

LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Daniel Girela

Universidad de Málaga, Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias
E-29071 Málaga, Spain; girela@anamat.cie.uma.es

Abstract. We prove that if $n \geq 2$ there exists a close-to-convex function f in S whose n -th logarithmic coefficient γ_n satisfies $|\gamma_n| > 1/n$. Also, we prove some results related to a conjecture of Milin on the logarithmic coefficients of functions in the class S and give some applications of them to obtain upper bounds on the integral means of these functions.

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let S be the class of functions f analytic and univalent in the unit disc

$$\Delta = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

with $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$. Let S^* denote the subset of S consisting of those functions f in S for which $f(\Delta)$ is starlike with respect to 0. It is well known (see [6] or [20]) that if f is analytic in Δ , with $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$, then $f \in S^*$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}(zf'(z)/f(z)) > 0$, for all z in Δ . Finally, we let \mathcal{C} denote the set of those functions f in S for which there exists a real number α and a function g in S^* such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{e^{i\alpha}g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

The elements of \mathcal{C} are called close-to-convex functions. Clearly, $S^* \subset \mathcal{C}$.

Associated with each f in S is a well defined logarithmic function

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

The numbers γ_n are called the logarithmic coefficients of f . Thus the Koebe function $k(z) = z(1-z)^{-2}$ has logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_n = 1/n$.

If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S$ then $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}a_2$. Hence, since $|a_2| \leq 2$, $|\gamma_1| \leq 1$.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C45, 30C50, 30C55.

This research has been supported in part by a grant from “El Ministerio de Educación y Cultura, Spain” PB97-1081 and by a grant from “La Junta de Andalucía”.

The inequality $|\gamma_n| \leq 1/n$ holds for functions f in S^* , but is false for the full class S , even in order of magnitude. Indeed, (see Theorem 8.4 on p. 242 of [6]) there exists a bounded function $f \in S$ with logarithmic coefficients $\gamma_n \neq O(n^{-0.83})$.

In a recent paper [7] it is presented that the inequality $|\gamma_n| \leq 1/n$ holds also for close-to-convex functions. However, it is pointed out in [19] that there are some errors in the proof and, hence, the result is not substantiated. We will prove that actually the result is false for $n \geq 2$.

Following [10], $\text{EC}\mathcal{C}$ will denote the set of the extreme points of the closed convex hull of the class \mathcal{C} . Brickman, MacGregor and Wilken proved in [4] (see also [10, p. 56]) that

$$(1.1) \quad \text{EC}\mathcal{C} = \{f_{x,y} : x, y \in \mathbf{C}, |x| = |y| = 1, x \neq y\},$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad f_{x,y}(z) = \frac{z - \frac{1}{2}(x+y)z^2}{(1-yz)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Each element of $\text{EC}\mathcal{C}$ belongs to \mathcal{C} and it maps Δ onto the complement of a half-line. It is also known that the set $\text{EC}\mathcal{C}$ coincides with the set of support points of \mathcal{C} [9], [13] (see also [10, p. 98–100]).

Now we can state our first result.

Theorem 1. *If $n \geq 2$ there exists a function f in $\text{EC}\mathcal{C}$ (and, hence, f in \mathcal{C}) with*

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j z^j$$

such that $|\gamma_n| > 1/n$.

The relevance of the logarithmic coefficients comes from the fact that, by means of the so called Lebedev–Milin inequalities ([6, p. 142–146], [16, Chapter 2]), estimates on the logarithmic coefficients γ_j of f can be transferred to bounds on the coefficients of f and related functions. Milin conjectured the inequality

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m \left(k|\gamma_k|^2 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \leq 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which implies Robertson’s conjecture and, hence, Bieberbach’s conjecture. L. de Branges [3] (see also [11]) proved (1.3) and thus established the Bieberbach conjecture. In Section 2, we shall draw our attention to another conjecture of Milin relative to the logarithmic coefficients.

For an arbitrary function g , analytic in Δ , we shall set

$$(1.4) \quad M(r, g) = \max_{|z|=r} |g(z)|, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Lebedev [15] (see also [16, p. 55–57]) proved that if f in S has the logarithmic coefficients $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ then

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{M(r, f)}{r}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

I.M. Milin conjectured in [17] that the right hand side of (1.5) can be changed to $\frac{1}{2} \log(M(r^2, f)/r^2)$, that is, that the inequality

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{M(r^2, f)}{r^2},$$

should hold for arbitrary f in S and $0 < r < 1$.

