chapter 2, Section 29.5 (1)]

$$\text{Cont } \Sigma \subset \bigcap_{s \rightarrow \infty} \limsup \text{Cont } \Sigma_{k_s} = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k \subset \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k \subset \text{Cont } \Sigma,$$

where the intersection expands over all subsequences  $\Sigma_{k_s}$  of  $\Sigma_k$ . Thus the equality  $\text{Cont } \Sigma = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cont } \Sigma_k$  holds and the desired result follows from Theorem 2.7.

**3.5. Question.** Does Theorem 3.1 remain true if  $\Sigma$  is replaced by a Jordan curve (a topological image of a circle)?

### 4. Counterexample

All the considerations throughout this section will refer to the extended complex plane  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ ,  $z = x + iy = \rho e^{i\varphi}$  being a complex variable.

4.1. A continuous mapping  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , where  $\Sigma \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , is termed circular with respect to a point  $z_0$  if  $|fz - fz_0| = |z - z_0|$  for all  $z \in \Sigma$ .

4.2. **Lemma.** *Let  $(E, F)$  be a ring in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $f: E \cup F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a circular mapping with respect to  $z_0$ . Denote by  $\alpha(a, b)$  the acute angle between segments  $z_0a$  and  $z_0b$  while  $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}$ . If*

$$\alpha(fa, fb) \begin{cases} \leq \alpha(a, b) & \text{as } a \in E, b \in F, \\ \geq \alpha(a, b) & \text{as } a, b \in E \text{ or } a, b \in F, \end{cases}$$

then  $M(fE, fF) \geq M(E, F)$ .

*Proof.* Since the distance  $|a - b|$  between the points  $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}$  with fixed  $|a - z_0|$  and  $|b - z_0|$  is increasing to  $\alpha(a, b)$ , the estimates

$$|fa - fb| \begin{cases} \leq |a - b| & \text{as } a \in E, b \in F, \\ \geq |a - b| & \text{as } a, b \in E \text{ or } a, b \in F \end{cases}$$

hold. By [AV, Theorem 2, p. 8; Theorem 1, p. 7] we have the inequality  $\text{md}(E, F) \geq \text{md}(fE, fF)$  for transfinite 2-moduli. Thus by Bagby's theorem [B, Theorem 5, p. 325] the same inequality holds for conformal moduli of these condensers. The connection between the conformal moduli and the conformal capacity of condensers gives the desired estimate.  $\square$

4.4. In the case where the distortion bound  $\omega$  of  $\omega$ -BMD embedding is of the form  $\omega(t) = kt$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , the coefficient  $k$  will be termed the distortion coefficient of  $f$  and denoted by  $k[f]$ .

4.5. *Question* (P.P. Belinskij). Is it true that every BMD-embedding  $f$  of a continuum has a finite distortion coefficient? For a brief discussion of the problem see [AsV2]. In this connection also see [AsT, Theorem 5.2, p. 547].

4.6. The following construction is a mere modification of the example from [AsV3, p. 14] (the paper contains a lot of misprints). For some fixed  $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi/8)$  set  $l_1 = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : \arg z = \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi\}$ ,  $l_2 = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : \arg z = -\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi\}$ ,  $l_3 = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : \arg z = 0\}$ ,  $\Sigma = l_1 \cup l_2 \cup l_3$ . The embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  is defined by the formula

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z & \text{as } z \in l_3, \\ i\bar{z} & \text{as } z \in l_1 \cup l_2. \end{cases}$$

