A note on summability in Banach spaces

José Rodríguez

Abstract. Let Z and X be Banach spaces. Suppose that X is Asplund. Let \mathcal{M} be a bounded set of operators from Z to X with the following property: a bounded sequence $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in Z is weakly null if, for each $M\in\mathcal{M}$, the sequence $(M(z_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly null. Let $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in Z such that: (a) for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the set $\{M(z_n)\colon M\in\mathcal{M}\}$ is relatively norm compact; (b) for each sequence $(M_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{M} , the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M_n(z_n)$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy. We prove that if $T\in\mathcal{M}$ is Dunford–Pettis and $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|T(z_n)\|\|z_n\|^{-1}>0$, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}T(z_n)$ is absolutely convergent. As an application, we provide another proof of the fact that a countably additive vector measure taking values in an Asplund Banach space has finite variation whenever its integration operator is Dunford–Pettis.

Huomio summautuvuudesta Banachin avaruudessa

Tiivistelmä. Olkoot Z ja X Banachin avaruuksia, ja olkoon X lisäksi Asplundin avaruus. Tarkastellaan avaruuden Z avaruuteen X kuvaavien operaattoreiden rajallista joukkoa \mathcal{M} , jolla on seuraava ominaisuus: avaruuden Z rajallinen jono $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ suppenee heikosti nollaan, jos jokaista operaattoria $M\in\mathcal{M}$ vastaava kuvajono $(M(z_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ suppenee heikosti nollaan. Olkoon $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ avaruuden Z sellainen jono, että: (a) kaikilla $n\in\mathbb{N}$, joukko $\{M(z_n)\colon M\in\mathcal{M}\}$ on suhteellisesti normikompakti; ja (b) jokaista joukon \mathcal{M} jonoa $(M_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ vastaava sarja $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}M_n(z_n)$ toteuttaa ehdoitta heikon Cauchyn ominaisuuden. Tässä työssä osoitetaan, että jos operaattorilla $T\in\mathcal{M}$ on Dunfordin–Pettisin ominaisuus ja $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|T(z_n)\|\|z_n\|^{-1}>0$, niin sarja $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}T(z_n)$ on itseisesti suppeneva. Sovelluksena saadaan uusi todistus sille, että numeroituvasti summautuva vektorimitta, jonka arvojoukko on Asplundin–Banachin avaruus, on äärellisesti heilahteleva mikäli sitä vastaavalla integraalioperaattorilla on Dunfordin–Pettisin ominaisuus.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space, let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and let $\nu \colon \Sigma \to X$ be a countably additive vector measure. A Σ -measurable function $f \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be ν -integrable if: (a) f is $|x^*\nu|$ -integrable for all $x^* \in X^*$; (b) for each $A \in \Sigma$ there is $\int_A f \, d\nu \in X$ such that $x^* \left(\int_A f \, d\nu \right) = \int_A f \, d(x^*\nu)$ for all $x^* \in X^*$. By identifying ν -a.e. equal functions, the set $L_1(\nu)$ of all (equivalence classes of) ν -integrable functions is a Banach lattice with the ν -a.e. order and the norm

$$||f||_{L_1(\nu)} := \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \int_{\Omega} |f| \, d|x^*\nu|.$$

We refer to [14] for basic information on these spaces, which play a relevant role in Banach lattices and operator theory. The *integration operator* of ν is the (norm one)

https://doi.org/10.54330/afm.156613

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46B15, 46G10.

Key words: Absolutely convergent series, Dunford–Pettis operator, vector measure, Schauder basis.

The research was supported by grants PID2021-122126NB-C32 (funded by MCIN/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033 and "ERDF A way of making Europe", EU) and 21955/PI/22 (funded by Fundación Séneca – ACyT Región de Murcia).