Milin [17] proved that (1.6) holds if $f \in S^*$ and $0 < r < 1$ and that given f in S there exists r_f , with $0 < r_f < 1$, such that (1.6) holds for f and $0 < r < r_f$. Using different ideas, Milin proved in [18] that (1.6) also holds for $0 < r < 1$ if f belongs to a certain subclass of those f in S that have real coefficients and are such that both f and f' have a continuous extension to the closed unit disc.

Let D be a domain in \mathbf{C} with $0 \in D$. We shall say that D is circularly symmetric if, for every R with $0 < R < \infty$, $D \cap \{|z| = R\}$, is either empty, is the whole circle $|z| = R$, or is a single arc on $|z| = R$ which contains $z = R$ and is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Following [14], we shall denote by Y the class of those functions f in S which map Δ onto a circularly symmetric domain. The elements of Y will be called circularly symmetric functions. Our first result in Section 2 will be observing that the method of Milin [18] can be used to prove that (1.6) holds for $0 < r < 1$ if f belongs to either S^* or Y .

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $f \in S^* \cup Y$ and that f has logarithmic coefficients $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Then (1.6) holds for $0 < r < 1$.*

We can also prove the following.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $f \in \text{EC } \mathcal{C}$ and that f has logarithmic coefficients $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Then (1.6) holds for $0 < r < 1$.*

In Section 4 we shall prove that the results obtained in Section 3 can be used to obtain upper bounds on the integral means of f . In particular, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 4. *Let f belong to any of the classes S^* , Y or $EC\mathcal{C}$. Then*

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq M(r^2, f)^{1/2}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

We remark that, by the distortion theorem, the inequality (1.7) is stronger than the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \frac{r}{1-r^2}, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

which holds for every f in S [2] (see also [6, Chapter 7]).

2. Logarithmic coefficients of close-to-convex functions

Before embarking on the proof of Theorem 1, let us fix some notation. Given x, y in \mathbf{C} with $|x| = |y| = 1$ and $x \neq y$, we shall denote the logarithmic coefficients of $f_{x,y}$ by $\{\gamma_j(x, y)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, that is, we set

$$(2.1) \quad \log \frac{f_{x,y}(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j(x, y) z^j, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Also, we shall write $\gamma_j(x)$ for $\gamma_j(x, 1)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, that is

$$(2.2) \quad \log \frac{f_{x,1}(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j(x) z^j, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Notice that if $|x| = |y| = 1$ and $x \neq y$, we have that $f_{x,y}(z) = y^{-1} f_{xy^{-1},1}(yz)$ and, hence,

$$(2.3) \quad \gamma_j(x, y) = \gamma_j(xy^{-1})y^j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Take x in \mathbf{C} with $|x| = 1$ and $x \neq 1$ then

$$f_{x,1}(z) = \frac{z - \frac{1}{2}(x+1)z^2}{(1-z)^2}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Then, if we set

$$b = b(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x+1),$$

we have that $|b - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b \neq 1$. Hence, b can be written in the form

$$(2.4) \quad b = e^{i\theta} \cos \theta \quad \text{with} \quad |\theta| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi, \theta \neq 0.$$

Now,

$$\log \frac{f_{x,1}(z)}{z} = \log \frac{1 - bz}{(1 - z)^2} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}b^j\right) z^j.$$

That is, we have that

$$(2.5) \quad \gamma_j(x) = \frac{1}{j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}b^j\right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then, using (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |\gamma_j(x)|^2 &= \frac{1}{j^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}|b|^{2j} - \operatorname{Re} b^j\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{j^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \cos^{2j} \theta - \cos^j \theta \cos j\theta\right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Given $n \geq 2$ if, with the above notation, we take x so that $\theta = \pi/2n$ we have that

$$|\gamma_n(x)|^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \cos^{2n} \frac{\pi}{2n}\right)$$

and, hence $|\gamma_n(x)| > 1/n$. This finishes the proof.

Remark 1. Using (2.5) and having in mind that $|b - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{1}{2}$, we easily see that for every x with $|x| = 1$ and $x \neq 1$ we have

$$|\gamma_j(x)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

This and (2.3) implies that

$$|\gamma_j(x, y)| \leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \text{for every } x, y \text{ with } |x| = |y| = 1 \text{ and } x \neq y.$$

That is, we have proved the following result.

