# ABELIAN COVERINGS, POINCARE´ EXPONENT OF CONVERGENCE AND HOLOMORPHIC DEFORMATIONS

## K. Astala and M. Zinsmeister

University of Helsinki, Department of Mathematics P.O. Box 4 (Hallituskatu 15), FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland University of Orléans, Department of Mathematics B.P. 6759, F-45067 Orleans Cedex 2, France

**Abstract.** It is shown that the bottom spectrum  $\lambda_0$  of a hyperbolic 3-manifold M and the exponent of convergence  $\delta$  of the corresponding Kleinian group Γ need not vary real analytically under a holomorphic deformation of the manifold or the group.

### 1. Introduction

This work is the continuation of [AZ2], [AZ3] where we applied the main analytic result of [AZ1] to study Fuchsian groups  $\Gamma$  and their holomorphic deformations, or families of Kleinian groups  $\Gamma_t$  acting on the Riemann sphere with isomorphisms  $\varphi_t, t \in \Delta = \{|z| < 1\}$ , from  $\Gamma$  onto  $\Gamma_t$  with the property that  $\varphi_0 = \text{Id}$  (hence  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$ ) and that the function  $t \mapsto \varphi_t(\gamma)$  is holomorphic for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

The starting point of the story is the fundamental work of Bowen [B]: If  $\Gamma$  is a cocompact Fuchsian group and  $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t\in\Delta}$  a holomorphic deformation of  $\Gamma$ , then for a fixed t, either  $\Gamma_t$  is Fuchsian or

$$
(1) \t\t d_t > 1
$$

where  $d_t$  denotes the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set  $L(\Gamma_t)$  of  $\Gamma_t$ . The author proves this result by reducing the problem to the thermodynamic formalism; he actually ends up with an explicit formula involving the dimension from which he concludes (1). Later Ruelle [R] has shown that the thermodynamic formalism also leads to the important result that

(2) 
$$
t \mapsto d_t
$$
 is real analytic in  $\Delta$ .

In the sequel we study general, not necessarily cocompact Fuchsian groups and we shall refer to (1) and (2) as the Bowen and Ruelle property for  $d_t$ , respectively. Indeed, in the case of general groups the behaviour of the limit set is more

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C62; Secondary 30F40, 58G25.

problematic: As a consequence of [AZ1], we proved in [AZ3] that both properties are false if  $\Delta/\Gamma$  is conformally equivalent to a *Carleson–Denjoy* domain  $\Omega$ , i.e. a domain of the form  $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \backslash K$  where K is a compact subset of **R** satisfying

$$
\forall x \in K, \ \forall t \in ]0, \text{diam}(K)[, \ |K \cap [x-t, x+t]| \ge \varepsilon t
$$

for some constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $|\cdot|$  stands for the Lebesgue measure.

However, there exists also another, and often more natural, possible generalization of the Bowen and Ruelle properties. Recall that each of the groups  $\Gamma_t$ admits the canonical Poincaré extension to a group of isometries of the hyperbolic 3-space and then, every group G of isometries of  $H^3$  has a natural real number attached to it, namely the Poincaré exponent of convergence  $\delta(G)$ . This can be defined, for instance, as the infimum of the real numbers  $\delta$  such that

(3) 
$$
\sum_{g \in G} \exp(-\delta h(g(x), x)) < \infty, \qquad x \in H^3,
$$

where  $h(x, y)$  denotes the hyperbolic metric in  $H^3$ . In the ball model  $B^3$ , (3) becomes  $\sum_{g \in G} (1 - \|g(0)\|)^{\delta} < \infty$ . In geometric terms,  $\delta(G)$  measures the volume growth in the manifold  $H^3/G$ , i.e. if  $V(x,R)$  denotes the hyperbolic volume of the ball with center  $x$  and radius  $R$ , then

$$
\delta(G) = 2 - \overline{\lim_{R \to \infty}} \frac{\log V(x, R)}{2R}.
$$

If  $(\Gamma_t)$  is a holomorphic deformation of the Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$ , let us denote by  $\delta_t$  the exponent of convergence of  $\Gamma_t$ ; it is always true that  $\delta_t \leq d_t$  ([N]) with equality if  $\Gamma$  is cocompact. However, in general it is not even true that  $\delta_0 = d_0$ ; the first number can be shown to be the dimension of the conical limit set of the Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  and it can be much smaller than the whole limit set. This is the case, for example, for groups uniformizing the Carleson–Denjoy domains (see [F]).