^{© 2025} The Finnish Mathematical Society

operator $I_{\nu} \colon L_1(\nu) \to X$ defined by

$$I_{\nu}(f) := \int_{\Omega} f \, d\nu \quad \text{for all } f \in L_1(\nu).$$

Certain properties of I_{ν} have strong consequences on the structure of $L_1(\nu)$. For instance, ν has finite variation and the inclusion operator $\iota_{\nu} \colon L_1(|\nu|) \to L_1(\nu)$ is a lattice-isomorphism in each of the following cases:

- (i) I_{ν} is compact, [11, Theorem 1] (cf. [13, Theorem 2.2] and [3, Theorem 3.3]);
- (ii) I_{ν} is absolutely p-summing for some $1 \leq p < \infty$, [12, Theorem 2.2];
- (iii) I_{ν} is Dunford-Pettis and Asplund, [15, Theorem 3.3].

Note that case (iii) generalizes both (i) and (ii) because weakly compact operators are Asplund. The proof of (iii) given in [15] (cf. [17, Section 3.3]) is based on the Davis–Figiel–Johnson–Pełczyński factorization procedure and the following result obtained in [3, Theorem 1.3]:

Theorem 1.1. Let X be a Banach space, let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and let $\nu \colon \Sigma \to X$ be a countably additive vector measure. If I_{ν} is Dunford–Pettis and X is Asplund, then ν has finite variation.

The particular case of Theorem 1.1 when X has an unconditional Schauder basis and no subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 had been proved earlier in [12, Theorem 1.2]. The question of whether the statement of Theorem 1.1 holds for arbitrary Banach spaces not containing subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_1 seems to be still open.

In this note we elaborate an abstract framework that allows to provide a simpler proof of Theorem 1.1. The following concept will be important along this way. Given two Banach spaces Z and X, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(Z,X)$ the Banach space of all operators from Z to X, equipped with the operator norm.

Definition 1.2. Let Z and X be Banach spaces. We say that a set $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(Z,X)$ has the *Rainwater property* if the following holds: a bounded sequence $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in Z is weakly null if, for each $M \in \mathcal{M}$, the sequence $(M(z_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly null.

The Rainwater–Simons theorem (see, e.g., [6, Theorem 3.134]) states that, for an arbitrary Banach space Z, any James boundary of Z has the Rainwater property (with $X = \mathbb{R}$). More generally, James boundaries are (I)-generating, [7, Theorem 2.3], and all (I)-generating sets have the Rainwater property, see [9].

The main result of this note is the following:

Theorem 1.3. Let Z and X be Banach spaces. Suppose that X is Asplund. Let \mathcal{M} be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{L}(Z,X)$ having the Rainwater property. Let $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in Z such that

- (a) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{M(z_n): M \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is relatively norm compact;
- (b) for each sequence $(M_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{M} , the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(z_n)$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy.

Let $T \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

- (c) T is Dunford–Pettis;
- (d) $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} ||T(z_n)|| ||z_n||^{-1} > 0.$

Then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T(z_n)$ is absolutely convergent.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.3. In Section 3 we focus on the L_1 space of a vector measure and we get Theorem 1.1 as an application of Theorem 1.3.

Terminology. All our Banach spaces are real. By an operator we mean a continuous linear map between Banach spaces. An operator is called *Dunford-Pettis* if it maps weakly null sequences to norm null ones. By a *subspace* of a Banach space we mean a closed linear subspace. Let Z be a Banach space. We denote its norm by $\|\cdot\|_Z$ or simply $\|\cdot\|$. Given a set $C\subseteq Z$, we write $\|C\|:=\sup\{\|z\|\colon z\in C\}$. The closed unit ball of Z is denoted by B_Z . The subspace of Z generated by a set $H \subseteq Z$ is denoted by $\overline{\operatorname{span}}(H)$. We write Z^* for the dual of Z. A set $B \subseteq B_{Z^*}$ is said to be a James boundary of Z if for every $z \in Z$ there is $z^* \in B$ such that $||z|| = z^*(z)$. The space Z is said to be Asplund if every separable subspace of Z has separable dual.

2. Main result

Let X be a Banach space with a Schauder basis $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. For each $k\in\mathbb{N}$, we have an operator $P_k \colon X \to X$ defined by $P_k(x) := \sum_{n=1}^k e_n^*(x) e_n$ for all $x \in X$, where $(e_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence in X^* of biorthogonal functionals associated with $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The operators of this form are called the partial sum operators on X associated with $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. They satisfy $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} ||P_k|| < \infty$.