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  we set  $l_{1k} = \{z \in l_1 : |z| \leq k\}$ ,  $l_{2k} = \{z \in l_2 : |z| \geq 1/k\}$ ,  $\Sigma_k = l_{1k} \cup l_{2k} \cup l_3$  and  $f_k = f|_{\Sigma_k}$ . Obviously  $f_k \rightarrow f$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . We are going to show that

$$(4.7) \quad k[f_k] \leq \frac{8}{7} \left( \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon} + 9 \right)$$

for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Let a pair of disjoint continua in  $\Sigma_k$  be denoted by  $E, F$  so as to have  $E$  between  $F$  and the endpoint  $ike^{i\epsilon}$  of  $\Sigma_k$ . Note that, for any pair  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and continua  $E_i = E \cap l_i, F_j = F \cap l_j$  (possibly empty), the circular mapping  $f: E_i \cup F_j \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to 0 preserves angles on  $E_i$  and  $F_j$  separately and does not increase angles between  $E_i$  and  $F_j$ . By Lemma 4.2 we obtain the inequality  $M(E_i, F_j) \leq M(fE_i, fF_j)$  for each pair  $i, j$ . Hence

$$M(E, F) \leq \sum_{i,j} M(E_i, F_j) \leq \sum_{i,j} M(fE_i, fF_j) \leq 9M(fE, fF).$$

Thus

$$(4.8) \quad M(E, F)/9 \leq M(f_k E, f_k F)$$

holds for all rings  $(E, F)$  on  $\Sigma_k$ .

In order to obtain an upper estimate for  $M(f_k E, f_k F)$  we consider the following five cases.

Case 1. Let  $E \subset l_3 \cup l_2$ . Then  $F \subset l_3 \cup l_2$ . The embedding  $f|(l_3 \cup l_2)$  extends to a quasiconformal mapping  $g_1: \rho e^{i\varphi} \mapsto \rho e^{i\beta(\varphi)}$ , where  $\beta(0) = 0, \beta(-\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi) = \epsilon, \beta(2\pi) = 2\pi$ , the function  $\beta$  being linear on  $[0, -\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi]$  and  $[-\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi, 2\pi]$ . Since  $\epsilon < \pi/8$ , the dilatation of  $g_1$  is  $(\pi - 2\epsilon)/2\epsilon$ . Hence

$$M(f_k E, f_k F) = M(g_1 E, g_1 F) \leq (-1 + \pi/2\epsilon)M(E, F).$$

Case 2. Let  $F \subset l_1 \cup l_3$ . Then  $E \subset l_1 \cup l_3$ . The restriction  $f|(l_1 \cup l_3)$  extends to a quasiconformal mapping  $g_2: \rho e^{i\varphi} \mapsto \rho e^{-i\beta(\varphi)}$ , where  $\beta(0) = 0, \beta(\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi) = \epsilon, \beta(2\pi) = 2\pi$ , the function  $\beta$  being linear on  $[0, \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi]$  and  $[\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi, 2\pi]$ . Since the dilatation of  $g_2$  is  $(\pi + 2\epsilon)/2\epsilon$ , the estimate

$$M(f_k E, f_k F) = M(g_2 E, g_2 F) \leq (1 + \pi/2\epsilon)M(E, F)$$

holds.

Case 3. Let  $E \subset l_1 \cup l_3$  and  $F \subset l_3 \cup l_2$ . The circular mapping  $g_3(z) = \{z \text{ on } l_3 \cup l_2; \bar{z} \text{ on } l_1 \cup l_3\}$  preserves angles on  $E$  as well as on  $F$  and does not decrease angles between  $E$  and  $F$ . By Lemma 4.2  $M(\tilde{E}, F) \leq M(E, F)$ , where  $\tilde{E} = g_3 E$ . The mapping  $g_4: \rho e^{i\varphi} \mapsto \rho e^{i\beta(\varphi)}$ , where  $\beta(-\pi) = -\pi, \beta(-\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\pi) = -\epsilon, \beta(-\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi) = \epsilon, \beta(0) = 0, \beta(\pi) = \pi$ ,  $\beta$  being linear on the segments  $[-\pi, -\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\pi], [-\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\pi, 0], [0, -\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi], [-\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi, \pi]$ , transforms  $\tilde{E}$  into  $fE$  and  $F$  into  $fF$ . Since  $\epsilon < \pi/8$ , the dilatation of  $g_4$  is equal to  $(\pi + 2\epsilon)/2\epsilon$ . Hence

$$M(f_k E, f_k F) = M(fE, fF) \leq (1 + \pi/2\epsilon)M(\tilde{E}, F) \leq (1 + \pi/2\epsilon)M(E, F).$$