The importance of the exponent of convergence lies in its connections to the potential theory on the hyperbolic manifold  $H^3/\Gamma_t$  and, in particular, with the spectral properties of the Laplace operator  $\Delta$ . Recall that [S1] for a Riemannian manifold M, the bottom spectrum or the lowest eigenvalue  $\lambda_0(M)$  of  $-\Delta$  on  $L^2(M)$  is obtained as the infimum of

$$
\frac{\displaystyle\int_M|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\displaystyle\int_M|\varphi|^2}
$$

over the class of  $C^{\infty}$  functions with compact support on M. Note that this number is also the supremum of the real  $\lambda$  for which there exists a positive smooth function f on  $M$  satisfying

$$
\Delta f = \lambda f.
$$

Any holomorphic deformation  $\Gamma_t$  is quasiconformally conjugate to the initial Fuchsian group  $\Gamma_0$  and hence in particular,  $\Gamma_t$  can be expressed as a countable union of geometrically finite groups. For such Kleinian groups acting in  $H^3$  we have then, see [N], the following result known as the Elstrodt–Patterson–Sullivan theorem:

**Theorem 0.** If  $\delta$  is the Poincaré exponent of  $\Gamma$  and  $M = H^3/\Gamma$ , then

$$
\lambda_0(M) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \delta \le 1, \\ \delta(2 - \delta), & \text{if } \delta > 1. \end{cases}
$$

The aim of this paper is to consider the analyticity properties of the exponent  $\delta_t$  or the bottom spectrum  $\lambda_0(M_t)$ ,  $M_t = H^3/\Gamma_t$ , for deformations of non-cocompact Fuchsian groups. As the main result we show that the Bowen and Ruelle properties (1), (2) both fail also for  $\delta_t$ , even in case of surfaces that are in a sense very close to compact surfaces.

To be more precise, let  $S_0$  be a compact surface of genus 3 and  $G_0$  its uniformizing group; let  $L_0$  be a normal subgroup of  $G_0$  such that  $G_0/L_0$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  and let  $C_0 = \Delta/L_0$ . Then  $C_0$  is the so called infinite "jungle gym", that is,  $C_0$  can be quasi-isometrically embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as a surface C which is invariant under translations  $t_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ , in three orthogonal directions. Moreover,  $S_0 \simeq C/\langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle$ .

We shall then prove the following

**Theorem 1.** There exists a holomorphic deformation  $(\Gamma_t)$  of  $L_0$  such that  $\delta_t > 1$  for t close to 1 and  $\delta_t \equiv 1$  for t close to 0. As a consequence, neither the Bowen nor the Ruelle property holds for  $\delta_t$ .

Remarks. 1. Theorems 0 and 1 combined prove the existence of a "holomorphic" family of hyperbolic manifolds  $M_t$ , such that  $t \mapsto \lambda_0(M_t)$  is not real analytic. The manifolds are uniformly hyperbolic in the sense that the lengths of nontrivial hyperbolic geodesics have a uniform lower bound.

2. An interesting question is to decide if the same property holds for abelian coverings by  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  when  $d = 1$  or 2; the main difference is of course that then the uniformizing group is of divergence type and the convergence property in the case  $d = 3$  will be crucial for our argument.

#### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $S_0 \sim \Delta/G_0$  be as above, let  $S_1 \sim \Delta/G_1$  be a second compact surface of genus 3 and choose a quasiconformal homeomorphism f from  $S_0$  onto  $S_1$ . This lifts to a homeomorphism  $\overline{f}$ :  $\Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$  conjugating  $G_0$  to  $G_1$ . We may assume that f is nontrivial; in other words, that the pair  $(S_1, f)$  is a non-trivial element of the Teichmüller space  $T(S_0)$ . We obtain therefore a new group  $L_1 = \overline{f} \circ L_0 \circ \overline{f}^{-1}$ and a new gym  $C_1 = \Delta/L_1$ .

Next, let q be the quasiconformal homeomorphism of the sphere  $\overline{C}$  which is conformal outside  $\Delta$  and whose complex dilatation is equal to the dilatation of f inside  $\Delta$ . Then g maps the unit disk to a Jordan domain  $\Omega$  and conjugates  $G_0$  to a quasifuchsian group G which leaves  $\Omega$  invariant. It follows that  $\Omega/G$  and  ${}^c\Omega/G$ are conformally equivalent to  $S_1$  and  $S_0^*$  $\int_0^*$ , respectively, where  $S_0^* \sim {}^c \overline{\Delta}/G_0$  is the mirror image of  $S_0$ . In fact, we have just described Bers' simultaneous uniformisation of the two surfaces. By construction, g conjugates  $L_0$  to a Kleinian group L with  $\partial\Omega$  as its limit set and we have as well that  $\Omega/L$ ,  ${}^{c}\Omega/L$  are conformally equivalent to  $C_1$  and  $C_0^*$  $_{0}^{\prime\ast}$ , respectively.