The following lemma uses some ideas of the proof of [17, Lemma 3.4].

Lemma 2.1. Let X be a Banach space with a Schauder basis and let $(P_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the associated sequence of partial sum operators on X. Write $\alpha := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ||P_k||$. Let $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of relatively norm compact subsets of X and let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_n \in K_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that

- (a) the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is not absolutely convergent;
- (b) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||P_k(K_n)|| < \infty$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then there exist two strictly increasing sequences $(k_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(l_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N} such that, if $w_j \in ||x_{l_i}||^{-1}K_{l_i}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then

- (i) $||w_j (P_{k_{j+1}} P_{k_j})(w_j)|| \le 2^{-j}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$; (ii) $||w_j w_{j'}|| \ge \alpha^{-1} ||w_j|| 2^{-j}$ whenever j' > j.

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that $x_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $Q_k := \mathrm{id}_X - P_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where id_X stands for the identity operator on X. Since $||Q_k|| \leq 1 + \alpha$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $||Q_k(x)|| \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ for every $x \in X$, the sequence of operators $(Q_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 uniformly on each relatively norm compact subset of X.

We will construct by induction strictly increasing sequences $(k_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(l_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N} in such a way that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

(c)
$$\|P_{k_j}(K_{\tilde{l}_{j+1}})\| \le \frac{\|x_{\tilde{l}_{j+1}}\|}{2^{j+1}}$$
 and (d) $\|Q_{k_j}(K_{\tilde{l}_j})\| \le \frac{\|x_{\tilde{l}_j}\|}{2^j}$.

Set $\tilde{l}_1 := 1$ and choose $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||Q_{k_1}(K_1)|| \leq \frac{1}{2}||x_1||$. Suppose that $k_N, \tilde{l}_N \in \mathbb{N}$ are already chosen for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By (a) and (b), there is $l_{N+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $l_{N+1} > l_N$ such that

$$\left\| P_{k_N}(K_{\tilde{l}_{N+1}}) \right\| \le \frac{\|x_{\tilde{l}_{N+1}}\|}{2^{N+1}}.$$

Now, we take $k_{N+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_{N+1} > k_N$ such that

$$\left\| Q_{k_{N+1}}(K_{\tilde{l}_{N+1}}) \right\| \le \frac{\|x_{\tilde{l}_{N+1}}\|}{2^{N+1}}.$$

This finishes the construction of $(k_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(l_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Define $l_j := \tilde{l}_{j+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $(z_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} K_{l_j}$ and, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define $w_j := \|x_{l_j}\|^{-1} z_j$. Then

$$||w_{j} - (P_{k_{j+1}} - P_{k_{j}})(w_{j})|| = ||Q_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j}) + P_{k_{j}}(w_{j})||$$

$$\leq ||Q_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j})|| + ||P_{k_{j}}(w_{j})||$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(c)\&(d)}}{\leq} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} = \frac{1}{2^{j}}$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This proves (i).

To check property (ii), take j' > j in \mathbb{N} . Then

$$||w_{j} - w_{j'}|| \geq \alpha^{-1} ||P_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j} - w_{j'})||$$

$$= \alpha^{-1} ||w_{j} - Q_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j}) - P_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j'})||$$

$$\geq \alpha^{-1} (||w_{j}|| - ||Q_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j})|| - ||P_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j'})||)$$

$$= \alpha^{-1} (||w_{j}|| - ||Q_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j})|| - ||P_{k_{j+1}}(P_{k_{j'}}(w_{j'}))||)$$

$$\geq \alpha^{-1} (||w_{j}|| - ||Q_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j})|| - \alpha ||P_{k_{j'}}(w_{j'})||)$$

$$\stackrel{(\alpha \geq 1)}{\geq} \alpha^{-1} ||w_{j}|| - ||Q_{k_{j+1}}(w_{j})|| - ||P_{k_{j'}}(w_{j'})||$$

$$\stackrel{(c)\&(d)}{\geq} \alpha^{-1} ||w_{j}|| - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} - \frac{1}{2^{j'+1}} \geq \alpha^{-1} ||w_{j}|| - \frac{1}{2^{j}}.$$

The proof is finished.