Case 4. Let  $l_3 \subset E$ . Then  $F \subset l_2$ . Denote  $E_2 = E \cap l_2$  and  $S = l_3 \cup fl_1$ . The mapping  $g_5: \rho e^{i\varphi} \mapsto \rho e^{i\beta(\varphi)}$ , where  $\beta(0) = 0$ ,  $\beta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ ,  $\beta(2\pi - \varepsilon) = 2\pi$ ,  $\beta$  being linear on segments  $[0, \varepsilon]$ ,  $[\varepsilon, 2\pi - \varepsilon]$ , has dilatation  $K[g_5] = (2\pi - \varepsilon)/2(\pi - \varepsilon) < 8/7$  and transforms the domain  $\mathcal{D} = \{z : 0 < \arg z < 2\pi - \varepsilon\}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus l_3$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(fE, fF) &\leq M(S \cup fE_2, fF) = M(S \cup fE_2, fF; \mathcal{D}) \\ &\leq (8/7)M(l_3 \cup fE_2, fF; g_5\mathcal{D}) = (8/7)M(g_1(l_3 \cup l_2), g_1F) \\ &\leq (8/7)(-1 + \pi/2\varepsilon)M(l_3 \cup E_2, F) \leq (8/7)(-1 + \pi/2\varepsilon)M(E, F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$M(f_k E, f_k F) \leq (8/7)(-1 + \pi/2\varepsilon)M(E, F).$$

Case 5. Let  $l_3 \subset F$ . Then  $E \subset l_1$ . Denote  $F_1 = F \cap l_1$ ,  $S' = l_3 \cup fl_2$ . The mapping  $g_6(z) = g_5(\bar{z})$  transforms the domain  $\mathcal{D}' = \{z : \varepsilon < \arg z < 2\pi\}$  into  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus l_3$  and has the same dilatation as  $g_5$ . That is,  $K[g_6] = K[g_5] < 8/7$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(fE, fF) &\leq M(fE, S' \cup fF_1) = M(fE, S' \cup fF_1; \mathcal{D}') \\ &\leq (8/7)M(fE, fF_1 \cup l_3; g_6(\mathcal{D}')) = (8/7)M(fE, f(F_1 \cup l_3)) \\ &= (8/7)M(g_2E, g_2(F_1 \cup l_3)) \leq (8/7)(1 + \pi/2\varepsilon)M(E, F_1 \cup l_3) \\ &\leq (8/7)(1 + \pi/2\varepsilon)M(E, F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$M(f_k E, f_k F) \leq (8/7)(1 + \pi/2\varepsilon)M(E, F).$$

The estimates in Cases 1–5 together give the inequality

$$M(f_k E, f_k F) \leq (8/7)(1 + \pi/2\varepsilon)M(E, F)$$

for all rings  $(E, F)$  on  $\Sigma_k$ . On the strength of (4.8) it implies the announced upper bound (4.7).

Provided  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, we can show that the limit embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  is not of the class  $\omega$ -BMD with the same distortion bound  $\omega(t) = (8/7)(9 + \pi/2\varepsilon)t$  as that of  $f_k$ . Consider the continua  $E = l_1 \cup l_2$ ,  $F(\delta) = \{z \in l_3 : \delta < |z| < \delta^{-1}\}$ , where  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . It is easy to get the crude estimates for the capacities of rings  $(E, F(\delta))$  and  $(fE, fF(\delta))$

$$\begin{aligned} M(E, F(\delta)) &\leq [(-\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\pi)^{-1} + (-\varepsilon + 3\pi/2)^{-1}] 2 \log 1/\delta + \frac{8(\pi - \varepsilon)}{\pi - 2\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{16(\pi - \varepsilon)}{(\pi - 2\varepsilon)(3\pi - 2\varepsilon)} \log \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{8(\pi - \varepsilon)}{\pi - 2\varepsilon}; \end{aligned}$$

$$M(fE, fF(\delta)) \geq M(fE, fF(\delta); \{\delta < |z| < \delta^{-1}\}) = (4/\varepsilon) \log 1/\delta.$$