By cocompactness, the Poincaré exponent  $\delta(G)$  of G is equal to the Hausdorff dimension of  $\partial\Omega$  and hence by Bowen's theorem it is strictly greater than 1. Moreover, according to a theorem of M. Rees ([Re]) this quantity is also equal to the Poincaré exponent  $\delta(L)$  of L, since the group G is an abelian extension of L.

The second step in the proof consists of replacing the gym  $C_1$  by a surface  $C_M$  which will be more suitable for our purposes. In order to do so, we first note that there is a natural partition  $C_j^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , of  $C_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ . Namely, as above we can represent  $C_i$  as a quasi-isometric copy of a surface  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  which is invariant under the orthogonal translations  $t_1, t_2, t_3$ . Considering the translation group  $\langle t_1, t_2, t_3 \rangle$  and its (relatively compact) fundamental domain F in C, we see that the translates of  $F$  tile the surface  $C$ . Taking the images of the tiles under the corresponding quasi-isometries  $C \longrightarrow C_j$  we obtain the desired partition. If then M is a large real number and  $\pi: \Delta \longrightarrow C_0 = \Delta/L_0$  is the covering, we build a quasiconformal map  $f_M: \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$  by requiring that the complex dilatation of  $f_M$  equals the dilatation of  $\overline{f}$  in  $\pi^{-1}(\bigcup_{m\in[-M,M]^3}C_0^m)$  and vanishes elsewhere in  $\Delta$ . By construction  $f_M$  conjugates  $L_0$  to a Fuchsian group with quotient  $C_M$ , a surface quasiconformally equivalent to  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ .

We are here interested in the simultaneous uniformization of  $C_0$  and  $C_M$ which is constructed as in the previous step: Consider the qc homeomorphism  $q_M$ of the plane which is conformal outside  $\Delta$  and has the same complex dilatation as  $f_M$  inside  $\Delta$ . Using  $g_M$  we can put

$$
L_M = g_M \circ L_0 \circ g_M^{-1}.
$$

Finally, since the homeomorphisms  $g_M$  are uniformly quasiconformal and since the mappings  $f_M$  are constructed so that the complex dilatations of  $g_M$  converge pointwise to the dilatation of  $g$ , after appropriate normalizations the elements  $g_M \circ \gamma \circ g_M^{-1}$  of the group  $L_M$  converge uniformly on C to  $g \circ \gamma \circ g^{-1}$ , i.e. to the corresponding element of  $L$ . We can then apply a theorem of Sullivan [S2], or more precisely the proof of Theorem 7 there, to get the estimate

$$
\underline{\lim}_{M \longrightarrow \infty} \delta(L_M) \ge \delta(L)
$$

for the corresponding Poincaré exponents.

From now on we then fix M large enough so that  $\delta(L_M) > 1$  and to clarify the notations, we drop the subscript  $M$ .

In conclusion, we have shown the existence of a quasifuchsian conjugate L of the original gym group  $L_0$  such that firstly,  $\delta(L) > 1$  and secondly, the complex dilatation of the conjugating quasiconformal mapping  $q$  is compactly supported (mod  $L_0$ ).

For the third and main step, we begin by noticing that the group  $L_0$  is of convergence type, i.e. its Poincaré series converges at the exponent  $\delta = 1$  (see [LS]). Let  $\mu$  be the dilatation of g; by construction, for a large (hyperbolic) radius R, this function is supported in  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in L_0} B(\gamma(0), R)$ . Hence the same reasoning as in [AZ2] implies that for some constant  $C > 0$ ,

$$
\forall r > 0, \ \forall z \in \partial \Delta, \ \int_{D(z,r)} \frac{|\mu(\zeta)|^2}{1 - |\zeta|} d\zeta d\overline{\zeta} \le Cr,
$$

or in other words,  $|\mu|^2/(1-|\zeta|) d\zeta d\overline{\zeta}$  is a Carleson measure in  $\Delta$ .