Corollary 2.2. Let X be a Banach space. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy and $\{\|x_n\|^{-1}x_n \colon n\in\mathbb{N}, x_n\neq 0\}$ is relatively norm compact. Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is absolutely convergent.

Proof. The subspace $\overline{\operatorname{span}}(\{x_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\})\subseteq X$ is separable, so it embeds isometrically into the Banach space C([0,1]). Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that X=C([0,1]). Since this space has a Schauder basis, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.1(ii) by taking $K_n:=\{x_n\}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if $(P_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of partial sum operators on C([0,1]) associated with a given Schauder basis, then for each $k\in\mathbb{N}$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}P_k(x_n)$ is absolutely convergent, because it is weakly unconditionally Cauchy and $P_k(X)$ is finite-dimensional.

Let X be a Banach space with a Schauder basis $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. By a block sequence with respect to $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ we mean a sequence $(x_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X for which there exist a sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{R} and a sequence $(I_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of non-empty finite subsets of \mathbb{N} such that $\max(I_j) < \min(I_{j+1})$ and $x_j = \sum_{n\in I_j} a_n e_n$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}$. Recall that the Schauder basis $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be shrinking if its sequence of biorthogonal functionals $(e_n^*)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $X^* = \overline{\operatorname{span}}(\{e_n^*: n\in\mathbb{N}\})$.

We can now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Clearly, we can suppose that $||M|| \le 1$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Let us consider the subspace $Z_0 := \overline{\operatorname{span}}(\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \subseteq Z$. The set of restrictions $\{M|_{Z_0} : M \in \mathcal{M}\} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{L}(Z_0,X)}$ has the Rainwater property and fulfills conditions (a) and (b). Obviously, the restriction $T|_{Z_0}$ also satisfies conditions (c) and (d). The subspace

$$X_0 := \overline{\operatorname{span}} \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ M(z_n) \colon M \in \mathcal{M} \} \right) \subseteq X$$

is separable (thanks to (a)) and we have $M(Z_0) \subseteq X_0$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Since X is Asplund and X_0 is separable, X_0^* is separable. Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that X^* is separable.

A result of Zippin [18] (cf. [5, Chapter 5]) states that every Banach space with separable dual embeds isomorphically into a Banach space with a shrinking Schauder basis. Therefore, we can assume further that X has a shrinking Schauder basis, say $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $(P_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of partial sum operators on X associated with $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, write $x_n := T(z_n) \in X$ and consider the relatively norm compact set

$$K_n := \{M(z_n) \colon M \in \mathcal{M}\} \subseteq X.$$

Observe that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|P_k(K_n)\| < \infty$. Indeed, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose $M_n \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

(2.1)
$$||P_k(K_n)|| \le ||P_k(M_n(z_n))|| + \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(z_n)$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy (by condition (b)) and $P_k(X)$ is finite-dimensional, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_k(M_n(z_n))$ is absolutely convergent and so inequality (2.1) yields $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|P_k(K_n)\| < \infty$, as claimed.

Suppose, by contradiction, that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is not absolutely convergent and apply $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = 2.1$. Let (h) = 2.2 and (h) = 2.2 be as in Lemma 2.1. Define

Lemma 2.1. Let $(k_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(l_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Define

$$R_j := P_{k_{j+1}} - P_{k_j} \in \mathcal{L}(X, X)$$
 and $u_j := ||x_{l_j}||^{-1} z_{l_j} \in Z$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Write $\beta := \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T(z_n)|| ||z_n||^{-1} > 0$. Fix $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and define

$$w_j^M := M(u_j) = ||x_{l_j}||^{-1} M(z_{l_j}) \in ||x_{l_j}||^{-1} K_{l_j}$$
 for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that $||u_j|| \leq \beta^{-1}$ and so $||w_j^M|| \leq ||M||\beta^{-1} \leq \beta^{-1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that $(R_j(w_j^M))_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a block sequence with respect to $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which is bounded, because the sequence $(w_j^M)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and $||R_j|| \leq 2\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} ||P_k|| < \infty$ for all $j\in\mathbb{N}$. Since $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is shrinking, we deduce that $(R_j(w_i^M))_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly null (see, e.g., [1, Proposition 3.2.7). Since

$$||w_j^M - R_j(w_j^M)|| \le \frac{1}{2^j}$$
 for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$

(by part (i) of Lemma 2.1), we conclude that $(w_j^M)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly null as well.