Since

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(fE, fF(\delta))}{M(E, F(\delta))} \geq \frac{(\pi - 2\varepsilon)(3\pi - 2\varepsilon)}{4\varepsilon(\pi - \varepsilon)}$$

and

$$\frac{(\pi - 2\varepsilon)(3\pi - 2\varepsilon)}{4\varepsilon(\pi - \varepsilon)} \approx \frac{3\pi}{4\varepsilon} > \frac{4\pi}{7\varepsilon} \approx \frac{8}{7} \left(9 + \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon}\right)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , there exists, for a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , a  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) < 1$  such that the strict inequality

$$M(fE, fF(\delta)) > (8/7)(9 + \pi/2\varepsilon)M(E, F(\delta))$$

holds. It shows that for such  $\varepsilon$  the embedding  $f$  is not of the class  $\omega$ -BMD with  $\omega(t) = (8/7)(9 + \pi/2\varepsilon)t$ .

### 5. id-BMD embeddings

According to Definition 1.3, the embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$  is id-BMD if

$$(5.1) \quad M(fE, fF) = M(E, F)$$

for any ring  $(E, F)$  on  $\Sigma$ . We use the term Möbius embedding for any id-quasimöbius embedding. Note that every Möbius embedding in  $\overline{R}^n$  may be transformed by a suitable Möbius mapping into an isometric embedding and hence it may be extended to an isometry over all  $\overline{R}^n$ . So in order to obtain a Möbius extension of an id-BMD embedding one only needs to prove that it is a Möbius embedding.

**5.2. Conjecture** (P.P. Belinskij; see the final remark in [As5, p. 1529]). Every id-BMD embedding of a continuum into  $\overline{R}^n$  is a Möbius embedding.

We will commence with a two-dimensional case.

**5.3. Theorem** (see also [As6]). *If  $\Sigma \subset \overline{R}^2$  has a positive topological dimension at each point of a dense subset  $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma \subset \overline{\Sigma}'$ , then every id-BMD embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^2$  is a Möbius one.*

*Proof.* Choose a decreasing sequence  $\delta_k \searrow 0$ . By [K, Chapter 5, Section 47.2, Theorem 9] there exists at each point  $a \in \Sigma'$  a continuum  $\gamma_k \subset \Sigma$  such that  $a \in \gamma_k$  and  $0 < \text{diam } \gamma_k < \delta_k$ . Hence for an arbitrarily given quadruplet  $a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$  in  $\Sigma'$  we may construct a sequence of continua  $\gamma_{ik}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4; k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $a_i \in \gamma_{ik} \subset \Sigma$  and  $0 < \text{diam } \gamma_{ik} < \delta_k$ . By [AV, Theorem 5, p. 14] and [B, Theorem 5, p. 325] we have

$$(5.3.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau(\gamma_{ik})\tau(\gamma_{jk}) \exp \text{mod}(\gamma_{ik}, \gamma_{jk}) = |a_i - a_j|^2,$$

where  $\tau(E)$  denotes the transfinite diameter of  $E$  in  $\overline{R}^2$  and  $\text{mod}(\gamma_{ik}, \gamma_{jk}) = 2\pi/M(\gamma_{ik}, \gamma_{jk})$ . Thus the characteristic  $r(T)$  of the quadruplet  $T = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$  may be derived as follows:

$$r(T)^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \exp [\text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, \gamma_{2k}) + \text{mod}(\gamma_{3k}, \gamma_{4k}) - \text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, \gamma_{3k}) - \text{mod}(\gamma_{2k}, \gamma_{4k})].$$

The same arguments for the condensers  $(f\gamma_{ik}, f\gamma_{jk})$  give the following expression of  $r(fT)$  :

$$r(fT)^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \exp [\text{mod}(f\gamma_{1k}, f\gamma_{2k}) + \text{mod}(f\gamma_{3k}, f\gamma_{4k}) - \text{mod}(f\gamma_{1k}, f\gamma_{3k}) - \text{mod}(f\gamma_{2k}, f\gamma_{4k})].$$

Since  $\text{mod}(f\gamma_{ik}, f\gamma_{jk}) = \text{mod}(\gamma_{ik}, \gamma_{jk})$ , it follows that  $r(T) = r(fT)$  for any quadruplet  $T$  in  $\Sigma'$ , so that  $f|_{\Sigma'} \in \text{id-QM}$ . Hence the continuous extension  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f|_{\Sigma'}$  over  $\overline{\Sigma'}$  is also a Möbius embedding.

