

TANGENTIAL LIMITS OF MONOTONE SOBOLEV FUNCTIONS

Yoshihiro Mizuta

Hiroshima University, Faculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences
The Division of Mathematical and Information Sciences
Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan; mizuta@mis.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Abstract. Tangential limits have been discussed by several authors for harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet integral. This paper deals mostly with tangential limits for monotone functions in the half space of R^n , which are extensions of monotone functions on the one dimensional space R^1 . Harmonic functions together with solutions in a wider class of nonlinear elliptic equations are monotone in our sense; of course, the coordinate functions of quasiregular mappings are monotone. We first give the fine limit result for Sobolev functions, and then apply the estimate of the oscillations over balls by the p -th means of partial derivatives over balls.

1. Introduction

Our aim in this paper is to study tangential boundary limits of monotone functions u with finite Dirichlet integral in the half space $R_+^n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) : x_n > 0\}$, $n \geq 2$. We say that u has finite Dirichlet integral if

$$(1) \quad \int_{R_+^n} |\text{grad } u(x)|^n dx < \infty.$$

Further we say that a continuous function u on R_+^n is monotone (in the sense of Lebesgue) if

$$\max_{\overline{G}} u = \max_{\partial G} u \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{\overline{G}} u = \min_{\partial G} u$$

hold for any relatively compact open set G in R_+^n , where $\overline{G} = G \cup \partial G$ (see Vuorinen [22], [23]). Harmonic functions, (weak) solutions in a wider class of (non)linear elliptic partial differential equations and the coordinate functions of quasiregular mappings are monotone (see e.g. Gilbarg–Trudinger [5], Heinonen–Kilpeläinen–Martio [6], Reshetnyak [19], Serrin [20] and Vuorinen [23]). For $\gamma \geq 1$, $\xi \in \partial R_+^n$ and $a > 0$, consider the set

$$T_\gamma(\xi; a) = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R_+^n : |x - \xi|^\gamma < ax_n\}.$$

If $\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a)} u(x) = \ell$ for every $a > 0$, then u is said to have a T_γ -limit ℓ at ξ ; u is said to have a nontangential limit at ξ if it has a T_1 -limit at ξ . We say further that u has a T_∞ -limit ℓ at $\xi \in \partial R_+^n$ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a)} u(x) = \ell$$

for every $\gamma > 1$ and $a > 0$ (cf. [14]). To evaluate the size of exceptional sets, we use the capacity

$$C_{1,p}(E; G) = \inf \|f\|_p^p,$$

where G is an open set in R^n and the infimum is taken over all nonnegative measurable functions f such that $f = 0$ outside G and

$$\int |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy \geq 1 \quad \text{for every } x \in E;$$

see [8] for the basic properties of capacity. Since $C_{1,p}(E; R^n) = 0$ for any set E when $p \geq n$, we write $C_{1,p}(E) = 0$ simply if

$$C_{1,p}(E \cap G; G) = 0 \quad \text{for every bounded open set } G.$$

In case $1 < p < n$, $C_{1,p}(E) = 0$ if and only if $C_{1,p}(E; R^n) = 0$.

Our main aim in this paper is to establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If u is a monotone function on R_+^n satisfying (1), then u has a finite T_∞ -limit at every boundary point except for a set $E \subset \partial R_+^n$ such that $C_{1,n}(E) = 0$.*

The nontangential case for harmonic functions has been dealt by many mathematicians (cf. Beurling [1], Carleson [2], Gavrillov [4], Wallin [24] and the author [11]). Miklyukov [10] discussed the nontangential limits for quasiregular mappings with finite Dirichlet integral. Recently, Manfredi and Villamor [7] have proved the existence of nontangential limits for monotone functions on the unit ball. The present tangential limit result for harmonic functions was obtained by Cruzeiro [3].

It is well-known (through an application of change of variables) that the coordinate functions of bounded quasiconformal mappings defined on R_+^n have finite Dirichlet integral, so that Theorem 1 gives the following result (see Väisälä [21] and Vuorinen [23] for the definition of quasiconformal mappings).