As $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is arbitrary, the Rainwater property of \mathcal{M} implies that the sequence $(u_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is weakly null in Z. This is a contradiction, because T is Dunford-Pettis and $||T(u_i)|| = 1$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in a Banach space is said to be an ℓ_1 -sequence if it is bounded and there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n x_n \right\| \ge c \sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n|$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$. That is, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ℓ_1 -sequence if and only if it is a basic sequence which is equivalent to the usual Schauder basis of ℓ_1 (see, e.g., [1, Section 1.3]).

Corollary 2.3. Let Z and X be Banach spaces. Suppose that X is Asplund. Let \mathcal{M} be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{L}(Z,X)$ having the Rainwater property. Let $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a seminormalized basic sequence in Z such that

- (a) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{M(e_n): M \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is relatively norm compact;
- (b) for each sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{R} such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n$ is convergent and for each sequence $(M_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{M} , the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n M_n(e_n)$ is weakly unconditionally Cauchy.

Suppose that there is $T \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

- (c) T is Dunford–Pettis;
- (d) $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ||T(e_n)|| ||e_n||^{-1} > 0.$

Then $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an ℓ_1 -sequence.

Proof. Let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|a_n|\|e_n\|<\infty$ if (and only if) the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_ne_n$ is convergent. To check this, we can assume without loss of generality that $a_n\neq 0$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Now, Theorem 1.3 (applied to $z_n:=a_ne_n$) ensures that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_ne_n$ is convergent, then we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|a_n|\|T(e_n)\|<\infty$ and so $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|a_n|\|e_n\|<\infty$ (by (d)). This shows that $(\|e_n\|^{-1}e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an ℓ_1 -sequence. Since $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is seminormalized, it is an ℓ_1 -sequence as well.

We finish this section with a few remarks on sets of operators having the Rainwater property and some examples. The first one is an immediate consequence of the aforementioned Rainwater–Simons theorem (see, e.g., [6, Theorem 3.134]).

Corollary 2.4. Let Z and X be Banach spaces and let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{L}(Z,X)}$. The following statements are equivalent and imply that \mathcal{M} has the Rainwater property:

- (i) the set $\bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} M^*(B_{X^*}) \subseteq B_{Z^*}$ is a James boundary of Z;
- (ii) for every $z \in Z$ there is $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that ||z|| = ||M(z)||

Definition 2.5. Let Z and X be Banach spaces. We say that a set $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{L}(Z,X)}$ has the *James boundary property* if it satisfies conditions (i)–(ii) of Corollary 2.4.

Example 2.6. Let X be a Banach space and let E be a Banach space with a normalized 1-unconditional Schauder basis $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let Z be the E-sum of countably many copies of X, that is, Z is the Banach space of all sequences $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x_n|| e_n$ converges in E, equipped with the norm

$$\|(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|_Z := \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| e_n\right\|_E.$$

Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq B_{\mathcal{L}(Z,X)}$ be the set of all coordinate projections.

- (i) If E^* is separable, then \mathcal{M} has the Rainwater property (see, e.g., [16, Lemma 3.22]).
- (ii) If $E = c_0$, then \mathcal{M} has the James boundary property.
- (iii) If $E = \ell_p$ for some $1 , then <math>\mathcal{M}$ has the Rainwater property but fails to have the James boundary property (unless $X = \{0\}$). Indeed, bear in mind that c_0 does not contain subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_p (see, e.g., [1, Corollary 2.1.6]).

It is natural to wonder when a single operator has the Rainwater property. An obvious necessary condition is that such an operator must be injective. In fact:

Remark 2.7. Let Z and X be Banach spaces and let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(Z,X)$ be a set having the Rainwater property. Then $\bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \ker M = \{0\}$. Indeed, if $z \in \bigcap_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \ker M$,

then the Rainwater property of \mathcal{M} implies that the constant sequence (z, z, ...) is weakly null in Z, which is equivalent to saying that z = 0.