**5.4. Definition** (cf. [As7, p. 201]). A continuum  $\gamma \subset \overline{R}^n$  is said to be raylike at a point  $a \in \gamma \cap \overline{R}^n$  if for any stretching sequence  $\mu_a[t_k]: x \mapsto a + t_k(x - a)$ ,  $\mu_a[t_k]: \infty \mapsto \infty$ ,  $t_k \rightarrow \infty$  of Möbius self-mappings in  $\overline{R}^n$  the limit set  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_a[t_k]\gamma$  in  $\text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$ , if any, is a ray originated at  $a$ .  $\square$

**5.4.1. Remark.** The raylike property of a continuum  $\gamma$  at  $a \in \gamma$  does not imply the existence of a tangent ray at a point  $a$ . The counterexample was communicated to me by V.A. Vasilenko in 1986.

**5.5. Lemma.** Let Jordan arcs  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \overline{R}^n$  be raylike at points  $x_1 \in \gamma_1$  and  $x_2 \in \gamma_2$ , respectively. Then for any sequences  $\{\gamma_{ik} \subset \gamma_i : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of subarcs such that  $x_i \in \gamma_{ik}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $\delta_{ik} = \max\{|x_i - x| : x \in \gamma_{ik}\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  the equality

$$(5.5.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{1k} \delta_{2k} \exp \text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, \gamma_{2k}) = \lambda_n |x_1 - x_2|^2$$

holds. Here  $\lambda_n$  denotes the Grötzsch constant in  $\overline{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* We may assume  $x_2 = x_1 + e$ , where  $|e| = 1$ . Denote by  $\psi(t)$  the conformal modulus of the Teichmüller ring in  $\overline{R}^n$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , since  $\log \lambda_n t^2 - \psi(t^2 - 1)$  decreases to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  [G, (a), (c), p. 225], there exists  $\alpha = \alpha(\varepsilon) > 1$  such that

$$(5.5.2) \quad 0 < \log \lambda_n \alpha^2 - \psi(\alpha^2 - 1) < \varepsilon.$$

When  $k$  is sufficiently large, the spheres  $S_{ik} = \{x : |x_i - x| = \alpha \delta_{ik}\}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are disjoint. For any line segments  $\tau_{ik}$  of length  $\delta_{ik}$  originated at  $x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) we have (see [V, Lemma 5.53, p. 66])

$$(5.5.3) \quad \text{mod}(\tau_{ik}, S_{ik}) = 2^{-1} \psi(\alpha^2 - 1), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

After a suitable subsequence has been chosen and relabelled, it may be assumed by the raylikeness condition that  $\mu_{x_i}[1/\delta_{ik}]\gamma_{ik} \rightarrow \gamma_{i0}$  in  $\text{Cont } \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ ,  $\gamma_{i0}$  being a unit line segment originated at  $x_i$ . The uniform convergence of rings

$$(\mu_{x_i}[1/\delta_{ik}]\gamma_{ik}, \mu_{x_i}[1/\delta_{ik}]S_{ik}) \rightarrow (\gamma_{i0}, S_i = \{x : |x_i - x| = \alpha\})$$

combined with the continuous property of ring moduli [G, Theorem 5, p. 228] and the equality (5.5.3) together imply

$$(5.5.4) \quad \text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, S_{1k}) + \text{mod}(\gamma_{2k}, S_{2k}) = \psi(\alpha^2 - 1) + O_1$$

with  $O_1 \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the ring  $(S_{1k}, S_{2k})$  may be transformed by a Möbius map into a spherical ring  $\{x : 1 < |x| < T_k\}$  with  $T_k = \exp \text{mod}(S_{1k}, S_{2k}) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , the direct calculation yields