Corollary 1. *Every coordinate function of bounded quasiconformal mappings on R_+^n has a finite T_∞ -limit at every boundary point except for a set $E \subset \partial R_+^n$ such that $C_{1,n}(E) = 0$.*

This corollary gives an affirmative answer to the open problem given by Vuorinen [23, 15.16].

The key of proving our theorem mentioned above is the fact that if u is monotone on the ball $B(x, r)$ centered at x with radius r and $n - 1 < p \leq n$, then

$$|u(x) - u(y)|^p \leq Mr^{p-n} \int_{B(x,r)} |\text{grad } u(z)|^p dz$$

whenever $y \in B(x, r/2)$, with a positive constant M independent of r (see [7, Remark, p. 9] and [23, Section 16]). In the author's paper [12], we used this inequality to study the existence of nontangential limits of weak solutions for nonlinear Laplace equations.

We also give an improvement of the result by Manfredi and Villamor [7] concerning the Lindelöf-type theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let u be a monotone function on R_+^n satisfying*

$$(2) \quad \int_{R_+^n} |\text{grad } u(x)|^p dx < \infty$$

for $n - 1 < p \leq n$. Consider the set

$$E_p = \left\{ \xi \in \partial R_+^n : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{p-n} \int_{R_+^n \cap B(\xi,r)} |\text{grad } u(y)|^p dy > 0 \right\}.$$

If $\xi \in \partial R_+^n - E_p$ and u has a finite limit along a rectifiable curve in R_+^n ending to ξ , then u has a nontangential limit at ξ .

It is easy to see that E_p has $(n - p)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero; in case $p = n$, the exceptional set in this discussion is empty as was also pointed out in [7, Theorem 2], because $E_n = \emptyset$. Note further that $C_{1,p}(E_p) = 0$.

The author wishes to express his deep gratitude to the referee for his kind and valuable suggestions.

2. Preliminary lemmas

Throughout this paper, let M denote various constants independent of the variables in question.

To represent Sobolev functions in the integral form, we use the kernel functions

$$k_j(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x_j - y_j)|x - y|^{-n}, & y \in B(0, 1), \\ (x_j - y_j)|x - y|^{-n} - (-y_j)|y|^{-n}, & y \in R^n - B(0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1 (cf. [16, Lemma 3]). *Let u be a continuous function on R_+^n satisfying (2). Then there exist functions $u_j \in L^n(R^n)$ and a constant C for which*

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{R^n} k_j(x, y)u_j(y) dy + C$$

for every $x \in R_+^n$.

In view of Lemma 1, we have for $x \in B(0, N) \cap R_+^n$, $N > 0$,

$$(3) \quad u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(0, 2N)} (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n} u_j(y) dy + v_N(x)$$

with a continuous function v_N on $B(0, N)$. For a function u satisfying (1), set

$$A_1 = \left\{ \xi \in \partial R_+^n : \int_{B(\xi, 1)} |\xi - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy = \infty \right\}$$

and

$$A_2 = \left\{ \xi \in \partial R_+^n : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} [\log(1/r)]^{n-1} \int_{B(\xi, r)} f(y)^n dy > 0 \right\},$$

where $f = \sqrt{|u_1|^2 + \cdots + |u_n|^2}$.

The following is easy.

Lemma 2. *Let u be a continuous function on R_+^n satisfying (1). Then*

$$C_{1,n}(A_1) = 0.$$

If $h(r) = [\log(2 + 1/r)]^{1-n}$, then A_2 has zero Hausdorff measure with respect to the measure function h , that is,

$$H_h(A_2) = 0.$$

In view of [9], we find

Lemma 3. *Let u be a continuous function on R_+^n satisfying (1). Then*

$$C_{1,n}(A_2) = 0.$$

Lemma 4 (cf. [14, Theorem 2' and Remark 1]). *Let u be a continuous function on R_+^n satisfying (1). If $\xi \in \partial R_+^n - (A_1 \cup A_2)$, then there exists a set $E \subset R_+^n$ such that*

- (i) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a) - E} u(x)$ exists and is finite for any $\gamma > 1$ and any $a > 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{n-1} C_{1,n}(E_j; B_j) = 0$,

where $E_j = \{x \in E : 2^{-j} \leq |x| < 2^{-j+1}\}$ and $B_j = \{x \in R^n : 2^{-j-1} < |x| < 2^{-j+2}\}$.