Let Z and X be Banach spaces. An operator $T: Z \to X$ is called *tauberian* if its second adjoint satisfies $(T^{**})^{-1}(X) \subseteq Z$. This is equivalent to saying that a bounded set $C \subseteq Z$ is relatively weakly compact if (and only if) T(C) is relatively weakly compact (see, e.g., [8, Corollary 2.2.5]). As a consequence, we have:

Remark 2.8. Let Z and X be Banach spaces and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(Z,X)$ be injective.

- (a) If T is tauberian, then $\{T\}$ has the Rainwater property.
- (b) If $\{T\}$ has the Rainwater property and Z is weakly sequentially complete, then T is tauberian.

In part (b) of the previous remark, the additional assumption on Z cannot be dropped in general:

Example 2.9. Let $T: c_0 \to \ell_1$ be the injective operator defined by

$$T((a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) := (2^{-n}a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$
 for all $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in c_0$.

Then $\{T\}$ has the Rainwater property, but T is not tauberian. Indeed, any tauberian operator maps the closed unit ball of the domain space to a closed set (see, e.g., [8, Theorem 2.1.7]). However, $T(B_{c_0})$ is not closed. For instance, it is easy to check that $x = (2^{-n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_1$ satisfies $x \in \overline{T(B_{c_0})} \setminus T(B_{c_0})$.

The previous example is a particular case of a more general construction:

Proposition 2.10. Let Z and X be Banach spaces and let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(Z,X)$ be a countable set having the Rainwater property. Let E be a Banach space with a normalized 1-unconditional Schauder basis $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and let Y be the E-sum of countably many copies of X. Then there is an injective operator $T: Z \to Y$ such that $\{T\}$ has the Rainwater property.

Proof. Enumerate $\mathcal{M} = \{M_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. If we multiply each M_n by a non-zero constant, the resulting set also has the Rainwater property. So, we can assume that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|M_n\|e_n$ converges E. Now, the map $T: Z \to Y$ defined by $T(z) := (M_n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for all $z \in Z$ satisfies the requirements.

3. Application to the L_1 space of a vector measure

Let X be a Banach space, let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and let $\nu \colon \Sigma \to X$ be a countably additive vector measure. The variation and semivariation of ν are denoted by $|\nu|$ and $||\nu||$, respectively. Given $x^* \in X^*$, we denote by $x^*\nu \colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ the composition of ν with x^* and we denote by $|x^*\nu|$ its variation. We say that $A \in \Sigma$ is ν -null if $||\nu||(A) = 0$ or, equivalently, $\nu(B) = 0$ for every $B \in \Sigma$ contained in A. The subset of Σ consisting of all ν -null sets is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(\nu)$.

Every ν -essentially bounded Σ -measurable function $f \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is ν -integrable. By identifying ν -a.e. equal functions, the set $L_{\infty}(\nu)$ of all (equivalence classes of) ν -essentially bounded Σ -measurable functions is a Banach lattice with the ν -a.e. order and the ν -essential supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\infty}(\nu)}$. For each $g \in L_{\infty}(\nu)$, we denote by $M_g \colon L_1(\nu) \to X$ the operator defined by

$$M_g(f) := \int_{\Omega} fg \, d\nu \quad \text{for all } f \in L_1(\nu),$$

which satisfies $||M_g|| \le ||g||_{L_{\infty}(\nu)}$. It is known that

(3.1)
$$||f||_{L_1(\nu)} = \sup_{g \in B_{L_\infty(\nu)}} ||M_g(f)|| \text{ for all } f \in L_1(\nu)$$

(see, e.g., [14, Proposition 3.31]).

The following lemma can be found in [12, Lemma 3.3] and [2, Corollary 4.2]. Note that part (ii) follows at once from part (i) and (3.1). It is worth pointing out that in (ii) the set $B_{L_{\infty}(\nu)}$ can be replaced by its extreme points, that is, the subset $\{\chi_A - \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} : A \in \Sigma\}$, see [4, Corollary 2.4].