Proposition 1. *If $f \in \text{EC } \mathcal{C}$ then its logarithmic coefficients γ_j satisfy*

$$(2.7) \quad |\gamma_j| \leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Remark 2. Since the functional J defined by $J(f) = \gamma_n$ where

$$\log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j z^j$$

is not linear, Proposition 1 does not imply that (2.7) should hold for arbitrary f in \mathcal{C} . In fact, it is an open question whether or not given f in \mathcal{C} its logarithmic coefficients γ_j satisfy $\gamma_j = O(1/j)$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Some results related to a conjecture of Milin

We start by introducing some notation. Given a function Ψ , analytic in Δ , we set

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma(r, \Psi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{|z| < r} |\Psi'(z)|^2 dx dy, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Notice that if $\Psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n$ then

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma(r, \Psi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\alpha_n|^2 r^{2n}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Using (3.2), we easily see that if f in S has the logarithmic coefficients $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} = \frac{1}{4} \sigma \left(r, \log \frac{f(z)}{z} \right), \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Hence (1.6) is equivalent to

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma \left(r, \log \frac{f(z)}{z} \right) \leq 2 \log \frac{M(r^2, f)}{r^2}.$$

As we mentioned above, Milin proved in [18, Theorem 2] the validity of (1.6) for $0 < r < 1$ if f belongs to a certain subclass of those functions in S with real coefficients. The following simple lemma was a key ingredient in the proof of this result.

Lemma A (Milin, [18, p. 136]). *Let Ψ be a function which is analytic in Δ , $u = \operatorname{Re} \Psi$ and $v = \operatorname{Im} \Psi$. If $r \in (0, 1)$ and $r_1, r_2 \in (0, 1)$ and are such that $r_1 r_2 = r^2$ then*

$$\sigma(r, \Psi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(r_1 e^{i\theta}) \frac{\partial v(r_2 e^{i\theta})}{\partial \theta} d\theta = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} v(r_1 e^{i\theta}) \frac{\partial u(r_2 e^{i\theta})}{\partial \theta} d\theta.$$

Let us notice that Lemma A can be easily proved writing u and v in terms of the Taylor series expansion of Ψ . Our proof of Theorem 2 will also be based on Lemma A. Hence, in a unified way, we shall obtain

(i) a new proof of the validity of (1.6) for $0 < r < 1$ if $f \in S^*$,

and,

(ii) an extension of Theorem 2 of [18] to the class Y of circularly symmetric functions.

In the following lemma we point out a certain property which is satisfied both for the elements of S^* and those of Y and which will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $f \in S^* \cup Y$ and that $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 < 1$. Then the curve with parametrization*

$$|f(r_1 e^{i\theta})| e^{i \arg f(r_2 e^{i\theta})}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi,$$

is a Jordan curve.

Proof. Let $0 < r_1 \leq r_2 < 1$ and f in $S^* \cup Y$. For simplicity, set

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma(\theta) = |f(r_1 e^{i\theta})| e^{i \arg f(r_2 e^{i\theta})}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi.$$

Notice that

$$\Gamma(\theta) = f(r_2 e^{i\theta}) \frac{|f(r_1 e^{i\theta})|}{|f(r_2 e^{i\theta})|}.$$

Hence it is clear that Γ is the parametrization of a closed curve. Consequently, it suffices to prove that Γ is injective in $[0, 2\pi)$.

Suppose first that $f \in S^*$. Then (see [6, p. 41–42]) $\arg f(r_2 e^{i\theta})$ is a strictly increasing function of θ in $[0, 2\pi]$ and the difference between its value at 2π and its value at 0 is 2π . Then it is clear that the function $\theta \mapsto e^{i \arg f(r_2 e^{i\theta})}$ is injective in $[0, 2\pi)$. Clearly, this implies that Γ is injective in $[0, 2\pi)$.