We shall then define a holomorphic deformation of  $L_0$  by

$$
\mathscr{G}_t = F_t \circ L_0 \circ F_t^{-1},
$$

where  $F_t$  is the (normalized) qc mapping with dilatation  $t\mu$ . Hence  $\mathscr{G}_0 = L_0$ ,  $\mathscr{G}_1 =$ L and so for this deformation  $\delta_1 > 1$ ,  $\delta_0 = 1$ . On the other hand, the Carleson measure condition implies (see [Se]) that for t near 0 the limit set  $L(\mathscr{G}_t) = F_t(\partial \Delta)$ is rectifiable and therefore has dimension  $d_t = 1$ . This bounds also the Poincaré exponent  $\delta_t = \delta(\mathscr{G}_t)$  which remains always smaller than the dimension of the limit set. To conclude the proof, we must hence show that in fact  $\delta_t \geq 1$  for |t| small.

We first argue that the conical limit set  $\mathscr{C}_t$  of  $\mathscr{G}_t$  is equal to  $F_t(\mathscr{C}_0)$ , the image under  $F_t$  of the conical limit set  $\mathcal{C}_0$  of  $L_0$ . This follows, for instance, from a result of Tukia (as presented in [DE], Theorem 5): For  $|t|$  small,  $F_t$  can be extended to a hyperbolic quasi-isometry of  $H^3$  in such a way that we still have the conjugation (4) for the corresponding groups acting on  $H^3$ . An alternative way to prove  $F_t(\mathscr{C}_0) = \mathscr{C}_t$ , pointed out by the referee, is to apply the characterization of  $\mathscr{C}_t$  as the set of points of approximation of  $\mathscr{G}_t$ . That is, by [BM]  $z \in \mathscr{C}_t$  if and only if there is a sequence  $\{g_m\}_1^{\infty} \subset \mathscr{G}_t$  such that  $|g_m(z) - g_m(x)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ , uniformly on compact subsets of  $\overline{C}\setminus z$ . This notion is clearly invariant even under a topological conjugacy.

Next, recall that in all dimensions, for a Kleinian group the Hausdorff dimension of the conical limit set is smaller than or equal to the Poincaré exponent and that the equality holds in case of Fuchsian groups ([N, pp. 154 and 159]). Therefore we can deduce from M. Rees' theorem that  $\dim_H(\mathscr{C}_0) = \delta(L_0) = \delta(G_0) = 1$ . But now, as a mapping of  $\overline{C}$ ,  $F_t$  is conformal outside  $\Delta$  and so according to a theorem of Makarov [M],  $\dim_H(F_tE) \geq 1$  for any set  $E \subset \partial \Delta$  of Hausdorff dimension 1. In particular, we obtain that  $\delta_t \ge \dim_H(\mathscr{C}_t) \ge 1$  for all  $|t|$  sufficiently small.

#### References

- [AZ1] ASTALA, K., and M. ZINSMEISTER: Teichmüller spaces and BMOA. Math. Ann. 289, 1991, 613–625.
- [AZ2] Astala, K., and M. Zinsmeister: Mostow rigidity and Fuchsian groups. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 311, 1990, 301-306.
- [AZ3] Astala, K., and M. Zinsmeister: Analytic families of quasiFuchsian groups. Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 14, 1994, 207–212.
- [BM] BEARDON, A.F., and B. MASKIT: Limit points of Kleinian groups and finite sided fundamental polyhedra. - Acta Math. 132, 1974, 1–12.
- [Bo] Bowen, R.: Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. ´ 50, 1979, 11–25.
- [DE] Douady, A., and C. Earle: Conformally natural extensions of homeomorphisms of the circle. - Acta Math. 157, 1986, 23–48.
- [F] Fernandez, J.: Domains with strong barrier. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 5, 1989, 47–65.
- [LS] Lyons, T., and D. Sullivan: Function theory, random paths and covering spaces. J. Differential Geom. 19, 1984, 299–323.
- [M] Makarov, N.: Conformal mapping and Hausdorff measure. Ark. Mat. 25, 1987, 41–89.
- [N] Nicholls, P.: The ergodic theory of discrete groups. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 143, 1989.
- [Re] Rees, M.: Checking ergodicity of some geodesic flows with infinite Gibbs measure. Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 1, 1981, 107–133.
- [R] Ruelle, D.: Repellers for real analytic maps. Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 2, 1982, 99–107.
- [Se] Semmes, S.: Quasiconformal mappings and chord-arc curves. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 306, 1988, 233–263.
- [S1] Sullivan, D.: Related aspects of positivity in Riemannian geometry. J. Differential Geom. 25, 1987, 327–351.
- [S2] Sullivan, D.: Growth of positive harmonic functions and Kleinian group limit sets of zero planar measure and Hausdorff dimension two. - In: Lecture Notes in Math. 894, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1981, pp. 127–144.

Received 2 June 1993