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a Banach space, let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and let $\nu : \Sigma \to X$ be a countably additive vector measure such that the set $\{\nu(A) : A \in \Sigma\}$ is relatively norm compact. Then:

- (i) for each $f \in L_1(\nu)$, the set $\{M_g(f): g \in B_{L_\infty(\nu)}\}$ is norm compact;
- (ii) the set $\{M_g: g \in B_{L_{\infty}(\nu)}\}$ has the James boundary property.

In particular, $\{M_q: g \in B_{L_{\infty}(\nu)}\}$ has the Rainwater property.

Lemma 3.2. Let X be a Banach space, let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and let $\nu \colon \Sigma \to X$ be a countably additive vector measure. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequence of pairwise disjoint non-zero elements of $L_1(\nu)$. Then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a 1-unconditional basic sequence in $L_1(\nu)$.

Proof. It suffices to check that

(3.2)
$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k f_k \right\|_{L_1(\nu)} \le \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_k f_k \right\|_{L_1(\nu)}$$

for all sequences $(a_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{R} such that $|a_k| \leq |b_k|$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $n \leq m$ in \mathbb{N} (see, e.g., [1, Propositions 1.1.9 and 3.1.3]). Fix $x^* \in B_{X^*}$. Since the f_k 's are pairwise disjoint, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k f_k \right| d|x^* \nu| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k| \int_{\Omega} |f_k| \, d|x^* \nu| \le \sum_{k=1}^{m} |b_k| \int_{\Omega} |f_k| \, d|x^* \nu|$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_k f_k \right| d|x^* \nu| \le \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_k f_k \right\|_{L_1(\nu)}.$$

By taking the supremum when x^* runs over all B_{X^*} , we get (3.2).

We can now prove Theorem 1.1 by using Corollary 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It suffices to show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\nu(C_n)\| < \infty$ for every sequence $(C_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint elements of $\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{N}(\nu)$ (see, e.g., [10, Corollary 2]).

Fix $\rho > 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can take $A_n \in \Sigma \setminus \mathcal{N}(\nu)$ such that $A_n \subseteq C_n$ and $\rho \|\nu(A_n)\| \ge \|\nu\|(C_n)$. Define $f_n := \|\nu\|(C_n)^{-1}\chi_{A_n} \in L_1(\nu)$ and note that

(3.3)
$$\frac{1}{\rho} \le \frac{\|\nu(A_n)\|}{\|\nu\|(C_n)} \le \|f_n\|_{L_1(\nu)} = \frac{\|\nu\|(A_n)}{\|\nu\|(C_n)} \le 1.$$

Hence, $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a seminormalized 1-unconditional basic sequence in $L_1(\nu)$ (apply Lemma 3.2).

We will show that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an ℓ_1 -sequence via Corollary 2.3 applied to the operator $T:=M_{\chi_{\Omega}}=I_{\nu}$ and the family $\mathcal{M}:=\{M_g\colon g\in B_{L_{\infty}(\nu)}\}$. Since I_{ν} is Dunford-Pettis, the set $\{\nu(A)\colon A\in\Sigma\}$ is relatively norm compact (see [2, Theorem 5.8], cf. [17, Proposition 2.6]). Hence, \mathcal{M} has the Rainwater property and

condition (a) of Corollary 2.3 holds (apply Lemma 3.1). Condition (d) holds because

$$||I_{\nu}(f_n)||_X ||f_n||_{L_1(\nu)}^{-1} = \frac{||\nu(A_n)||}{||\nu||(A_n)|} \ge \frac{||\nu(A_n)||}{||\nu||(C_n)|} \ge \frac{1}{\rho} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To check condition (b), let $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nf_n$ is convergent in $L_1(\nu)$ and let $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $B_{L_{\infty}(\nu)}$. Since the A_n 's are pairwise disjoint, we can find $g\in B_{L_{\infty}(\nu)}$ such that $g|_{A_n}=g_n|_{A_n}$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Since $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an unconditional basic sequence, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_nf_n$ is unconditionally convergent. Then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n M_{g_n}(f_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\Omega} f_n g_n \, d\nu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_{\Omega} f_n g \, d\nu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_g(a_n f_n)$$

is unconditionally convergent in X (because M_g is an operator) and, therefore, it is weakly unconditionally Cauchy. So, condition (b) of Corollary 2.3 holds too. From that result it follows that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an ℓ_1 -sequence.