On the other hand, if $f \in Y$ then (see [14]), unless $f(z) \equiv z$ in which case the result is obvious, the function $\theta \mapsto |f(r_1 e^{i\theta})|$ is strictly decreasing in $[0, \pi]$ and strictly increasing in $[\pi, 2\pi]$. This implies that Γ is injective in $[0, \pi]$ and in $[\pi, 2\pi]$. This and the fact that $\operatorname{Im} f(z) > 0$ if $\operatorname{Im} z > 0$ and $\operatorname{Im} f(z) < 0$ if $\operatorname{Im} z < 0$ easily imply that Γ is injective in $[0, 2\pi)$. This finishes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $f \in S^* \cup Y$ and that f has the logarithmic coefficients $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$. Let (R, Φ) be the polar coordinates in the w -plane (i.e., in the image plane). If $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we set

$$(3.5) \quad r_1 = r^{2-\varepsilon}, \quad r_2 = r^\varepsilon,$$

so that $r_1 r_2 = r^2$. For simplicity, set

$$(3.6) \quad R_j(\theta) = |f(r_j e^{i\theta})|, \quad \Phi(\theta) = \arg f(r_j e^{i\theta}), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Let Γ be the Jordan curve considered in Lemma 1. Using Lemma A and having in mind that $\int_0^{2\pi} (\log(R_1(\theta)/r_1)) d\theta = 0$, we see that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma\left(r, \log \frac{f(z)}{z}\right) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\log \frac{R_1(\theta)}{r_1}\right) \frac{\partial(\Phi_2(\theta) - \theta)}{\partial\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\log \frac{R_1(\theta)}{r_1}\right) \frac{\partial\Phi_2(\theta)}{\partial\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_\Gamma \log \frac{R}{r_1} d\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Let L be the circle $|w| = M(r_1, f)$. Clearly, Γ lives in the closed disc $\{|w| \leq M(r_1, f)\}$. Let G be the region bounded by Γ and L . By Green's theorem, we have

$$\iint_G \frac{dR d\Phi}{R} = \int_L \log\left(\frac{R}{r_1}\right) d\Phi - \int_\Gamma \log\left(\frac{R}{r_1}\right) d\Phi.$$

Then, using (3.7) and the definition of r_1 , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\left(r, \log \frac{f(z)}{z}\right) &= 2 \log \frac{M(r_1, f)}{r_1} - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_G \frac{dR d\Phi}{R} \\ &\leq 2 \log \frac{M(r_1, f)}{r_1} = 2 \log \frac{M(r^{2-\varepsilon}, f)}{r^{2-\varepsilon}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary subject to $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, letting ε tend to zero we obtain (3.3) and, hence, (1.6). This finishes the proof.

Remark 3. The argument used in the proof of Theorem 2 can be used to give a short proof of the above mentioned result of Lebedev which asserts that (1.5) holds for arbitrary f in S (compare [16, p. 55–57]). Indeed, let f be in S and $0 < r < 1$. Argue as in the proof of Theorem 2 but taking $r_1 = r_2 = r$. Then we obtain

$$\iint_G \frac{dR d\Phi}{R} = 2\pi \log \frac{M(r, f)}{r} - \pi \sigma\left(r, \log \frac{f(z)}{z}\right)$$

and, hence,

$$\sigma\left(r, \log \frac{f(z)}{z}\right) \leq 2 \log \frac{M(r, f)}{r}.$$

This gives (1.5).

Proof of Theorem 3. In view of the facts that we stated at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1, it is clear that Theorem 3 is equivalent to the following result.

Proposition 2. *Let b belong to \mathbf{C} and suppose that $|b - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b \neq 1$. If*

$$f(z) = \frac{z - bz^2}{(1 - z)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \log \frac{f(z)}{z} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j z^j, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{M(r^2, f)}{r^2}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Proof. We recall from (2.5) that

$$\gamma_j = \frac{1}{j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} b^j\right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then, for $0 < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j|\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}b^j\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{b}^j\right) r^{2j} \\
 (3.8) \qquad &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} r^{2j} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} |b|^{2j} r^{2j} - \operatorname{Re} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} b^j r^{2j} \right) \\
 &= \log \frac{1}{1-r^2} + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{1-|b|^2 r^2} - \log \frac{1}{|1-br^2|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We recall from (2.4) that b can be written in the form $b = e^{i\theta} \cos \theta$ with $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |1-br^2|^2 &= 1 + |b|^2 r^4 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(br^2) = 1 + r^4 \cos^2 \theta - 2r^2 \cos^2 \theta \\
 &\leq 1 - r^2 \cos^2 \theta = 1 - |b|^2 r^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and, hence

$$\log \frac{1}{1-|b|^2 r^2} \leq 2 \log \frac{1}{|1-br^2|},$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{1-|b|^2 r^2} - \log \frac{1}{|1-br^2|} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{|1-br^2|}.$$

Then, using (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j|\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} \leq \log \frac{1}{1-r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{|1-br^2|}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{2} \log \left| \frac{f(r^2)}{r^2} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{|1-br^2|}{(1-r^2)^2} = \log \frac{1}{1-r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{|1-br^2|},$$

which, with (3.9), gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j|\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left| \frac{f(r^2)}{r^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{M(r^2, f)}{r^2}.$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 2. Hence, Theorem 3 is proved.