Proof. Let $\xi \in B(0, N) \cap \partial R_+^n$. If we note (3), then we may assume that

$$u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(0, 2N)} (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n} u_j(y) dy$$

for every $x \in R_+^n$. The right-hand side is denoted by $\bar{u}(x)$ for $x \in R^n$, at which the integrals are convergent in absolute value. For $x \in R_+^n$, write

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(0, 2N) - B(\xi, 2|x-\xi|)} (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n} u_j(y) dy, \\ U_2(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(\xi, 2|x-\xi|) - B(x, x_n/2)} (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n} u_j(y) dy, \\ U_3(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n} u_j(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi \notin A_1$, $\bar{u}(\xi)$ is finite, so that we apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem to see that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi} U_1(x) = \bar{u}(\xi).$$

As before, set

$$f = \sqrt{|u_1|^2 + \dots + |u_n|^2}.$$

By Hölder's inequality we have for $x \in B(\xi, 1/2) \cap R_+^n$

$$|U_2(x)| \leq M \left([\log(4|x - \xi|/x_n)]^{n-1} \int_{B(\xi, 2|x-\xi|)} f(y)^n dy \right)^{1/n},$$

so that, since $\xi \notin A_2$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a)} U_2(x) = 0.$$

For a sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive numbers, we consider the sets

$$E_j = \{x : 2^{-j} \leq |x| < 2^{-j+1}, |U_3(x)| \geq b_j^{-1}\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j.$$

Note that for $x \in E_j$,

$$|U_3(x)| \leq \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy \leq \int_{B_j} |x - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy.$$

Consequently we have by the definition of capacity

$$C_{1,n}(E_j; B_j) \leq b_j^n \int_{B_j} f(y)^n dy.$$

Since $\xi \notin A_2$, we can find a sequence $\{b_j\}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j = \infty$ but

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j^n j^{n-1} \int_{B_j} f(y)^n dy = 0.$$

Now it follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{n-1} C_{1,n}(E_j; B_j) = 0.$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n - E} |U_3(x)| \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} b_j^{-1} = 0.$$

Thus Lemma 4 is established.

Lemma 5 (cf. [18, Lemma 7.3]. *If $x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a)$ and $x_n < 1/2$, then*

$$C_{1,n}(B(x, x_n/2); B(\xi, 2|x - \xi|)) \sim [\log(4|x - \xi|/x_n)]^{1-n}.$$

Proof. For our later use, we shall show only that

$$C_{1,n}(B(x, x_n/2); B(\xi, 2|x - \xi|)) \geq M[\log(4|x - \xi|/x_n)]^{1-n}.$$

For this purpose, take a nonnegative function f such that $f = 0$ outside $B(\xi, 2|x - \xi|)$ and

$$\int |z - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy \geq 1 \quad \text{for every } z \in B(x, x_n/2).$$

Then we have by Fubini's theorem and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} dz &\leq \int_{B(x, x_n/2)} \left(\int |z - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy \right) dz \\ &= \int \left(\int_{B(x, x_n/2)} |z - y|^{1-n} dz \right) f(y) dy \\ &\leq M x_n^n \int_{B(x, 3|x - \xi|)} (x_n + |x - y|)^{1-n} f(y) dy \\ &\leq M x_n^n [\log(3|x - \xi|/x_n)]^{(n-1)/n} \|f\|_n, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the required inequality.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

For $\xi \in \partial R_+^n - (A_1 \cup A_2)$, take a set E as in Lemma 4. Since u is monotone on R_+^n ,

$$(4) \quad |u(x) - u(y)|^n \leq M \int_{B(x, x_n)} |\text{grad } u(z)|^n dz$$

whenever $y \in B(x, x_n/2)$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R_+^n$ (see [7] and [23]). If $x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a)$, then Lemma 5 implies that $B(x, x_n/2) - E$ is not empty, so that there exists $y(x) \in B(x, x_n/2) - E$ (when x_n is small enough). Then we see from (4) that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a)} |u(x) - u(y(x))| = 0.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a)} u(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \xi, x \in T_\gamma(\xi, a)} u(y(x)),$$

so that the limit on the left exists and is finite. Thus $E = A_1 \cup A_2$ has all the required properties, with the aid of Lemmas 2 and 3.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Manfredi and Villamor [7] proved the following result concerning the existence of nontangential limits.