Let c > 0 such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} |a_n| \le c \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n f_n \right\|_{L_1(\nu)}$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$. The previous inequality applied to $a_n := \|\nu\|(C_n)$ yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\nu(C_n)\| \le \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\nu\|(C_n) \le c \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_{A_n} \right\|_{L_1(\nu)} = c \left\| \chi_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} A_n} \right\|_{L_1(\nu)}$$
$$= c \|\nu\| \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} A_n \right) \le c \|\nu\| (\Omega)$$

for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\nu(C_n)\| \leq c\|\nu\|(\Omega) < \infty$, as required.

References

- [1] Albiac, F., and N. J. Kalton: Topics in Banach space theory. Grad. Texts in Math. 233, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] Calabuig, J. M., S. Lajara, J. Rodríguez, and E. A. Sánchez-Pérez: Compactness in L^1 of a vector measure. Studia Math. 225:3, 2014, 259–282.
- [3] Calabuig, J. M., J. Rodríguez, and E. A. Sánchez-Pérez: On completely continuous integration operators of a vector measure. J. Convex Anal. 21:3, 2014, 811–818.
- [4] Calabuig, J. M., J. Rodríguez, and E. A. Sánchez-Pérez: Summability in L^1 of a vector measure. Math. Nachr. 290:4, 2017, 507–519.
- [5] Dodos, P.: Banach spaces and descriptive set theory: selected topics. Lecture Notes in Math. 1993, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [6] Fabian, M., P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, and V. Zizler: Banach space theory. The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis. CMS Books Math., Springer, New York, 2011.
- [7] Fonf, V.P., and J. Lindenstrauss: Boundaries and generation of convex sets. Israel J. Math. 136, 2003, 157–172.
- [8] González, M., and A. Martínez-Abejón: Tauberian operators. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 194, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [9] NYGAARD, O.: A remark on Rainwater's theorem. Ann. Math. Inform. 32, 2005, 125–127.

- [10] NYGAARD, O., and M. PÕLDVERE: Families of vector measures of uniformly bounded variation. Arch. Math. (Basel) 88:1, 2007, 57–61.
- [11] OKADA, S., W. J. RICKER, and L. RODRÍGUEZ-PIAZZA: Compactness of the integration operator associated with a vector measure. Studia Math. 150:2, 2002, 133–149.
- [12] OKADA, S., W. J. RICKER, and L. RODRÍGUEZ-PIAZZA: Operator ideal properties of vector measures with finite variation. Studia Math. 205:3, 2011, 215–249.
- [13] OKADA, S., W. J. RICKER, and L. RODRÍGUEZ-PIAZZA: Operator ideal properties of the integration map of a vector measure. Indag. Math. (N.S.) 25:2, 2014, 315–340.
- [14] OKADA, S., W. J. RICKER, and E. A. SÁNCHEZ PÉREZ: Optimal domain and integral extension of operators. Acting in function spaces. Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 180, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008.
- [15] Rodríguez, J.: Factorization of vector measures and their integration operators. Colloq. Math. 144:1, 2016, 115–125.
- [16] Rodríguez, J.: ϵ -weakly precompact sets in Banach spaces. Studia Math. 262:3, 2022, 327–360.
- [17] RODRÍGUEZ, J.: Dunford-Pettis type properties in L_1 of a vector measure. Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM 118:3, 2024, paper no. 136.
- [18] ZIPPIN, M.: Banach spaces with separable duals. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 310:1, 1988, 371–379.

Received 9 May 2024 • Accepted 28 January 2025 • Published online 30 January 2025

José Rodríguez
Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha
Dpto. de Matemáticas
E.T.S. de Ingenieros Industriales de Albacete
02071 Albacete, Spain
jose.rodriguezruiz@uclm.es