$$(5.5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{mod}(S_{1k}, S_{2k}) &= \log T_k \\ &= \log(1 - \alpha^2(\delta_{1k} - \delta_{2k})^2) - \log \alpha^2 \delta_{1k} \delta_{2k} - 2 \log(1 + T_k^{-1}) \\ &= \log(1/\delta_{1k} \delta_{2k}) - \log \alpha^2 + O_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $O_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The extremal property of the Teichmüller ring [G, Section 2, Theorem 4, p. 226] and the asymptotics for its conformal modulus [G, Section 2, (c), p. 225] imply the estimate

$$(5.5.6) \quad \text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, \gamma_{2k}) \leq \psi\left(\frac{1 + \delta_{1k} + \delta_{2k}}{\delta_{1k} \delta_{2k}}\right) = \log \frac{\lambda_n}{\delta_{1k} \delta_{2k}} + O_3,$$

where  $O_3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from (5.5.4), (5.5.5) and

$$\text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, \gamma_{2k}) \geq \text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, S_{1k}) + \text{mod}(S_{1k}, S_{2k}) + \text{mod}(\gamma_{2k}, S_{2k})$$

that

$$\text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, \gamma_{2k}) \geq \psi(\alpha^2 - 1) - \log \lambda_n \alpha^2 + \log(\lambda_n/\delta_{1k} \delta_{2k}) + O_4,$$

where  $O_4 \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The latter estimate, together with (5.5.2), (5.5.6), implies the double bound

$$\log \lambda_n + O_4 - \varepsilon \leq \text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, \gamma_{2k}) + \log \delta_{1k} \delta_{2k} \leq \log \lambda_n + O_3.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  yields

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{1k} \delta_{2k} \exp \text{mod}(\gamma_{1k}, \gamma_{2k}) = \lambda_n$$

as desired.  $\square$

**5.6. Lemma.** *Let  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  be an id-BMD embedding. A point  $a \in \Sigma$  will be regarded as a regular point for  $f$  if there exists an arc  $\gamma \subset \Sigma$  originated at  $a$  such that both  $\gamma$  and  $f\gamma$  are raylike at points  $a$  and  $fa$ , respectively. If the set  $\Sigma'$  of all regular points for  $f$  is dense in  $\Sigma$ , then  $f$  is a Möbius embedding.*

*Proof.* To prove this assertion we just need to modify the arguments from the proof of Theorem 5.3 slightly as follows. We may think of  $\gamma_{ik}$  as subarcs in  $\gamma_i$  such that  $a_i \in \gamma_{ik}$  and  $\delta_{ik} = \max_{x \in \gamma_{ik}} |x - a_i|$ , while  $\gamma_i$  is just the arc mentioned in the above definition of a regular point for  $f$ . Because of the raylikeness of  $\gamma_i$  and  $f\gamma_i$  at the respective points, Lemma 5.5 yields the asymptotics

$$(5.6.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jk} \exp \operatorname{mod}(\gamma_{ik}, \gamma_{jk}) = \lambda_n |a_i - a_j|^2,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta'_{ik} \delta'_{jk} \exp \operatorname{mod}(f\gamma_{ik}, f\gamma_{jk}) = \lambda_n |fa_i - fa_j|^2,$$

where  $\delta'_{ik} = \max |x - fa_i|$  over  $f\gamma_{ik}$ . The asymptotics (5.3.1) and similar asymptotics for  $\operatorname{mod}(f\gamma_{ik}, f\gamma_{jk})$  should now be replaced by (5.6.1).  $\square$

**5.7. Theorem.** *If  $\Sigma \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is a circle or circular arc, every id-BMD embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  is a Möbius embedding.*