Theorem 3 ([7]). *Let u be a monotone function on R_+^n satisfying (2) for $n - 1 < p < n$. Then u has a nontangential limit at every $\xi \in \partial R_+^n - E$, where $C_{1,p}(E) = 0$.*

As will be shown soon, we may take the above E as

$$E = A_1 \cup A_{2,p},$$

where A_1 is defined as after Lemma 1, that is,

$$A_1 = \left\{ \xi \in \partial R_+^n : \int_{B(\xi, 1)} |\xi - y|^{1-n} f(y) dy = \infty \right\}$$

and

$$A_{2,p} = \left\{ \xi \in \partial R_+^n : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{p-n} \int_{B(\xi, r)} f(y)^p dy > 0 \right\},$$

where $f(y) = |\text{grad } u(y)|$.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 2. Our end in this direction is to show that the condition that $\xi \notin A_1$ may be replaced by the existence of asymptotic values.

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\xi = 0$. Let C be a rectifiable curve in R_+^n tending to $\xi = 0$, and assume that u has a finite limit along C . For $2^{-j} \leq r < 2^{-j+1}$, take a point $C(r)$ on $C \cap \partial B(0, r)$. Letting $e(r) = (0, \dots, 0, r)$, we see that

$$(5) \quad |u(e(r)) - u(y)|^p \leq Mr^{p-n} \int_{B(e(r), r/2)} f(z)^p dz$$

whenever $y \in B(e(r), r/2)$, where $f(z) = |\text{grad } u(z)|$. Moreover, letting θ denote the angle between the x_n -axis and the vector $\vec{0x}$, we find

$$|u(y) - u(C(r))| \leq \int_0^\pi f(r\Theta) r d\theta$$

along the circular arc $\{r\Theta\}$ through y and $C(r)$, for $y \in B(e(r), r/2) \cap \partial B(0, r)$. By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(y) - u(C(r))| &\leq \left(\int_0^\pi [r^{1-(n-1)/p} (\sin \theta)^{-(n-2)/p}]^{p'} d\theta \right)^{1/p'} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^\pi f(r\Theta)^p r^{n-1} \sin^{n-2} \theta d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq Mr^{1-(n-1)/p} \left(\int_0^\pi f(r\Theta)^p r^{n-1} \sin^{n-2} \theta d\theta \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Hence it follows that

$$\inf_{y \in B(e(r), r/2)} |u(y) - u(C(r))|^p \leq Mr^{p-n+1} \int_0^\pi f(r\Theta)^p r^{n-1} \sin^{n-2} \theta d\theta,$$

so that, by considering polar coordinates with the north pole $C(r)/|C(r)|$, we have

$$\inf_{y \in B(e(r), r/2)} |u(y) - u(C(r))|^p \leq Mr^{p-n+1} \int_{\partial B(0, r)} f(z)^p dS(z).$$

By integrating both sides with respect to r , we obtain

$$\int_{2^{-j}}^{2^{-j+1}} \left[\inf_{y \in B(e(r), r/2)} |u(y) - u(C(r))|^p \right] r^{n-p-1} dr \leq M \int_{B_j} f(z)^p dz.$$

Now we can find r_j such that $2^{-j} \leq r_j < 2^{-j+1}$ and

$$\inf_{y \in B(e(r_j), r_j/2)} |u(y) - u(C(r_j))|^p \leq M2^{j(n-p)} \int_{B_j} f(z)^p dz.$$