Remark 4. Just as in Remark 2, even though Theorem 3 is true, it is an open question whether or not (1.6) holds for arbitrary f in \mathcal{C} and $0 < r < 1$.

Remark 5. There are some other subclasses of S for which Milin's conjecture (1.6) can be proved easily. For instance, we can prove the following result.

Proposition 3. *Suppose that $f \in S$ and that the logarithmic coefficients $\{\gamma_j\}$ of f are real and satisfy $0 \leq \gamma_j \leq 1/j$ for all j . Then (1.6) holds for $0 < r < 1$.*

Proof. For simplicity, set $g(z) = \log(f(z)/z)$. Then

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{|z| < r} |g'(z)|^2 dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^r \int_0^{2\pi} \rho |g'(\rho e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta d\rho = 2 \int_0^r \rho I_2(\rho, g') d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where, for h analytic in Δ ,

$$I_2(\rho, h) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(\rho e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta.$$

Then, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} \left(4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} - 2 \log \frac{f(r^2)}{r^2} \right) &= \frac{d}{dr} \left(4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} - 2g(r^2) \right) \\ &= 2r I_2(r, g') - 4r g'(r^2) \\ &= 2r (I_2(r, g') - 2g'(r^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq \gamma_j \leq 1/j$, then $0 \leq j^2 \gamma_j^2 \leq j \gamma_j$, which implies

$$I_2(r, g') = 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 \gamma_j^2 r^{2(j-1)} \leq 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \gamma_j r^{2(j-1)} = 2g'(r^2).$$

This and (3.11) show that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} - 2 \log \frac{f(r^2)}{r^2} \right) \leq 0,$$

whenever $r \in (0, 1)$ and, hence the function

$$r \mapsto \left(4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} - 2 \log \frac{f(r^2)}{r^2} \right)$$

is decreasing in $[0, 1)$. Since the value of this function at $r = 0$ is 0, it follows that

$$4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j |\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} \leq 2 \log \frac{f(r^2)}{r^2}$$

whenever $r \in (0, 1)$. Hence, the proposition is proved.

We remark that the author proved in [8] that there exist functions f in Y and satisfying $|\gamma_n| > 1/n$ for some n . Hence, the class Y is not contained in the class of those f considered in Proposition 3.

4. Bounds for the integral means

In this section we shall show that the estimates on $\sigma(r, \log(f(z)/z))$ obtained in Section 3 can be applied to obtain upper bounds for the integral means of f . Our results will be obtained using the following well-known inequality of Lebedev and Milin (see [6, p. 143] or [16, Theorem 2.3]).

First Lebedev–Milin inequality. *Let $F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j z^j$ be a function which is analytic in Δ with $F(0) = 0$ and write*

$$G(z) = \exp(F(z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Then,

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |D_k|^2 \leq \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |A_k|^2\right).$$

Notice that the right hand side of (4.1) is

$$\|G\|_{H^2}^2 = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |G(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta,$$

(see e.g. [5]) and hence (4.1) can be written as

$$(4.2) \quad \|G\|_{H^2}^2 \leq \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |A_k|^2\right).$$

Now we can prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Take a function f which belongs to $S^* \cup Y \cup EC\mathcal{C}$ and let $\{\gamma_j\}$ be its logarithmic coefficients. Take $0 < r < 1$. Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 imply that

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{M(r^2, f)}{r^2}.$$

Set

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{f(rz)}{rz} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k r^k z^k, \quad G(z) = \exp(F(z)) = \left(\frac{f(rz)}{rz} \right)^{1/2}, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Then F and G satisfy the conditions of the first Lebedev–Milin inequality. Consequently, writing (4.2) for this choice of F and G , we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \|G\|_{H^2}^2 \leq \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k}\right).$$

Now

$$\|G\|_{H^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |G(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{r} \right| d\theta.$$

Then (4.3) and (4.4) give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{f(re^{i\theta})}{r} \right| d\theta &\leq \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|\gamma_k|^2 r^{2k}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{M(r^2, f)}{r^2}\right) = \left(\frac{M(r^2, f)}{r^2}\right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which clearly implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq M(r^2, f)^{1/2}.$$

This finishes the proof.