*Proof.* If  $\Sigma$  is a circle, the theorem has been proved in [As5] by arguments quite similar to [G, Section 5, p. 241–243]. Thus we may assume  $\Sigma$  to be a ray originated at 0 and the points 0,  $\infty$  to be fixed under  $f$ . Let  $a \in \Sigma \setminus \{0, \infty\}$  and  $\gamma_a \subset \Sigma$  be the ray originated at  $a$ . In order to prove that  $f\gamma_a$  is raylike at  $fa$  we consider an arbitrary stretching sequence  $\{\mu_{fa}[t_k]\}$  such that  $\mu_{fa}[t_k]f\gamma_a \rightarrow \gamma$  in  $\operatorname{Cont} \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\tilde{z}_k = fz_k$  be a point at the Jordan arc  $\tau \subset f\Sigma$  with endpoints 0 and  $fa$  such that  $|\mu_{fa}[t_k]\tilde{z}_k - fa| = |fa|$ . Since  $\tilde{z}_k \rightarrow fa$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , there may be found an increasing sequence  $t'_k \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $a + t'_k(z_k - a) = \frac{1}{2}a$ . The sequence of rays  $\Sigma_k = \mu_a[t'_k]\Sigma$  converges to a circle  $\Sigma_0 \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  in  $\operatorname{Cont} \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Since the sequence  $\{\nu_k = \mu_{fa}[t_k] \circ f \circ \mu_a^{-1}[t'_k]: \Sigma_k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}\}$  of id-BMD embeddings is normed by the conditions  $\nu_k(a) = f(a)$ ,  $\nu_k(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $|\nu_k(\frac{1}{2}a) - fa| = |fa|$ , it is a normal family. If we apply Theorem 2.3 to choose a subsequence  $\nu_{k_s}$  that converges to an BMD embedding  $\nu: \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  of a circle  $\Sigma_0 \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ , then, because  $t'_{k+1} > t'_k$  and  $\Sigma_k \subset \Sigma_{k+1}$ , we get the equality  $M(E, F) = M(\nu E, \nu F)$  for all rings  $(E, F)$  on  $\Sigma_0 \setminus \{\infty\} = \cup_k \Sigma_k$ . Since  $\nu|_{\Sigma_0 \setminus \{\infty\}}$  is an id-BMD embedding of the line  $\Sigma_0 \setminus \{\infty\}$ , we have the situation as in [As5, Lemma 4]. Thus  $\nu$  is a Möbius embedding of a line  $\Sigma_0 \setminus \{\infty\}$  and hence  $\gamma = \nu\gamma_a$  is a ray originated at  $fa$ . Thus  $f\gamma_a$  is raylike at  $fa$  and the point  $a$  is a regular point for  $f$ . So by Lemma 5.6  $f$  is a Möbius embedding.  $\square$

**5.8. Lemma.** *Let an arc  $\gamma \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  be raylike at a point  $a \in \gamma$ . Then for any id-BMD embedding  $f: \gamma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$  its image  $f\gamma$  is also raylike at  $fa$ .*

*Proof.* Consider an arbitrary stretching sequence  $\mu_{fa}[t_k]$  such that  $t_k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu_{fa}[t_k]f\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'$  in  $\text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$ . For every  $k$  there exists a point  $\tilde{z}_k = fz_k \in f\gamma$  such that  $|\mu_{fa}[t_k]\tilde{z}_k - fa| = 1$ . Since  $\tilde{z}_k \rightarrow fa$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $z_k \rightarrow a$  and may consider  $\nu_k = \mu_a[1/|a - z_k|]$  a stretching sequence for  $\gamma$ . Choosing a suitable relabelled subsequence gives the convergence  $\nu_k\gamma \rightarrow \gamma_0$  in  $\text{Cont } \overline{R}^n$ , where  $\gamma_0$  is a ray originated at  $a$ . The sequence  $\{\tau_k = \mu_{fa}[t_k] \circ f \circ \nu_k^{-1}: \nu_k\gamma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n\}$  of id-BMD embeddings is normed by conditions  $\tau_k a = fa$ ,  $\tau_k(\infty) = \infty$  and  $|\tau_k b_k - fa| = 1$  for  $b_k = \nu_k z_k$  with  $|b_k - a| = 1$ . By Theorem 2.3 we may assume the convergence  $\tau_k \rightarrow \tau: \gamma_0 \rightarrow \gamma'$  of id-BMD embeddings  $\tau_k$  to a BMD embedding  $\tau$  of a ray  $\gamma_0$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $\tau$  is also an id-BMD embedding. Hence by Theorem 5.7 it is a Möbius embedding and  $\gamma' = \tau\gamma_0$  is a ray. Thus the raylikeness of  $f\gamma$  at the point  $fa$  has been proved.  $\square$