In view of (5),

$$|u(e(r_j)) - u(C(r_j))|^p \leq M2^{j(n-p)} \int_{B_j} f(z)^p dz.$$

If the origin does not belong to $A_{2,p}$, then this implies that $\{u(e(r_j))\}$ has a finite limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Applying (5) again, we see that

$$|u(x) - u(e(r_j))|^p \leq M2^{j(n-p)} \int_{B_j \cap T_1(0,2a)} |\text{grad } u(z)|^p dz$$

for all $x \in T_1(0, a)$ with $2^{-j} \leq |x| < 2^{-j+1}$. Therefore u has a nontangential limit at the origin. Thus we have proved that u has a nontangential limit at every $\xi \in \partial R_+^n - A_{2,p}$, and the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Theorem 4. *Let u be a monotone function on R_+^n satisfying (2) for $n - 1 < p < n$, and let $\gamma > 1$. Then there exists $E_\gamma \subset \partial R_+^n$ such that $H_{\gamma(n-p)}(E_\gamma) = 0$ and u has a finite T_γ -limit at every $\xi \in \partial R_+^n - E_\gamma$.*

In fact we may take $E_\gamma = A_1 \cup A_{2,p,\gamma}$, where

$$A_{2,p,\gamma} = \left\{ \xi \in \partial R_+^n : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{\gamma(p-n)} \int_{B(\xi,r)} |\text{grad } u(y)|^p dy > 0 \right\}.$$

In the harmonic case, we refer the reader to [15].

Theorem 5. *Let u be a harmonic function on R_+^n satisfying (1) and $\xi \in \partial R_+^n - A_2$. If u has a finite limit along a rectifiable curve in R_+^n ending to ξ , then u has a T_∞ -limit at ξ .*

To prove this theorem, let $\xi = 0$. It suffices to note that

$$|u(x) - u(e(|x|))|^n \leq M[\log(2|x|/x_n)]^{n-1} \int_{B_j \cap R_+^n} |\text{grad } u(z)|^n dz$$

for all $x \in T_\gamma(0, a)$ with $2^{-j} \leq |x| < 2^{-j+1}$ (see [17, Theorem 1 and its proof]), because Theorem 2 implies that $u(e(|x|))$ has a limit at the origin.

5. Remarks

Remark 1. According to [15, Remark 5], for given $\gamma > 1$ and $1 < p \leq n$, we can find a harmonic function u on R_+^n satisfying (2) such that

- (i) u has a nontangential limit at the origin.
- (ii) $\limsup_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in T_{\gamma'}(0, a') - T_{\gamma'}(0, a)} u(x) = \infty$ for every $\gamma' > \gamma$ and $a' > a$.

This shows that the assumption $\xi \in \partial R_+^n - A_2$ is needed in Theorem 5.

Remark 2. We do not know whether Theorem 5 remains valid for general monotone functions or not.

Remark 3. For $x^{(j)} = (2^{-j}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \partial R_+^n$ and $0 < r_j < 2^{-j-1}$, consider the sets

$$B_j = [B(x^{(j)}, 2^{-j-2}s_j) - B(x^{(j)}, r_js_j)] - R_+^n, \quad \text{where } s_j = \left(\log \frac{1}{2^j r_j}\right)^{(2-n)/n}.$$

Suppose $\{r_j\}$ is chosen so small that

$$\sum_j \left(\log \frac{1}{2^j r_j}\right)^{1-n} < \infty;$$

if this is the case, $B = \bigcup_j R_+^n \cap B(x^{(j)}, r_j)$ is called $C_{1,n}$ -thin at the origin in the sense of [13]. Taking a sequence $\{a_j\}$ of positive numbers such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j = \infty$$

and

$$(6) \quad \sum_j a_j^n \left(\log \frac{1}{2^j r_j}\right)^{1-n} < \infty,$$

we now define

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} a_j \left(\log \frac{1}{2^j r_j}\right)^{-1} |x^{(j)} - y|^{-1} & \text{when } y \in B_j, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$u(x) = \int_{R^n} \frac{x_n - y_n}{|x - y|^n} f(y) dy, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_n).$$

Then, as in [13, Proposition], we can prove:

- (i) u is a harmonic function on R_+^n with finite Dirichlet integral.
- (ii) u has a nontangential limit at the origin.
- (iii) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u(x^{(j)} + (0, \dots, 0, r_j)) = \infty$.