Remark 6. It is an open question whether or not the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq M(r^2, f)^{1/2}$$

holds for arbitrary f in S and $0 < r < 1$. However, we remark that the proof of Theorem 4 shows that this is true whenever (1.6) is true.

Remark 7. If f is an arbitrary element of the class S and $0 < r < 1$ then if we argue as in the proof of Theorem 4 but using Lebedev's estimate (1.5) instead of (1.6), we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq (rM(r, f))^{1/2}, \quad 0 < r < 1, f \in S.$$

Remark 8. Andreev and Duren [1, p. 722] proved as a consequence of de Branges' theorem that if f in S has logarithmic coefficients $\{\gamma_j\}$ then

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j|\gamma_j|^2 r^{2j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} r^{2j} = \log \frac{1}{1-r^2}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Using this inequality and the argument of the proof of Theorem 4 we obtain Baernstein's result

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \frac{r}{1-r^2}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

We should mention that Holland [12] proved (4.6) as a consequence of the truth of Robertson's conjecture.

I wish to thank the referee for his helpful comments, especially for those relative to the proof of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] ANDREEV, V.V., and P.L. DUREN: Inequalities for logarithmic coefficients of univalent functions and their derivatives. - *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 33, 1988, 721–733.
- [2] BAERNSTEIN, A.: Integral means, univalent functions and circular symmetrization. - *Acta Math.* 133, 1974, 139–169.
- [3] DE BRANGES, L.: A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. - *Acta Math.* 154, 1985, 137–152.
- [4] BRICKMAN, L., T.H. MACGREGOR, and D.R. WILKEN: Convex hulls of some classical families of univalent functions. - *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 156, 1971, 91–107.
- [5] DUREN, P.L.: *Theory of H^p Spaces*. - Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [6] DUREN, P.L.: *Univalent Functions*. - Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [7] ELHOSH, M.M.: On the logarithmic coefficients of close-to-convex functions. - *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A)* 60, 1996, 1–6.
- [8] GIRELA, D.: Integral means of univalent functions with restricted Hayman index. - *Israel J. Math.* 65, 1989, 44–58.
- [9] GRASSMAN, E., W. HENGARTNER, and G. SCHOBBER: Support points of the class of close-to-convex functions. - *Canad. Math. Bull.* 19(2), 1976, 177–179.
- [10] HALLENBECK, D.J., and T.H. MACGREGOR: *Linear Problems and Convexity Techniques in Geometric Function Theory*. - *Monogr. Stud. Math.* 22, Pitman, Boston–London–Melbourne, 1984.

- [11] HAYMAN, W.K.: *Multivalent Functions*, Second edition. - Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [12] HOLLAND, F.: Integral means of univalent functions. - A fragment. - *Irish Math. Soc. Bull.* 15, 1985, 42–51.
- [13] HORNBLOWER, R., and D.R. WILKEN: On support points of close-to-convex functions. - *Houston J. Math.* 10, 1984, 593–599.
- [14] JENKINS, J.A.: On circularly symmetric functions. - *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6, 1955, 620–624.
- [15] LEBEDEV, N.A.: Applications of the area principle to problems on nonoverlapping domains. - *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov* 60, 1961, 211–231 (Russian).
- [16] MILIN, I.M.: *Univalent Functions and Orthonormal Systems*. - Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. of Math. Monogr. 49, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [17] MILIN, I.M.: On a property of the logarithmic coefficients of univalent functions. - In: *Metric Questions in the Theory of Functions*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1980, 86–90 (Russian).
- [18] MILIN, I.M.: On a conjecture for the logarithmic coefficients of univalent functions. - *Zap. Nauch. Semin. Leningr. Otd. Mat. Inst. Steklova* 125, 1983, 135–143 (Russian).
- [19] PEARCE, K.: Review of [7]. - *Math. Reviews: info Review no.* 96j:30013, 1996.
- [20] POMMERENKE, CH.: *Univalent Functions*. - Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

Received 11 August 1998