**5.9. Theorem.** *Let  $\Sigma \subset \overline{R}^n$  have a dense subset  $\Sigma'$  such that for every point  $a \in \Sigma'$  there exists an arc  $\gamma_a \subset \Sigma$  which is raylike at  $a$ . Then every id-BMD embedding  $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \overline{R}^n$  is a Möbius one.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.8 the situation satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.6, which implies the desired assertion.  $\square$

### Bibliography

- [As1] ASEEV, V.V.: Quasisymmetric embeddings and the mappings that boundedly distort moduli. - Report no. 7190-84, VINITI, Moscow, 1984 (Russian).
- [As2] ASEEV, V.V.: Quasisymmetric embeddings and BMD-homeomorphisms of Jordan arcs and curves. - Report no. 3209-84, VINITI, Moscow, 1984 (Russian).
- [As3] ASEEV, V.V.: Normal families of topological embeddings. - Dinamika Sploshn. Sredy 76, 1986, 32-42 (Russian).
- [As4] ASEEV, V.V.: Convergence and stability of bounded modulus distortion mappings. - Siberian Math. J. 25, 1984, 15-23 (English translation).
- [As5] ASEEV, V.V.: On homeomorphisms of  $k$ -dimensional spheres that preserve  $n$ -dimensional space moduli. - Soviet Math. Dokl. 19, 1978, 1526-1529.
- [As6] ASEEV, V.V.: Plane mappings that preserve moduli. - Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 310, 1990, 1033-1034 (Russian).
- [As7] ASEEV, V.V.: On quasiconformally double homogeneous continua. - Sibirsk. Mat. Z. 26, 1985, 201-203 (Russian).
- [AsV1] ASEEV, V.V., and A.K. VARISOV: On a test for quasi-conformality of mappings of smooth surfaces. - Soviet. Math. Dokl. 18, 1977, 800-803.
- [AsV2] ASEEV, V.V., and A.K. VARISOV: On a conjecture for plane topological embeddings that boundedly distort moduli. - Dokl. Akad. Nauk UzSSR 7, 1988, 8-9 (Russian).
- [AsV3] ASEEV, V.V., and A.K. VARISOV: On removable singularities of plane topological embeddings that boundedly distort moduli. - Izv. Acad. Nauk UzSSR Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk 4, 1988, 10-15 (Russian).
- [AsT] ASEEV, V.V., and D.A. TROTSENKO: Quasisymmetric embeddings, quadruples of points and distortion of moduli. - Siberian Math. J. 28, 1988, 543-548 (English translation).
- [AV] ANDERSON, G.D., and M. VAMANAMURTHY: The transfinite moduli of condensers in space. - Tôhoku Math. J. 40, 1988, 1-25.

- [B] BAGBY, T.: The modulus of a plane condenser. - J. Math. Mech. 17, 1967, 315-329.
- [G] GEHRING, F.W.: Quasiconformal mappings. - In Complex Analysis and Applications, Trieste, 1975, Volume 2, Vienna, 1976, 213-268.
- [K1] KURATOWSKI, K.: Topology, V.1. - Academic Press, 1966.
- [K2] KURATOWSKI, K.: Topology, V.2. - Academic Press, 1968.
- [R] RICKMAN, S.: Quasiconformally equivalent curves. - Duke Math. J. 36, 1962, 387-400.
- [Vä] VÄISÄLÄ, J.: Quasimöbius maps. - J. Analyse Math. 44, 1985, 218-234.
- [V] VUORINEN, M.: Conformal geometry and quasiregular mappings. - Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1319, Springer-Verlag, 1988.

Siberian Branch of the U.S.S.R. Academy of Sciences  
Institute of Mathematics  
630090, Novosibirsk, 90  
U.S.S.R.

Received 15 June 1990