To show (i) and (ii), we note by (6) that

$$\int f(y)^n dy \leq M \sum_j a_j^n \left(\log \frac{1}{2^j r_j}\right)^{-n+1} < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(0) &= \int (-y_n)|y|^{-n} f(y) dy \\ &\leq M \sum_j a_j \left(\log \frac{1}{2^j r_j} \right)^{-1} 2^{jn} \int_{B_j} (-y_n) |x^{(j)} - y|^{-1} dy \\ &\leq M \sum_j a_j \left(\log \frac{1}{2^j r_j} \right)^{-n+1} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we see that for $x \in R_+^n \cap B(x^{(j)}, r_j)$,

$$u(x) \geq M a_j \left(\log \frac{1}{2^j r_j} \right)^{-1} \int_{r_j s_j}^{2^{-j-2} s_j} (|x - x^{(j)}| + r)^{1-n} r^{-1} r^{n-1} dr \geq M a_j,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0, x \in B} u(x) = \infty.$$

Remark 4. The examples in Remarks 1 and 3 show that the existence of nontangential limits may not always imply that of tangential limits.

References

- [1] BEURLING, A.: Ensembles exceptionnels. - Acta Math. 72, 1940, 1–13.
- [2] CARLESON, L.: Selected Problems on Exceptional Sets. - Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.
- [3] CRUZEIRO, A.B.: Convergence au bord pour les fonctions harmoniques dans R^d de la classe de Sobolev W_1^d . - C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 294, 1982, 71–74.
- [4] GAVRILOV, V.I.: On the theorems of Beurling, Carleson and Tsuji on exceptional sets. - Math. USSR-Sb. 23, 1974, 1–12.
- [5] GILBARG, D., and N.S. TRUDINGER: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Second Edition. - Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [6] HEINONEN, J., T. KILPELÄINEN, and O. MARTIO: Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations. - Clarendon Press, 1993.
- [7] MANFREDI, J.J., and E. VILLAMOR: Traces of monotone Sobolev functions. - J. Geom. Anal. (to appear).
- [8] MEYERS, N.G.: A theory of capacities for potentials in Lebesgue classes. - Math. Scand. 26, 1970, 255–292.
- [9] MEYERS, N.G.: Continuity properties of potentials. - Duke Math. J. 42, 1975, 157–166.
- [10] MIKLYUKOV, V.M.: A certain boundary property of n -dimensional mappings with bounded distortion. - Mat. Zametki 11, 1972, 159–164.
- [11] MIZUTA, Y.: On the existence of non-tangential limits of harmonic functions. - Hiroshima Math. J. 7, 1977, 161–164.
- [12] MIZUTA, Y.: Existence of non-tangential limits of solutions of non-linear Laplace equation. - Hiroshima Math. J. 10, 1980, 365–368.
- [13] MIZUTA, Y.: On the behavior of harmonic functions near a hyperplane. - Analysis 2, 1982, 203–218.

- [14] MIZUTA, Y.: On the behavior of potentials near a hyperplane. - Hiroshima Math. J. 13, 1983, 529–542.
- [15] MIZUTA, Y.: On the boundary limits of harmonic functions with gradient in L^p . - Ann. Inst. Fourier 34, 1984, 99–109.
- [16] MIZUTA, Y.: Boundary behavior of p -precise functions on a half space of R^n . - Hiroshima Math. J. 18, 1988, 73–94.
- [17] MIZUTA, Y.: On the boundary limits of harmonic functions. - Hiroshima Math. J. 18, 1988, 207–217.
- [18] MIZUTA, Y.: Continuity properties of potentials and Beppo–Levi–Deny functions. - Hiroshima Math. J. 23, 1993, 79–153.
- [19] RESHETNYAK, YU.G.: Space Mappings with Bounded Distortion. - Translations of Mathematical Monographs 73, Amer. Math. Soc., 1989.
- [20] SERRIN, J.: Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations. - Acta Math. 111, 1964, 247–302.
- [21] VÄISÄLÄ, J.: Lectures on n -Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings. - Lecture Notes in Math. 229, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [22] VUORINEN, M.: On functions with a finite or locally bounded Dirichlet integral. - Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 9, 1984, 177–193.
- [23] VUORINEN, M.: Conformal Geometry and Quasiregular Mappings. - Lecture Notes in Math. 1319, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [24] WALLIN, H.: On the existence of boundary values of a class of Beppo Levi functions. - Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120, 1965, 510–525.

Received 18 January 1994